

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

HYDROCARBON SYNTHESIS

PARTIAL REPORT NO. 2

Montebello Research Laboratory
Work Completed: February 4, 1952

Experiment No. 2001
Report Approved: Nov. 8, 1955

EFFECT OF REDUCTION TEMPERATURE ON SYNTHESIS CATALYST
RUNS 63, 64, 65, AND 66

I. INTRODUCTION

Production at the Carthage Hydrocol, Inc., synthetic fuels plant at Brownsville, Texas, had not reached the design yield level, nor had it reached the levels obtained by various pilot units using the same catalyst base stock. It was believed possible that the method of catalyst preparation at Brownsville was at least partially responsible for the poor yields.

For this reason Montebello Laboratory made a run with a hydrocarbon synthesis reactor, evaluating Bethlehem mill scale catalyst promoted, sized, and reduced at Brownsville. All treatment prior to the synthesis operations was the same as at Brownsville.

It was desired to increase the capacity of the catalyst reduction facilities at Brownsville, and one possibility was to use higher reduction temperatures. In order to ascertain the time advantage to be gained by higher temperatures and also to determine any deleterious effects on catalyst activity, Montebello Laboratory made three runs with a synthesis reactor using catalysts reduced at different temperatures.¹

¹Detailed data on the catalyst reduction rate were presented in TDC 802-45-P.

NOTICE

The information herein contained is not public but belongs to The Texas Company which has provided it solely for an expressly restricted private use. All persons, firms or corporations who receive such information shall be deemed by their act of receiving the same to have agreed to make no duplication or other disclosure or use whatsoever of any or all of such information except such restricted use as is expressly authorized in writing by The Texas Company.

During the latter part of one of the runs, the effect of recycle/fresh feed ratio was investigated by doubling the flow rate of recycle gas. The data were compared with other data from a run in which the recycle rate had been reduced to practically nothing. Extensive investigation of the effect of recycle/fresh feed ratio had not been made at Montebello because the facilities at the commercial plant at Brownsville were not flexible enough, anyhow, to allow much deviation from the design recycle ratio.

This report concerns Runs 63, 64, 65, and 66 made between October 19, 1951, and February 2, 1952.