




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>3</sup>: C10G 1/00, 1/06</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 81/02304 (43) International Publication Date: 20 August 1981 (20.08.81)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US81/00014 (22) International Filing Date: 2 January 1981 (02.01.81) (31) Priority Application Number: 118,859 (32) Priority Date: 5 February 1980 (05.02.80) (33) Priority Country: US  (71) Applicant: GULF RESEARCH &amp; DEVELOPMENT COMPANY [US/US]; P.O. Drawer 2038, Pittsburgh, PA 15230 (US). (72) Inventors: TSAI, Shirley, C.; 5702 Highgate Terrace, Irvine, CA 92715 (US). MCILVRIED, Howard, G. III; 8723 Highland Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15237 (US).</p>	<p>(74) Agents: RING, Alvin, E. et al.; P.O. Box 1166, Pittsburgh, PA 15230 (US).  (81) Designated States: AU, BR, JP, SU.  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report</i> <i>With amended claims and statement</i></p>	

(54) Title: COAL LIQUEFACTION PROCESS EMPLOYING OCTAHYDROPHENANTHRENE-ENRICHED SOLVENT



## (57) Abstract

A coal solvation/liquefaction process wherein the coal is contacted with hydrogen and an octahydrophenanthrene-enriched solvent containing OHP and THP in a ratio of OHP/THP greater than 0.4, wherein the OHP is present in an amount of at least 5 percent by weight based upon the total weight of said solvent. The OHP-enriched solvent provides improved coal solvation and increases the production of distillate liquid (62) in a coal solvation/liquefaction (20, 24) process. The desired ratio of OHP/THP is provided by catalytic hydrogenation (68) of a coal liquid distillate stream (64). By increasing the OHP/THP ratio to a value greater than 1, the amount of hydrogen consumed in the process can be significantly reduced.

***FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY***

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea
AU	Australia	LI	Liechtenstein
BR	Brazil	LU	Luxembourg
CF	Central African Republic	MC	Monaco
CG	Congo	MG	Madagascar
CH	Switzerland	MW	Malawi
CM	Cameroon	NL	Netherlands
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	NO	Norway
DK	Denmark	RO	Romania
FI	Finland	SE	Sweden
FR	France	SN	Senegal
GA	Gabon	SU	Soviet Union
GB	United Kingdom	TD	Chad
HU	Hungary	TG	Togo
JP	Japan	US	United States of America

COAL LIQUEFACTION PROCESS EMPLOYING  
OCTAHYDROPHENANTHRENE-ENRICHED SOLVENT

Field Of The Invention

The present invention relates to a process for producing a hydrocarbonaceous liquid fuel from ash-containing raw coal utilizing a liquid solvent, and to the hydroaromatic solvent utilized in such system. More particularly, this invention relates to a coal solvation/liquefaction process utilizing an octahydrophenanthrene-enriched solvent which provides increased solvation of the coal feed and improved yields of liquid product.

10 Description Of The Prior Art

Coal solvation/liquefaction processes are well known in which ash-containing raw coal is contacted with a solvent containing hydrogen-donor compounds to produce liquid fuels. In such processes the valuable liquid fuel is produced by depolymerization of the coal. The depolymerization occurs through various reactions, such as the removal of heteroatoms, including sulphur and oxygen, and through thermal fracture of the coal to form free radicals. The free radicals are prevented from repolymerizing through the transfer of hydrogen from solvent hydrogen donor compounds to the free radicals which become end-capped and thus stabilized.

Various hydroaromatic compounds have been suggested for use as hydrogen donors in the solvent including partially hydrogenated naphthalenes, acenaphthalenes, anthracenes, phenanthrenes, and the like. U.S. Patent No. 4,048,054 discloses various hydroaromatic compounds including di-, tetra- and octahydroanthracenes as constituting at least 50 weight percent of the hydrogen donor solvent, while U.S. Patent No. 3,867,275 expresses a preference for dihydrophenanthrene, dihydroanthracene and tetrahydroanthracene. Curran et al in "I&EC Process Design and Development", Vol. 6, No. 2, April, 1967, pps. 166 to 173 (Table IV on p. 168), disclose the dihydrophenanthrene as being an even better hydrogen donor than

-2-

Tetralin (tetrahydronaphthalene), which is considered one of the best hydrogen donors, but further disclose that the fully saturated perhydrophenanthrene was the worst hydrogen donor of those tested.

Summary Of The Invention

It has now been found that improved solvation of of the feed coal and improved yields of distillate liquid can be obtained by converting ash-containing raw coal to a liquid fuel product with an octahydro-  
10 phenanthrene-enriched solvent, preferably derived from coal liquids. Normally, phenanthrenes are present in greater quantity than anthracenes in coal-derived liquids. However, phenanthrenes and corresponding anthracenes are not normally distinguishable from one another because of their closeness in boiling point. Thus, the expression "OHP" will be used herein to mean octahydro-phenanthrene, its alkyl homologues; octahydroanthracene, its alkyl homologues; or mixtures thereof. Similarly,  
20 "THP" will mean tetrahydrophenanthrene, its alkyl homologues; tetrahydroanthracene, its alkyl homologues; or mixtures thereof. Likewise, "P" will be understood to mean non-hydrogenated phenanthrene, its alkyl homologues; non-hydrogenated anthracene, its alkyl homologues; or mixtures thereof.

The process of the present invention comprises contacting the raw coal with hydrogen and a solvent containing OHP and THP in a ratio of OHP/THP greater than 0.4, wherein the OHP constitutes at least 5 weight percent of the total solvent supplied to the liquefaction zone.  
30 Surprisingly, it has been found that in a coal solvation/liquefaction process wherein OHP and THP are present in the process solvent, it is the more saturated OHP which acts as the significant hydrogen donor material as evidenced by a significant decrease in OHP concentration



during liquefaction by conversion to THP while concomitantly the less-saturated THP remains relatively inactive as a hydrogen donor and does not contribute significantly to hydrogen transfer in the presence of an adequate quantity of OHP. In fact, in the presence of OHP, the concentration of THP has been found to actually increase during coal solvation indicating a considerable conversion of OHP to THP, without a comparable dehydrogenative conversion of the THP to P or other aromatic.

10 This is indicated by a level of P, which has less donor hydrogen than THP, below 10 weight percent, and by the substantial absence of DHP (dihydrophenanthrene, its alkyl homologues; dihydroanthracene, its alkyl homologues; or mixtures thereof) in the liquefaction product.

By utilizing a solvent containing both OHP and THP in which the ratio of OHP/THP is greater than 0.4 and in which OHP constitutes at least 5 weight percent of the solvent, coal solvation is improved and hydrocracking increased with an attendant higher yield of the desired liquid product, as compared with a process using a solvent which contains smaller amounts of OHP and correspondingly greater amounts of THP. In the present process, hydrogen donation from the THP is not favored so that the effluent from the liquefaction zone will comprise less than 15 weight percent P, e.g., between about 7 and about 15 weight percent, generally, and preferably between about 5 and about 10 weight percent P.

