

Comparative Costs of CV<sub>2</sub>b & DHD  
Ludwigshafen, 10 December 1941

To supplement the comparative production costs for auto-, aviation-, and 170-gasoline from bit. coal as feed for DHD, of October 28, 1941, the attached estimate shows the comparative costs of CV<sub>2</sub>b and DHD high test fuel from bit. coal.

Two cases are calculated for CV<sub>2</sub>b, one for 21% gasification and 0.25 yield, as obtained in Ludwigshafen, and another for 23.5% gasification and 0.19 yield, as obtained in Scholven. In the first case CV<sub>2</sub>b is about 1.4 Pf. cheaper than DHD gasoline with a credit of 25 Pf/kg unrefined s-gasoline (heavy), which can be sold as auto gasoline after refining. In the second case CV<sub>2</sub>b costs as much as DHD.

(Note:-Detailed figures for case 2 are not reproduced.)

TABLE 1

Estimate of Comparative Costs of CV<sub>2</sub>b and  
DHD-gasoline from Bituminous Coal

Capacity: 34 t/h s-gasol. + M-Oil, corresp. to 250000 t/ann. auto gasoline  
Production: 198500 t/ann DHD-gasol, or 191500 t/ann CV<sub>2</sub>b + 21750 t/ann unref. s-gasol. (185000 t/ann. CV<sub>2</sub>b @ Scholven).

21% gasif, 0.25 yield	CV <sub>2</sub> b	DHD
	RM/t gasol.	RM/t gasol.
<u>Raw Material:</u>		
1.42 t s-gasol + M-Oil @ 200.-	= 284.00	1.37 t @ 200.- = 274.00
950 m <sup>3</sup> H <sub>2</sub> @ 5.45 Pf.	51.80	1052 m <sup>3</sup> @ 5.45 Pf. = 57.50
1850 m <sup>3</sup> Hygas Credit @ 0.658 Pf.	(12.20)	1750 m <sup>3</sup> @ 0.658 Pf. (11.52)
156 kg L.F.G. Credit @ 25 Pf.	(39.00)	232 kg @ 25 Pf. (58.00)
	<u>284.60</u>	<u>261.98</u>
<u>Cat. &amp; Chemicals:</u>		
0.498 Ltr 7019 @ 5.-	= 2.50	0.222 Ltr 5058 @ 12.50 = 2.78
0.171 Ltr 7360 @ 7.-	1.20	0.149 Ltr 6434 @ 4.15 = 0.62
5 kg NaOH @ 15 Pf.	0.75	0.532 Ltr 7360 @ 7.- = 3.72
	<u>4.15</u>	5 kg NaOH @ 15 Pf. = 0.75
		<u>7.87</u>
<u>Energy:</u>		
0.42 t HP steam @ 3.-	= 1.26	0.87 t @ 3.- = 2.60
1.26 t LP " @ 2.60	3.28	0.75 t @ 2.60 = 1.95
1120 m <sup>3</sup> fuel gas @ 0.658 Pf.	7.37	95 m <sup>3</sup> @ 0.658 Pf. = 6.25
124 m <sup>3</sup> water @ 1 Pf.	1.24	116 m <sup>3</sup> @ 1 Pf. = 1.16
298 KWH Current @ 2 Pf.	5.96	342 KWH @ 2 Pf. = 6.84
	<u>19.11</u>	<u>18.80</u>

TABLE 1 (Cont'd)