20

The process solvent produced in a coal solvation/liquefaction process which does not employ a downstream catalytic hydrogenation zone normally contains OHP and THP in a weight ratio of OHP/THP well below 0.4, e.g., 0.19. Thus, in order to increase the OHP content of the process solvent, the solvent is subjected to downstream catalytic hydrogenation to convert a portion of the THP present to OHP utilizing a supported catalyst

30

containing Group VIB and Group VIII metals, as oxides and/or sulfides, in the presence of hydrogen and under conditions which will result in an OHP-enriched solvent containing OHP and THP in a weight ratio greater than 0.4, and preferably greater than 1, but below 10 or 15. Additionally, the catalytically hydrogenated solvent should contain at least 5 weight percent OHP, and preferably at least 10 weight percent OHP.

10 A preferred catalyst for producing the OHP-enriched solvent is a tungsten-containing catalyst, and more preferably a nickel- and tungsten-containing catalyst, such as NiWF on an alumina support. Also, it is especially preferred to include titanium in the catalyst in order to improve hydrogen selectivity as evidenced by an enhanced preservation of an aromatic segment in the molecules of the hydrogenated solvent. Thus, an especially preferred catalyst is NiTiMoW on alumina.

20 Although the hydrogen donor properties of the solvent are greatly improved by increasing the ratio of OHP to THP in the solvent, it is not desirable to convert all of the THP present in the solvent to OHP, since this would result in increased or nonselective consumption of hydrogen and loss of hydroaromatics to form non-donor compounds such as perhydrophenanthrenes and perhydroanthracenes. Accordingly, although the OHP to THP ratio should be greater than 0.4 or 1 in the solvent, there should remain at least 1 weight percent THP, for example, 5 to 30 weight percent THP, and preferably 10 to 20 weight percent THP in the solvent. Although hydro-  
30 gen is consumed in the catalytic step to increase the ratio of OHP to THP in accordance with this invention, we have found that the increased ratio of OHP to THP induces a reduction in hydrogen consumption in the non-catalytic coal liquefaction step, so that there is a net reduction in hydrogen consumption in the total process

-5-

as compared to a process wherein coal solvation occurs with a solvent having a lower OHP to THP ratio. It was unexpected that the conversion of THP, which is a known advantageous hydrogen donor, to OHP, which requires hydrogen consumption, can result in a net savings of hydrogen for the overall process. Such savings in hydrogen provides a significant economic advantage in view of the high cost of hydrogen. Thus, the OHP-enriched solvent of the present invention provides not only improved coal solvation and an increased yield of distillate product, but it also provides reduced overall hydrogen consumption in a coal liquefaction process.

The solvent of the present invention may additionally include Tetralin (1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene), as such material is normally found in phenanthrene-containing coal liquids and is an excellent hydrogen donor material. However, it has been found that because of the tendency of Tetralin to vaporize under coal liquefaction conditions and reduce the hydrogen partial pressure, the hydrogen partial pressure of the process can be increased if Tetralin is minimized or excluded by distillation from the OHP-enriched solvent of the present invention. Accordingly, it may be desirable to utilize an OHP-enriched solvent in the substantial absence of Tetralin.

#### Brief Description Of The Drawings

FIG. 1 is a schematic flow diagram of a process for the production of hydrocarbonaceous liquid fuel products from coal in accordance with the invention;

FIG. 2 graphically compares the solvation power of an OHP-enriched, hydrogenated solvent and an unhydrogenated process solvent as a function of hydrogen donor concentration at various temperatures;

FIG. 3 graphically illustrates the effect of increasing the level of OHP + T while decreasing the level of THP in a solvent for the liquefaction of coal; and



FIG. 4 also illustrates the effect of increasing the level of OHP + T while decreasing the level of THP in a solvent for the liquefaction of coal.

#### Description Of The Preferred Embodiments

As shown in the process set forth in FIG. 1 of the drawings, pulverized raw coal is charged to the process through line 10 into a slurry tank 11 where the coal is combined with a hydrogen donor solvent introduced through line 12 and with or without recycled mineral from line 38, as hereinafter discussed, to form a feed slurry. Preferred coals include bituminous and sub-bituminous coals and lignites.

In accordance with the present invention, the solvent in line 12 contains a mixture of OHP and THP in a ratio of OHP to THP greater than 0.4 and preferably greater than 1, but less than 10 or 15. The OHP content of the solvent is at least 5 weight percent, and preferably at least about 10 weight percent based on the total weight of the solvent. The total concentration of OHP + THP + other hydrophenanthrenes and hydroanthracenes (if any) + P in the solvent is between about 10 and about 70 weight percent, preferably between about 20 and about 50 weight percent based upon the total weight of the solvent.

The OHP-enriched solvent stream is advantageously produced in a catalytic hydrogenation reactor 68 wherein a solvent containing a predetermined ratio of OHP to THP is produced by controlled catalytic hydrogenation of a coal-derived process solvent from a recycle fraction in the manner hereinafter described.

The feed slurry in tank 11 is pumped to process pressure by means of pump 14 and passed through process line 16 along with recycle hydrogen from line 58 to pre-heater tube 18 which is disposed in furnace 20. Pre-heater tube 18 preferably has a high length to diameter



ratio of at least 100 or even at least 1000, to permit plug flow.

In the preheater stage, reaction between the OHP-enriched solvent and the coal results in swelling of the coal and in severing of hydrocarbon polymers from coal minerals. The maximum or outlet preheater temperature can be between about 350°C (662°F) and about 500°C (932°F), preferably between about 400°C (752°F) and about 475°C (887°F). The residence time in preheater 20 is  
10 between about 0.01 to 0.5 hour, and preferably between about 0.01 and 0.15 hour.

The slurry effluent from preheater 20 is then passed through line 22 wherein additional hydrogen can be added, if desired, through line 23 in advance of dissolver 24. Following depletion of hydrogen and conversion of OHP to THP by donation of hydrogen to the coal, THP is reacted with gaseous hydrogen in dissolver 24 and reconverted to a limited extent to OHP. According to a preferred embodiment of the invention, coal minerals are recycled  
20 to the process as hereinafter described, because recycle coal minerals catalytically enhance the reconversion of THP to OHP in dissolver 24.

The temperature in the dissolver 24 is between about 350°C (662°F) and about 500°C (932°F), preferably between about 400°C (752°F) and about 475°C (887°F). The residence time in dissolver 24 is between about 0.1 and about 2.5 hours, preferably between about 0.15 and about 1.0 hour, and is longer than the residence time in the preheater.

30 The liquid hourly space velocity for the liquefaction process (volume of slurry per hour per volume of liquefaction reactor) can range from 0.01 to 8.0, generally, and 0.5 to 3.0, preferably. The ratio of hydrogen to slurry in the liquefaction zone can range from 200 to 10,000 standard cubic feet per barrel, generally, and 500 to 5000 standard cubic feet per barrel,




preferably (3.6 to 180, generally and 9 to 90, preferably, SCM/100L). The weight ratio of recycle solvent to raw coal in the feed slurry can range from 0.5:1 to 5:1, generally, and from 1.0:1 to 2.5:1, preferably.

10 The reactions in both the preheater and dissolver stages occur in the presence of gaseous hydrogen and in both stages heteroatom sulfur and oxygen are removed from solvated deashed coal polymer, resulting in depolymerization and conversion of dissolved coal polymers to desulfurized and deoxygenated free radicals of reduced molecular weight. The free radicals have a tendency to repolymerize in the process but are stabilized against repolymerization by accepting hydrogen at the free radical site. Carbon monoxide and steam together with or in place of hydrogen can be utilized, since carbon monoxide and steam react to form hydrogen. The steam can be derived from moisture contained in the coal or can be injected as water.

20 The hydrogen partial pressure is between about 500 and about 4000 pounds per square inch (35 to 280 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>), preferably between about 1000 and about 2000 pounds per square inch (70 to 140 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>).

The total residence time for solvation/liquefaction is between about 3 minutes and about 3 hours, preferably between about 3 minutes and about 1.5 hour. If coal minerals recycle is utilized, the total residence time is between about 0.5 and about 1.5 hour.

30 The slurry leaving dissolver 24 passes through line 26 to flash chamber 28. Liquid and gaseous material is removed overhead from flash chamber 28 through line 30 and passed to distillation column 32. A slurry containing normally solid deashed coal, undissolved coal and coal minerals (ash) is removed from the bottom of flash chamber 28 by means of line 34, and a portion of this



material may be passed by means of 3-way valve 36 through line 38 for recycle to the solvation/liquefaction process to enhance hydrogenation reactions and thereby enrich the OHP content in the process slurry. Some or all of the ash-containing solid fuel is fed by means of line 40 to filter 42 and separated ash removed through line 44. The filtrate is removed from filter 42 by means of line 46 and passed to distillation column 32.

10 Gases, including hydrogen for recycle, are removed overhead from distillation column 32 by means of line 48 and are either withdrawn from the process through line 50 or passed through line 52 to gas scrubber 54 to separate impurities, such as hydrogen sulfide, ammonia and water vapor, which are removed through line 56, and to prepare a purified hydrogen stream for recycle pass through line 58.

A distillate liquid product of the process is removed from distillation column 32 by means of line 60. The process produces sufficient liquid to be withdrawn  
20 as a liquid fuel product 62, and still provide recycle liquid for use as a process solvent, which is recycled through line 64 for further treatment.

According to the present invention, the OHP depleted solvent in line 64 is passed to hydrogenation unit 68 along with hydrogen supplied by means of line 70 to provide the desired OHP to THP ratio in the hydrogen donor solvent.

The fraction of reactor effluent utilized as recycle solvent in line 64 has a boiling range between  
30 about 200° and about 500°C (392° and 932°F), preferably between about 280° and about 400°C (537° and 752°F).

The recycle fraction comprises naphthalene, Tetralin, and P, as well as THP and OHP. However, the weight ratio of OHP to THP in line 64 is less than 0.4; e.g., 0.19 or 0.22, and thus, such fraction must be subjected to catalytic hydrogenation in unit 68 under conditions to provide the desired ratio of OHP to THP.



Hydrogenation unit 68 contains a suitable hydrogenation catalyst comprising supported Group VIB and Group VIII metals, as oxides and/or sulfides. A preferred catalyst of the present invention is a tungsten-containing catalyst containing between about 5 and about 30 weight percent tungsten, preferably between about 15 and about 25 weight percent tungsten based upon the total catalyst weight. Such catalyst may be a NiW catalyst and may contain, for example, between about 5 and about 25 weight percent tungsten, preferably between about 10 and about 20 weight percent tungsten, and between about 5 and about 25 weight percent nickel, preferably between about 6 and about 20 weight percent nickel based upon the total catalyst weight. A particularly preferred catalyst is a NiWF catalyst which comprises 20 weight percent nickel, 20 weight percent tungsten and 2 weight percent fluorine.

The presence of tungsten coupled with the use of proper process conditions, such as an elevated hydrogen pressure, is necessary to achieve a ratio of OHP to THP greater than 1 in the solvent. Additionally, it is especially preferred to include titanium in the catalyst in an amount of between about 1 and about 10 weight percent, preferably between about 3 and about 8 weight percent of the catalyst so as to improve hydrogen selectivity and economy as evidenced by a high aromatics level solvent. The term "aromatics" as used throughout this application means those compounds having an aromatic moiety whether they are partially saturated, such as OHP and THP, or not, such as P. The combination of tungsten and titanium produces a high OHP level solvent, but retains a high aromatics level as well. It is desirable to maintain at least 75 to 80 weight percent aromatics in the hydrogenated solvent. A lower level of aromatics would indicate that too much perhydrophenanthrene is produced as a by-product while achieving an OHP to THP ratio above



1, and this would greatly reduce the hydrogen-transfer capability of the solvent. Moreover, too great a loss of aromatics is costly in terms of hydrogen used, since hydrogen is very expensive. An especially preferred solvent hydrogenation catalyst for achieving these advantageous results is a NiTiMoW on alumina catalyst comprising between about 3 and about 10 weight percent nickel, between about 3 and about 10 weight percent titanium, between about 5 and about 15 weight percent molybdenum and  
10 between about 5 and about 15 weight percent tungsten based upon the total catalyst weight. A particularly preferred catalyst is a NiTiMoW on alumina catalyst which comprises 6 weight percent nickel, 5 weight percent titanium, 10 weight percent molybdenum and 10 weight percent tungsten based upon the total catalyst weight.

Any suitable support material may be employed, including those conventionally used for hydrogenation processes, such as the refractory inorganic oxides including alumina, silica, zirconia, titania, magnesia, thorium, boria and the like, or combinations thereof. The preferred support is a non-cracking support, such as alumina.  
20

Suitable hydrogenation reaction conditions for hydrogenation unit 68 include temperatures between about 260°C (500°F) and about 427°C (800°F), preferably between about 340°C (644°F) and about 385°C (725°F). Suitable hydrogen partial pressures include those in the range of between about 1000 and about 2500 pounds per square inch (70 to 175 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>), preferably between about 2000 and about 2500 pounds per square inch (140  
30 to 175 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). In order to maximize the conversion of THP to OHP in unit 68 relatively high hydrogen partial pressures are utilized, and thus, especially preferred hydrogen partial pressures are in those in the range between about 2200 and about 2500 pounds per square inch



(154 to 175 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). The liquid hourly space velocity can be between about 0.2 and about 10, generally, or between about 0.2 and 2.0 preferably, with 1.0 being especially preferred.