CV <sub>2</sub> b	DHD
RM/t gasol.	RM/t gasol.
<b>Wages &amp; Salaries:</b>	
80 Men/Shift = 3.68/h @ RM 0.85 = 3.13	100 M/Sh = 4.95/h @ 0.85 = 3.78
Added charges 78% 2.44	78% 2.95
Salaries incl. add. ch. 24% 1.34	24% 1.62
Misc. oper. matl. 20%/wages 0.63	20%/wages 0.76
<u>7.54</u>	<u>9.11</u>
<b>Repairs:</b>	
6.5% of 26.9 Mill. = 9.14	6.5% of 30.15 Mill. = 9.87
2% of 6.7 " = 0.70	2% of 7.55 " = 0.76
<u>9.84</u>	<u>10.63</u>
<b>Amortization &amp; Taxes:</b>	
9% of 33.6 Mill. Amort. = 15.80	9% of 37.7 Mill. = 17.10
2% " " " Fire Prot & Tax = 3.50	2% " " " = 3.80
<u>19.30</u>	<u>20.90</u>
Production Costs <u>344.84</u>	329.28
General: 2.5% of Prod. Costs = 8.62	2.5% = 8.22
Interest: 6% of 38.6 Mill. 12.10	6% of 43.3 Mill. 13.10
License: 7.50	7.50
1 t CV <sub>2</sub> b-gasol. = 373.46	1 t DHD-gasol. = 358.11
Credit for unref. s-gasol-180°	
114 kg @ 25 Pf. = (28.90)	
1 t CV <sub>2</sub> b-gasol. = <u>344.56</u>	
(358.81 @ Scholven)	

TABLE 2

Operations: Comparing CV<sub>2</sub>b and DHD

CV <sub>2</sub> b	DHD
300 atm: (without s-gasol)	300 atm:
21% gasif. 0.25 yield	
Injection Pumps 96.0 t/h	Inj. Pumps, 34.0 + 1.16 t/h
Water " 9.6 t/h	Water " 3.4 t/h
Oil Wash 93 m <sup>3</sup>	Gas Circulation, 136000 + 4600 m <sup>3</sup>

CV <sub>2</sub>		D10	
Gas Circulation, Field	240000 m <sup>3</sup>	Thruput	0.8-0.85
Cat. Vol.	0.25	Cat. Vol.	42.5 + 1.5 m <sup>3</sup>
Converters	95.5 m <sup>3</sup> without ref.	Converters	5.2
Stalls	21.7 @ 3.2 m <sup>3</sup>	Stalls	1 (00) + 1 (00)
C.C.P. Dist.	4 (0000)	C.C.P. Dist.	32.7 + 1.10
Column Overhead,	89.0 t/h	Col. Overhead,	10.3 t/h gasoline 0.4
Debenzination,	24.0 t/h-1659	Inj. Pumps,	35.2 + 1.2 t/h
L.F.G. Recovery,	2.4 t/h-light ends	Wet. "	3.5 t/h
Gasol. Wash,	3.75 t/h L.F.G.	Gas Circul.	70400 + 2400 m <sup>3</sup>
Stabil.	24.0 t/h	Yield	0.6
		Cat. Vol.	29.5 m <sup>3</sup>
		Converters	3.6
		Stalls	2 (00)
		C.C.P. Dist.	33.8 + 1.2 t/h
		Col. Overhead,	30.2 + 0.7 t/h, containing C <sub>4</sub>
		50 atm D10	
Hy-gas Consumption:	22800 m <sup>3</sup> /h	Predist.	30.1 t/h, containing C <sub>4</sub>
		Col. Overhead,	6.1 t/h
		Inj. Pumps,	34.6 t/h
		Wet. "	3.5 t/h
		Gas Circul.	52000 m <sup>3</sup>
		Thruput	0.4
		Cat. Vol.	86.5 m <sup>3</sup>
		Stalls	2 (00000)
		C.C.P. Dist.	21.3 t/h
		Col. Overhead	20.8 t/h
		Gasol. Stabil.	26.9 t/h
		" Wash	24.8 t/h
		Debenzination,	1.4 + 1.5 = 2.9 t/h
		L.F.G. Recovery,	3.7 + 2.07 = 5.77 t/h
		H <sub>2</sub> -Consumption	26100 m <sup>3</sup> /h
		Hy-gas Yield:	55.3 x 10 <sup>6</sup> Kcal/h
			+ 53.4 x 10 <sup>6</sup> "
			108.7 x 10 <sup>6</sup> Kcal

TABLE 3

PLANT COSTS

CV <sub>2</sub>	D10		
200 atm.	200 atm.		
Inj. Pumps & Gas Circul.	1.2 MILL.	Inj. Pumps & Gas Circul.	2.9 MILL.
Oil Wash	1.4 "	Stalls	4.9 "
			156 c

TABLE 3 (Cont'd)