Hydrogen is withdrawn from hydrogenation unit 68 through line 72 and preferably passed to line 52 to join hydrogen recycle back to the coal solvation/liquefaction process.

10 The OHP-enriched solvent is withdrawn from unit 68 by means of line 12. The solvent now contains OHP and THP in a weight ratio greater than 0.4, and preferably greater than 1, but less than 10 or 15. The solvent contains at least 5 weight percent OHP, between about 5 and about 50 weight percent OHP, preferably between about 10 and about 30 weight percent OHP, and between about 5 and about 20 weight percent THP, preferably between about 10 and about 20 weight percent THP. Additionally, the solvent may contain between about 5 and about 30 weight percent Tetralin, preferably between about 10 and about 20 weight percent Tetralin, and between about 7 and about 15 weight percent P, preferably between about 5 and about 10 weight percent P. The foregoing percentages are based upon the total weight of the recycle solvent in stream 12.

20 It is especially preferred that the solvent contain OHP and THP in a ratio greater than 1, since with this ratio less hydrogen is consumed in the overall process including both the coal liquefaction and the catalytic hydrogenation zones, as compared with the use of OHP-enriched solvents containing OHP and THP in a ratio less than 1, even when such ratio is greater than 0.4.

30 The OHP-enriched solvent is passed by means of line 12 to slurry tank 11 to dissolve pulverized coal in the next pass. Preferably, a portion of the flash slurry containing coal ash minerals in line 34 is passed to



line 38 by means of three-way valve 36 for recycle to slurry tank 11 along with the OHP-enriched solvent in line 12.

The recycle of the coal minerals induces an enhanced concentration of OHP in the solvent boiling range liquid circulating in the process. Recycle of coal minerals can achieve a given level of OHP within the liquefaction zone using a shorter liquefaction zone residence time as compared with a similar solvation/liquefaction process in which coal minerals are not recycled. Therefore, recycle of coal minerals cooperates with the catalytic hydrogenation step to increase the OHP/THP ratio within the process. Also, recycle of coal minerals induces a higher concentration of Tetralin in the liquid solvent as compared with a similar process without minerals recycle.

The recycled coal minerals act as a catalyst for the hydrogenation reactions occurring in the liquefaction zone. In addition, normally solid dissolved coal accompanies the coal minerals in line 38 and is advantageously converted to lighter materials by recycle.

The non-recycled portion of the flash residue from line 34 is passed to distillation column 32, which may be a vacuum column. Vacuum bottoms (deashed solid coal) product is removed from distillation column 32 through line 76 and passed to a moving conveyor belt 78, on which it is cooled and solidified and from which it is removed by a suitable belt scraper means, as indicated at 80.

The following examples illustrate the invention, and are not intended to limit the invention, but rather, are presented for purposes of illustration. All percentages are by weight unless otherwise indicated, and the quantity of metal in the catalyst is reported as elemental metal.



EXAMPLE 1

Tests were conducted to compare the activity of various catalysts for the production of an OHP-enriched solvent utilizing as feed to the hydrogenation reactor a process solvent having the following inspections:

## Elemental Analysis, wt. %

	Carbon	87.53
	Hydrogen	7.82
	Sulfur	0.81
10	Nitrogen	0.95
	Oxygen	3.41
	Gravity, Degrees API	2.4
	Saturates, wt. %	4.6
	Distillation D86	
	°C, (°F)	
	O.P.	234 (453)
	10%	- -
	30%	267 (513)
	50%	294 (561)
20	70%	335 (635)
	90%	401 (754) (84%)
	EP	- -

Separate portions of the aforesaid process solvent were hydrogenated in independent hydrogenation runs each using a fixed-bed reactor at a temperature of 371°C (700°F) employing a hydrogen rate of 5000 SCF/barrel (890 cubic meters/cubic meter) at a liquid hourly space velocity of 1.0. The catalyst of one run was NiTiMo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and contained 3 percent by weight nickel, 5 percent by weight titanium and 8 percent molybdenum on alumina. A second catalyst utilized was NiCoMo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> which contained 1 percent by weight



nickel, 3 percent by weight cobalt and 12 percent by weight molybdenum on alumina. A third catalyst used was  $\text{NiWF/Al}_2\text{O}_3$  containing 20 percent by weight nickel, 20 percent by weight tungsten and 2 percent by weight fluorine on alumina. A fourth catalyst used was  $\text{NiTiMoW/Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and contained 6 percent by weight nickel, 5 percent by weight titanium, 10 percent by weight molybdenum and 10 percent by weight tungsten on alumina. All of the catalysts were tested at 2200 psig (154  $\text{kg/cm}^2$ ), and in addition, the NiTiMoW catalyst was tested in runs using pressures of 1000 psig (70  $\text{kg/cm}^2$ ) and 1500 psig (105  $\text{kg/cm}^2$ ), respectively.

Each catalyst was presulfided with a blend of 9.8 volume percent of hydrogen sulfide and 90.2 volume percent hydrogen at atmospheric pressure and 600°F (316°C) for four hours.

The catalysts exhibited the following activities for OHP enrichment:



TABLE I

Test	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Catalyst	-	NiTiMo	NiCoMo	NiWF	NiTiMoW	NiTiMoW	NiTiMoW	Pyrite
Pressure, psig	-	2200	2200	2200	2200	1000	1500	-
(kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	-	(154)	(154)	(154)	(154)	(70)	(105)	-
Aromatics, wt. %	95.8	86.6	85.2	80.7	83.4	92.4	89.4	91.7
of solvent								
Mass Spec Analysis,								
wt. % of solvent								
Octahydrophenanthrene (OHP)	3.7	12.2	10.7	13.4	13.2	8.0	10.6	4.3
Tetrahydrophenanthrene (THP)	19.5	14.6	14.7	11.4	12.9	17.6	15.6	18.3
Phenanthrene (P)	7.2	2.5	2.6	1.3	1.8	3.9	2.7	6.4
Tetralin (T)	6.5	16.7	18.1	18.0	20.8	15.2	17.7	9.1
Naphthalene (N)	12.5	3.4	4.0	2.3	3.2	8.3	4.7	6.2
OHP + T	10.2	28.9	28.8	31.4	34.0	23.2	27.7	13.4
(OHP + T)/(THP + P + N)	0.26	1.41	1.35	2.09	1.90	0.78	1.23	0.43
OHP/THP	0.19	0.84	0.73	1.17	1.02	0.45	0.68	0.23

Test 1 sets forth the OHP and THP content (as well as the contents of other materials) of the recycle solvent in a process which did not employ a catalytic hydrogenation step and indicates that the ratio of OHP to THP in the absence of catalytic hydrogenation is 0.19. The solvent of Test 1 was obtained from a product fraction produced by a process of the type shown in FIG. 1, except that mineral residue was not recycled and there was no catalytic hydrogenation zone. Test 8 sets forth the analysis of an OHP-containing solvent produced utilizing a process such as that shown in FIG. 1 employing mineral residue recycle but without a catalytic hydrogenation zone. The data of Test 8 show that recycle of minerals extracted from coal provides a solvent having a greater OHP/THP ratio (0.23), as compared to the OHP/THP ratio in a process solvent (Test 1) obtained in the absence of mineral residue recycle (0.19).

Table I shows that the tungsten-containing catalysts of Tests 4 and 5 were the most active for producing OHP, and provided a ratio of OHP to THP greater than 1, specifically, 1.17 and 1.02, respectively.

Additionally, Table I shows that with a given catalyst an increasing level of OHP is produced with an increasing hydrogen pressure in the catalytic zone as demonstrated by the OHP produced in Tests 5, 6 and 7.

Test 5 shows that the addition of tungsten to the NiTiMo catalyst of Test 2 increased the ratio of OHP to THP to a level greater than 1 from a level below 1. It is significant that the relatively low aromatics content of the solvent obtained using the titanium-free tungsten-containing catalyst of Test 4 (80.7) was improved by the addition of titanium to the catalyst as indicated in Test 5 (83.4). A low level of aromatics indicates a reduced hydrogen selectivity and the production of perhydrophenanthrenes and perhydroanthracenes, which are not hydrogen donors. The results set forth in Table

I demonstrate that a tungsten-containing catalyst can provide a high OHP to THP ratio, and the addition of titanium thereto maintains a high aromatics level in the solvent (high hydrogen selectivity)..

A further advantage of the combination of tungsten and titanium as in Test 5 is the achievement of the highest OHP+Tetralin yield of all the tests, Tetralin being a highly desirable hydrogen donor.

#### EXAMPLE 2

- 10        Tests were conducted to compare the activity of the OHP-enriched process solvents of Example 1 produced by catalytic hydrogenation by employing these solvents in a coal liquefaction process feeding a 200-mesh Kentucky No. 9 coal having the following inspections:

##### Elemental Analysis, wt. %

	Carbon	70.66
	Hydrogen	5.35
	Sulfur	3.25
	Nitrogen	1.52
20	Oxygen	15.55
	Moisture, wt. %	3.31
	Ash, wt. %	9.12
	Particle Size, mesh	wt. %
	>200	7.0
	200-325	26.8
	325-625	36.3
	<625	29.9

- 30        Each of the solvents produced in Tests 1-8 as reported in Table I was admixed with a portion of the aforesaid coal, and each coal-solvent admixture was separately charged to a batch rocking autoclave at a

-19-

coal/solvent weight ratio of 40/60 employing a temperature of 800°F (427°C) in the presence of hydrogen under a pressure of 1000 or 2000 psig (70 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>, 140 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) and a residence time of one hour. The autoclave was unloaded and the sample was subjected to analysis. The results are shown in Table II, below:



TABLE II

Test	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Pressure, psig (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	2000 (140)	2000 (140)	2000 (140)	2000 (140)	2000 (140)	2000 (140)	1000 (70)	2000 (140)	1000 (70)
Hydrogen Added, wt. % of coal	4.9	5.9	6.1	4.6	4.8	5.5	2.4	4.8	2.4
Aromatics, wt. % of solvent	95.8	86.9	86.3	87.2	87.7	-	92.6	91.7	94.8
Mass Spec Analysis, wt. % of solvent fraction									
Octahydro- phenanthrene (OHP)	3.6	6.0	6.1	5.9	5.8	-	2.7	4.1	2.7
Tetrahydro- phenanthrene (THP)	21.2	19.0	17.7	17.3	17.8	-	19.5	18.4	17.6
Phenanthrene (P)	7.1	4.2	3.7	2.8	3.2	-	7.3	6.7	7.3
Tetralin (T)	7.5	12.3	13.8	14.5	14.0	-	8.2	8.1	6.4
Naphthalene (N)	11.3	6.5	7.3	7.5	6.5	-	13.2	6.8	14.6
OHP + T	11.1	18.3	19.9	20.4	19.8	-	10.9	12.2	9.1
(OHP+T)/(THP+P+N)	0.28	0.62	0.69	0.74	0.72	-	0.27	0.38	0.23
OHP/THP	0.17	0.32	0.34	0.34	0.33	-	0.14	0.22	0.15
% Solvation (MAF)	91.3	-	86.9	90.7	89.1	90.6	89.0	91.3	78.8
% Hydrocracking (MAF)	17.1	-	18.6	32.5	30.2	18.3	24.4	24.0	4.5
% Distillation Residue (wt. % of filtrate)	26.7	-	22.1	21.1	21.1	25.8	24.7	24.8	30.1

The material balance obtained was 98 percent or better in each case. The "Hydrogen Added" in Table II is the hydrogen added in the coal liquefaction zones.

Tests 1-6 and 8 in Table II were made using the corresponding solvent reported in Tests 1-6 and 8 in Table I of Example 1. Test 7 of Table II was made using the solvent of Test 6 of Table I. Test 9, like Test 1, employed a recycle solvent from a coal liquefaction process that did not employ either a catalytic  
10 hydrogenation step or mineral recycle.

The test results of Table II show that in Tests 1-5, the OHP content of the solvent fraction following liquefaction dropped in each case as compared with the OHP content of the feed solvent used to dissolve the coal, shown in Tests 1-5 in Table I. Moreover, the THP content of each solvent increased during liquefaction, thus demonstrating that the OHP is a much more active hydrogen donor during liquefaction than is THP, and OHP is converted to THP without an appreciable or comparable  
20 conversion of THP to a lower hydrogen level.

Additionally, in Tests 4 and 5, where the feed solvent contained a ratio of OHP to THP greater than 1, the OHP content of the solvent dropped to a greater extent during liquefaction, i.e., 56 percent in both Test 4 and Test 5, than did the feed solvents of Tests 2 (51 percent) and 3 (43 percent) wherein the OHP/THP ratio was less than 1. This shows that a high OHP/THP ratio in the feed solvent is conducive to a high level of hydrogen donation in the liquefaction step. Moreover,  
30 the OHP concentration of each solvent dropped even more than did the Tetralin content of the respective solvent. For example, the OHP concentration of the solvent dropped 56 percent in Test 5, whereas the Tetralin content of the Test 5 solvent dropped only 32.7 percent by weight. Similarly, in Test 4 the OHP concentration dropped 56 percent, while the Tetralin content dropped only 19.4



percent by weight. Thus, the OHP was a significantly more active hydrogen donor than was the Tetralin.