CV2b		DHD	
300 atm.		300 atm.	
Stalls	14.0 Mill.	C.C.P. Dist.	1.0 Mill.
C.C.P. Dist.	1.8 "	Stalls	4.8 "
Debenzination & L.F.G. Recovery	2.4 "	Tankage	2.9 "
Gasol. Wash & Stabil.	0.6 "	Pipe Lines	1.7 "
Pipe Lines	1.8 "	Prodist. (50 atm)	0.7 "
Tankage	1.7 "	Inj. Pumps & Gas Circul.	2.8 "
Total 26.9 Mill.		Stalls	4.0 "
		C.C.P. Dist.	0.7 "
		Tankage	0.7 "
		Pipe Lines	0.4 "
		Gasol. Wash & Stabil.	0.65 "
		Debenzination & L.F.G. Recovery	2.4 "
		Total 30.15 Mill.	

Cost Calculation for CV2b  
 Ludwigshafen, 19 Jan 1942

Let us assume that a hydrogenation plant for producing 240000 tons auto gasoline from bituminous coal took up production of CV2b, as far as this is possible within its capacities and retaining the usual plant reserves.

Let us assume a yield of 0.19 and gasification of 23.5% for CV2b. Since a CV2b stall requires a gasified producer, only a 65% stall can be used. On the other hand, in order to utilize the prehydrogenation stalls, the auto gasoline production can be replaced only partially by CV2b. From the above assumptions and consideration of plant capacities and reserves, the following production distribution in the paper phase is derived:

Auto Gasoline	CV2b	Total	Available
Production, 240000 t/ann (1)	3700 t/ann	243700 t/ann	252000 t/ann
3-gas oil 4-b oil input, 22.7 t/h	6.8 t/h	29.5 t/h	31 t/h (2)
H <sub>2</sub> plant, 25000 m <sup>3</sup> - 13700 m <sup>3</sup>	136000 m <sup>3</sup> - 4400 m <sup>3</sup>	140400 m <sup>3</sup>	99000 m <sup>3</sup>
Inj. Pumps, 23.5 t/h	19.2 t/h	42.7 t/h	61.2 t/h
Gas Circul., 22000 m <sup>3</sup>	22000 m <sup>3</sup>	44000 m <sup>3</sup>	106200 m <sup>3</sup>
Converters	4	4	10 (3)
Stalls 2(00) - 1(000)	1(000)	1(000)	10 (4)
C.C.P. Dist. 41.5 t/h	17.2 t/h	58.7 t/h	4
Debenz. & L.F.G. 1.8 t/h	0.9	2.7 t/h	61.8 t/h

- (1) processed together with the CV2b equivalent of a-gas line in prehydrogenation.
- (2) 11-g phase
- (3) one additional converter must, accordingly, be added
- (4) converter for 315% of 10.5 or 27.5 or 30.5% of 31.5

This comparison shows that the liquid phase can be utilized only to 66.5% of its capacity. This circumstance increases the cost of s-gasolene by 5%. But since the  $H_2$ -requirement is only 30% of the  $H_2$ -plant capacity, the cost of  $H_2$  will also be increased by about 6%. If the ideal figures for auto gasoline and  $CV_2b$  are recalculated by using these costs, the cost of auto gasoline is increased from RM. 274.64 to RM. 295.93/t and that of  $CV_2b$  from RM. 338.66 to RM. 362.66/t. But if we base our calculations on an auto gasoline price of RM. 310.00/t we get the following prices, recalculated in the proportion of 274.64:310:

auto gasoline/t	RM. 334.00
$CV_2b$ /t	RM. 410.00

Since an increase in the auto gasoline price from 274.64 to 295.93 or from 310.00 to 334.00 does not appear justified, the increased cost must be charged to  $CV_2b$ .

With 20.9 t/t of auto gasoline (without s-gasoline equivalent from  $CV_2b$ ) the additional cost (Mehrkosten) is  $20.9 \times 24 (334 - 310 + 24) = 602.04$ . Therefore, with a production of 4.62 t  $CV_2b$ , one ton  $CV_2b$  must be charged with  $602/4.62 = 130.51$ . The price of  $CV_2b$  is then  $410.00 + 108.50 =$  RM. 518.50/t.

Note:  $CV_2b$  made over catalyst 7019, was later discontinued and replaced by UED.