Also, it is noted that the percent solvation of the coal was greater in Tests 4 and 5, wherein the OHP/THP was greater than 1, as compared with Test 3, for example, where the OHP/THP ratio was less than 1, thus further indicating that the solvents of Tests 4 and 5 induce improved hydrogen transfer as compared to the solvent of Test 3. Likewise, the degree of hydro-  
10 cracking was greater during liquefaction when using the test solvents of Tests 4 and 5 as compared with the solvent of Test 3, which indicates improved production of liquid product.

Tests 6 and 7 both utilized the same solvent for coal liquefaction, which solvent is reported in Test 6 of Table I, but different liquefaction pressures. Test 6 employed a pressure of 2000 psig, while Test 7 employed a pressure of 1000 psig. The results of Tests 6 and 7 indicate that while hydrogen pressure affects  
20 hydrogen donor concentration significantly in the catalytic step, its effect upon coal liquefaction in the presence of a prehydrogenated solvent in which the OHP content has been enhanced, is small. Thus, there is little difference in the percent solvation or hydrocracking between Tests 6 and 7, wherein a liquefaction pressure of 2000 psi and 1000 psi were used, respectively. However, when comparing Tests 1 and 9, wherein the same unhydrogenated solvent was used, but at liquefaction pressures of 2000 and 1000 psi, respectively,  
30 the differences in percent solvation and hydrocracking were much greater. These data indicate that the OHP/THP ratio of this invention relieves the liquefaction process of a high sensitivity to hydrogen pressure, so that the hydrogen off-gas from the catalytic hydrogenation zone, which is reduced in pressure, can be advantageously utilized in the coal liquefaction process. Therefore,





as shown in FIG. 1 fresh hydrogen under pressure is introduced through line 70 directly to unit 68, which is sensitive to hydrogen pressure, before reaching the liquefaction zone via line 72.

10 Tests 4 and 5 of Table II show a further significant advantage in the use of a solvent having the high OHP/THP ratio of this invention, because the product from Tests 4 and 5 contains the lowest level of non-hydrogenated P of all the tests. A low level of P indicates that the THP in the system did not tend to become further dehydrogenated to P, so that the THP was available for recycle to the catalytic hydrogenation zone for rehydrogenation to OHP. Apparently, with a high OHP/THP ratio in the solvent, the OHP assumes the hydrogenation function and less active THP is relieved of this function. In the present invention the liquefaction residence time is sufficiently low that the THP does not assume a significant hydrogen donation function.

### EXAMPLE 3

20 In order to demonstrate the effect of employing an OHP-enriched solvent for coal liquefaction at elevated temperatures, a series of tests was conducted to determine the effect upon coal solvation of a catalytically hydrogenated, OHP-enriched solvent as compared with an unhydrogenated solvent at various hydrogen donor concentrations. The unhydrogenated solvent of Example 1 was subjected to catalytic hydrogenation using a  
30 NiTiMoW/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at 700°F (371°C) under a hydrogen pressure of 1000 psig (70 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). Separate portions of the hydrogenated solvent, and of the unhydrogenated solvent of Example 1, were utilized for coal liquefaction



employing the feed coal of Example 2 at temperatures of 800°F (427°C), 825°F (441°C) and 850°F (454°C), respectively, all under a hydrogen pressure of 1000 psig (70 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). Following are the results of these tests:

TABLE III

Temperature °F (°C)	Coal Solvation, Wt.% MAF Coal	
	Unhydrogenated Solvent (10 wt. % OHP+T)	Hydrogenated Solvent (17 wt.% OHP+T)
800 (427)	78.8	83.5
825 (441)	82	85.0
850 (454)	57	80

The data in Table III are presented graphically in FIG. 2.

FIG. 2 shows that the advantage in coal solvation of using the prehydrogenated solvent is more pronounced when the liquefaction temperature is 850°F (454°C), as compared to 800°F (427°C) or 825°F (441°C) at a common hydrogen pressure of 1000 psig (70 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). The reason is that repolymerization is more likely to occur at 850°F (454°C) and 1000 psig (70 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>), thereby reversing the depolymerization coal solvation reaction.

EXAMPLE 4

Tests were conducted in which a heavy distillate fraction that had been produced in a coal solvation/liquefaction process in which coal minerals were recycled, was used as the feed to a catalytic hydrogenation unit



for OHP enrichment. The heavy distillate had the following inspections:

Elemental Analysis, wt. %

Carbon	88.79
Hydrogen	8.47
Sulfur	0.49
Nitrogen	1.04
Oxygen	1.91
*API	7.3

10 Saturates, wt. % 9.8

Distillation D86, °C (°F)

OP	215	(419)
10%	244	(471)
30%	276	(529)
50%	301	(574)
70%	330	(626)
90%	379	(714)

\* EP -

20 A sample of the heavy distillate was subjected to hydrogenation using a NiTiMoW/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst comprising 6 weight percent nickel, 5 weight percent titanium, 10 weight percent molybdenum, 10 weight percent tungsten, supported on alumina. Hydrogenation was performed at a temperature of 724°F (384°C) under a hydrogen pressure of 2200 psig (154 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) and with a liquid hourly space velocity of 1.0.

The mass spectrometric analysis of the hydrogenated solvent is set forth in Table IV, below, as Test 1. A sample of the hydrogenated solvent was utilized in coal



liquefaction. It was admixed with pulverized Pittsburgh seam coal and the slurry was fed to an autoclave operated at a temperature of 850°F (454°C), a pressure of 2000 psig (140 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for a residence time of 20 minutes.

A mass spec analysis of the solvent range fraction produced in the coal liquefaction is set forth as Test 2 in Table IV, below:

TABLE IV

Test	1	2
10 Mass Spec Analysis, wt. % sample		
Octahydrophenanthrenes (OHP)	13.9	9.9
Hexahydrophenanthrenes	1.2	1.1
Tetrahydrophenanthrenes (THP)	12.0	17.6
Phenanthrenes (P)	1.5	3.2
Tetralin (T)	6.2	6.6
OHP/THP	1.16	0.56

The data in Table IV show that in the course of the liquefaction reaction the OHP content of the solvent range liquid dropped from 13.9 weight percent to 9.9 weight percent, while at the same time the THP content of the solvent increased. Additionally, the Tetralin content of the solvent increased from 6.2 weight percent to 6.6 weight percent. Thus, Table IV shows that Tetralin is being produced in the liquefaction reactor, while the OHP is being consumed, showing that the OHP is the most active hydrogen donor.

Of particular importance is the fact that the low liquefaction residence time of only 20 minutes assisted in keeping the OHP level relatively high, so that the solvent range liquid from the liquefaction zone still had a comparatively high OHP concentration (9.9 wt. %).

EXAMPLE 5

For comparative purposes a heavy distillate fraction similar to that of Example 4 which is not subjected to catalytic hydrogenation is used as a solvent in coal liquefaction employing mineral residue recycle. The solvent fraction is admixed with pulverized Pittsburgh seam coal and passed to an autoclave maintained at a temperature of 850°F (454°C), a pressure of 2000 psig (140 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for a residence time of 20 minutes.

10 An analysis of the solvent fraction supplied to the liquefaction step and of a solvent fraction in the liquefaction effluent is set forth as Tests 1 and 2, respectively, in Table V below:

TABLE V

Test	1	2
Mass Spec Analysis,		
wt. % sample		
Octahydrophenanthrenes (OHP)	2.1	2.4
Hexahydrophenanthrenes	1.8	1.8
20 Tetrahydrophenanthrenes (THP)	17.3	17.5
Phenanthrenes (P)	6.4	6.4
Tetralins (T)	1.9	2.7
OHP/THP	.12	.14

The data of Table V demonstrate that the solvent produced in a liquefaction process utilizing mineral residue recycle can sustain its OHP and Tetralin levels even without catalytic hydrogenation, although at low levels.

EXAMPLE 6

In order to demonstrate the effect of OHP and Tetralin upon coal solvation, a series of tests were conducted utilizing two, separate hydrogenated solvents each containing OHP, T, THP and other hydroaromatics. One of the solvents contained about 22 percent OHP + T and about 39.5 percent THP and other hydroaromatics; the other solvent contained about 10 percent OHP + T and about 42.5 percent THP and other hydroaromatics. Each solvent was tested in a liquefaction process at two temperatures of 800°F (427°C) and 850°F (454°C), respectively. The pressure in all tests was 1000 psig (70 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). The results are set forth in Table VI and FIG. 3.

TABLE VI

<u>Coal Solvation, Wt. % of MAF Coal</u>				
<u>Temperature</u> <u>°F (°C)</u>	<u>OHP + T</u> <u>(10 wt.%)</u>	<u>OHP + T</u> <u>(22 wt.%)</u>	<u>Others + THP</u> <u>(39.5 wt.%)</u>	<u>Others + THP</u> <u>(42.5 wt.%)</u>
800 (427)	76	86	86	76
850 (454)	57	80	80	57

FIG. 3 graphically illustrates the data of Table VI in terms of the level of particular aromatic components in the solvent.

FIG. 3 shows that the percent coal solvation increases as the concentration of OHP + Tetralin in the solvent (OHP + T) increases, but decreases when the concentration of other hydroaromatics in which THP predominates, increases at the expense of OHP. The increase in OHP + T needed for improving the coal solvation at 800°F (427°C) from 76 weight percent to 86 weight percent of the MAF coal is 12 percent of the total solvent, but is equivalent to a 120 percent increase in the OHP + T components themselves. Thus, FIG. 3 demonstrates that OHP + T constitutes a sensitive indicator for measuring the hydrogen transfer capability of a solvent for coal liquefaction. FIG. 3 shows that the dependence of coal solvation on OHP + T content is even more pronounced at higher temperatures, such as 850°F (454°C), than at lower temperatures 800°F (427°C).

#### EXAMPLE 7

In order to demonstrate the effect of the OHP content of the solvent upon distillate yield in a coal liquefaction process, tests were conducted utilizing four separate hydrogenated hydroaromatics-containing solvents for coal liquefaction at 800°F (427°C), and a hydrogen pressure of 2000 psig (140 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>). An analysis of the distillate yield versus concentration of OHP + T for each of the four solvents and of the respective concentrations of the corresponding THP and other hydroaromatics in the solvents is set forth in Table VII, below:





TABLE VII

<u>Distillate Yield</u> <u>(wt.% of MAF Coal)</u>	<u>OHP + T</u> <u>(wt.% of solvent)</u>	<u>THP + Others</u> <u>(wt.% of solvent)</u>
13.5	10	42.5
20	13	36
29	34	31

The data in Table VII are presented graphically in FIG. 4 in a manner which illustrates the effect of interchanging OHP + T with THP and other hydroaromatics in a solvent. The ascending curve in FIG. 4 shows that the distillate yield increases as the weight percent of OHP + T in the solvent increases. In contrast, the descending curve shows that distillate yield decreases as the concentration of THP and other hydroaromatics increases at the expense of OHP + T. Distillate yield is greatly affected by the hydrogen transfer capability of the solvent, because production of distillate requires highly reactive hydrogen donors to inhibit polymerization of free radicals.

Although the invention has been described in considerable detail with particular reference to certain preferred embodiments thereof, variations and modifications can be effected within the spirit and scope of the invention as described hereinbefore, and as defined in the appended claims.



## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A process for the conversion of coal to a liquid fuel product, which comprises contacting said coal with hydrogen and a solvent, said solvent containing OHP and THP in a ratio of OHP/THP greater than 0.4, said OHP being present in an amount of at least 5 weight percent based upon the total weight of said solvent, said contacting being conducted under conditions to produce a liquid product stream.

2. The process of claim 1, wherein said solvent contains OHP and THP in a ratio greater than 1.

3. The process of claim 2, wherein said ratio of OHP to THP is less than 15.

4. The process of claim 1, wherein said solvent additionally contains Tetralin.

5. The process of claim 1, wherein said coal is contacted with hydrogen and a solvent in a solvation/liquefaction process conducted at a temperature in the range of between about 350° and about 500°C, a pressure between about 1000 and about 4000 psi, for a residence time between about 3 minutes and about 2 hours.

6. The process of claim 5, wherein said process is conducted at a temperature in the range between about 400° and 475°C, a pressure between about 1000 and about 2000 psi, and a residence time between about 3 minutes and about 1 hour.



7. The process of claim 1, wherein said solvent is obtained by catalytic hydrogenation of THP to OHP in a liquid fraction from the coal solvation/liquefaction process.

8. The process of claim 7, wherein said liquid fraction boils in the range between about 280° and about 400°C.

9. A solvent for the solvation/liquefaction of coal comprising OHP and THP wherein the ratio of OHP/THP is greater than 0.4, said solvent containing at least 5 percent by weight OHP based upon the total weight of said solvent, and the amount of P in said solvent being less than 10 weight percent.


10. The solvent of claim 9, wherein OHP and THP are present in a ratio greater than 1.

11. The solvent of claim 10, wherein OHP and THP are present in a ratio of between about 1 and about 15.

12. The solvent of claim 9, wherein said solvent additionally contains Tetralin.

13. The solvent of claim 9, wherein said solvent is substantially Tetralin-free.

14. The solvent of claim 9, wherein said solvent contains between about 10 and about 20 percent by weight THP.



15. The solvent of claim 9, wherein said solvent contains between about 20 and about 50 weight percent OHP + THP + P.

16. The solvent of claim 9, wherein said solvent is substantially DHP-free.

17. The solvent of claim 9, wherein said solvent contains between about 5 and about 10 weight percent P.

100-111  
100-111  
100-111

## AMENDED CLAIMS

(received by the International Bureau on 2 June 1981 (02.06.81))

1. A process for the conversion of coal to a liquid fuel product, which comprises contacting said coal with hydrogen and a coal-derived solvent, said solvent containing OHP, THP and P, said OHP and THP being in a ratio of OHP/THP greater than 0.4 and below 15, said solvent containing at least 5 weight percent OHP and at least 1 weight percent THP based upon the total weight of said solvent, said contacting being conducted under conditions to produce a liquid product stream containing below about 15 weight percent P.

2. The process of claim 1, wherein said solvent contains OHP and THP in a ratio greater than 1.

3. The process of claim 1, wherein said solvent additionally contains Tetralin.

4. The process of claim 1, wherein said coal is contacted with hydrogen and a solvent in a solvation/liquefaction process conducted at a temperature in the range of between about 350° and about 500°C, a pressure between about 1000 and about 4000 psi, for a residence time between about 3 minutes and about 2 hours.

5. The process of claim 4, wherein said process is conducted at a temperature in the range between about 400° and 475°C, a pressure between about 1000 and about 2000 psi, and a residence time between about 3 minutes and about 1 hour.



6. The process of claim 1, wherein said solvent is obtained by catalytic hydrogenation of THP to OHP in a liquid fraction from the coal solvation/liquefaction process.

7. The process of claim 6, wherein said liquid fraction boils in the range between about 280° and about 400°C.

8. A solvent for the solvation/liquefaction of coal comprising OHP and THP wherein the ratio of OHP/THP is greater than 0.4, said solvent containing at least 5 percent by weight OHP based upon the total weight of said solvent, and the amount of P in said solvent being less than 10 weight percent.

9. The solvent of claim 8, wherein OHP and THP are present in a ratio greater than 1.

10. The solvent of claim 9, wherein OHP and THP are present in a ratio of between about 1 and about 15.

11. The solvent of claim 8, wherein said solvent additionally contains Tetralin.

12. The solvent of claim 8, wherein said solvent is substantially Tetralin-free.

13. The solvent of claim 8, wherein said solvent contains between about 10 and about 20 percent by weight THP.



14. The solvent of claim 8, wherein said solvent contains between about 20 and about 50 weight percent OHP + THP + P.

15. The solvent of claim 8, wherein said solvent is substantially DHP-free.

16. The solvent of claim 8, wherein said solvent contains between about 5 and about 10 weight percent P.



## STATEMENT UNDER ARTICLE 19

The amendment to claim 1 requires that the solvent be "coal derived" to distinguish the solvents disclosed in the literature documents, such as H. Shabtai et al, N. Huang et al and N. Ruberto et al, who produce their experimental solvent by hydrogenating pure phenanthrene.

Claim 1 has been further amended to include the preferred range for OHP/THP as was recited in original claim 3. Also, claim 1 has been amended to indicate that at least 1 weight percent THP is present in the solvent. Finally, claim 1 has been amended to recite that the liquid product stream contains below about 15 weight percent P to distinguish the Ruberto et al article whose coal liquefaction product contains more than 15 weight percent.






FIG. 1

FIG. 2

COAL SOLVATION AS A FUNCTION OF HYDROGEN-DONOR CONCENTRATION






FIG. 3

COAL SOLVATION AS A FUNCTION OF  
NATURE AND CONCENTRATION OF HYDROAROMATICS


**FIG. 4**

DISTILLATE YIELD OF COAL LIQUEFACTION AS A  
FUNCTION OF CONCENTRATION OF HYDROAROMATICS  
IN SOLVENT



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US 81/00014

<b>I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> (If several classification symbols apply, indicate all) <sup>3</sup>		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC		
INT. CL. <sup>3</sup> C10G 1/00; 1/06 US. 208/8LE; 10.		
<b>II. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>		
Minimum Documentation Searched <sup>4</sup>		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
US	208/8LE; 10	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched <sup>5</sup>		
<b>III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b> <sup>14</sup>		
Category <sup>6</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>16</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>17</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>18</sup>
X	US, A, 4,048,054, Published, 13 September 1977, Green et al	
X	US, A, 3,726,785, Published, 10 April 1973, Keller et al	
A	US, A, 3,503,864, Published, 31 March 1970, Nelson	
A	US, A, 3,488,278, Published, 06 January 1970, Nelson	
A	US, A, 3,505,203, Published, 07 April 1970, Nelson	
A	US, A, 3,867,275, Published, 18 February 1975, Gleim et al	
A	US, A, 3,884,794, Published, 20 May 1975, Bull et al	
A	US, A, 4,045,328, Published, 30 August 1977, Green et al	
<p><sup>9</sup> Special categories of cited documents: <sup>15</sup></p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document cited for special reason other than those referred to in the other categories</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but on or after the priority date claimed</p> <p>"T" later document published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application, but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance</p> </div> </div>		
<b>IV. CERTIFICATION</b>		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search <sup>2</sup>	Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <sup>3</sup>	
02 APRIL 1981	09 APR 1981	
International Searching Authority <sup>1</sup>	Signature of Authorized Officer <sup>10</sup>	
ISA/US	 WILLIAM G. WRIGHT	

## FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET

X	US, A, 3,692,662, Published, 19 September 1972, Wilson et al
A	US, A, 3,645,885, Published, 29 February 1972, Harris et al
X	US, A, 2,221,410, Published, 12 November 1940, Pier
A	UK, A, 493,447, Published, 06 October 1938, Johnson

V. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE CERTAIN CLAIMS WERE FOUND UNSEARCHABLE <sup>10</sup>

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2) (a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim numbers \_\_\_\_\_, because they relate to subject matter<sup>12</sup> not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. ☐ Claim numbers \_\_\_\_\_, because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out<sup>13</sup>, specifically:

VI. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING <sup>11</sup>

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims of the international application.

2. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims of the international application for which fees were paid, specifically claims:

3. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim numbers:

## Remark on Protest

☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by applicant's protest.

☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## CONTINUATION FROM SECOND SHEET

- A N, Curran et al "Mechanism Of The Hydrogen Transfer Process To Coal And Coal Extract" I&EC Process Design And Development, Issued 2 April 1967, See Pages 166-173.
- A N, Shabtai et al "Steric Effects In Phenanthrene And Pyrene Hydrogenation Catalyzed By Sulfided Ni-W/ $Al_2O_3$ " Fuel Chemical Div. Issued 1978, See Pages 107-113.
- A N, Huang et al, "Hydrogenation Of Phenanthrene Over A Commercial Molybdenum Sulfide Catalyst Under Severe Reaction Conditions" Department Of Chemical Engineering University Of Mississippi, University Mississippi 38677, See Pages 228-243.
- A N, Ruberto et al, "Structured Aspects Of Sub-bituminous Coal Deduced From Solution Studies", Fuel January 1977, Vol. 56 See Pages 25-32.