



PB229218

**NTIS**


**One Source. One Search. One Solution.**

---

# **ANALYSES OF TARS, CHARs, GASES, AND WATER FOUND IN EFFLUENTS FROM THE SYNTHANE PROCESS**

**BUREAU OF MINES, WASHINGTON, D.C**

**JAN 1974**



U.S. Department of Commerce  
**National Technical Information Service**

---

**One Source. One Search. One Solution.**

# NTIS



## **Providing Permanent, Easy Access to U.S. Government Information**

National Technical Information Service is the nation's largest repository and disseminator of government-initiated scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information. The NTIS collection includes almost 3,000,000 information products in a variety of formats: electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.



### **Search the NTIS Database from 1990 forward**

NTIS has upgraded its bibliographic database system and has made all entries since 1990 searchable on [www.ntis.gov](http://www.ntis.gov). You now have access to information on more than 600,000 government research information products from this web site.

### **Link to Full Text Documents at Government Web Sites**

Because many Government agencies have their most recent reports available on their own web site, we have added links directly to these reports. When available, you will see a link on the right side of the bibliographic screen.

### **Download Publications (1997 - Present)**

NTIS can now provide the full text of reports as downloadable PDF files. This means that when an agency stops maintaining a report on the web, NTIS will offer a downloadable version. There is a nominal fee for each download for most publications.

For more information visit our website:

**[www.ntis.gov](http://www.ntis.gov)**



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Technology Administration  
National Technical Information Service  
Springfield, VA 22161

BuMines TPR 76

PB229218



ANALYSES OF TARS, CHARS, GASES, AND WATER FOUND  
IN EFFLUENTS FROM THE SYNTHANE PROCESS

by

Albert J. Forney, William P. Haynes, Stanley J. Gasior,  
Glenn E. Johnson, and Joseph P. Strakey, Jr.

Pittsburgh Energy Research Center, Pittsburgh, Pa.

Bureau of Mines Application of Improved Technology  
To Provide Clean Energy Program

Technical Progress Report 76

January 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## CONTENTS

|                          | Page |
|--------------------------|------|
| Abstract.....            | 1    |
| Introduction.....        | 1    |
| Acknowledgment.....      | 2    |
| The overall process..... | 2    |
| Water analysis.....      | 2    |
| Gas analysis.....        | 5    |
| Tar analysis.....        | 5    |
| Sulfur analysis.....     | 6    |
| Solids analysis.....     | 7    |
| Other streams.....       | 7    |
| Trace analysis.....      | 8    |
| Conclusion.....          | 8    |
| References.....          | 9    |

## ILLUSTRATIONS

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Flowsheet of prototype Synthane process..... | 2 |
| 2. Forty-atmosphere fluid-bed gasifier.....     | 3 |

## TABLES

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. Byproduct water analysis from Synthane gasification of various coals.....       | 3 |
| 2. Trace elements in condensate from an Illinois No. 6 coal gasification test..... | 4 |
| 3. Components in gasifier gas.....   | 5 |
| 4. Mass spectrometric analyses of the benzene-soluble tar.....                     | 6 |
| 5. Sulfur content of coals and products.....                                       | 6 |
| 6. Representative analyses of coals and chars.....                                 | 7 |
| 7. Trace components in gas and tar.....  | 8 |

- 1 -

## ANALYSES OF TAR, CHARS, GASES, AND WATER FOUND IN EFFLUENTS FROM THE SYNTHANE PROCESS

by

Albert J. Forney,<sup>1</sup> William P. Haynes,<sup>2</sup> Stanley J. Gasior,<sup>3</sup>  
Glenn E. Johnson,<sup>3</sup> and Joseph P. Strakey, Jr.<sup>2</sup>

---

---

### ABSTRACT

Extensive studies have been made of the various effluents found in the Synthane coal-to-gas process. Analyses have been made of the waters, gases, and trace elements present in some of the streams. Results of analyses show the water effluents are the area where extensive research is needed.

### INTRODUCTION

One of the answers to the shortage of natural gas is to convert coal to a high-Btu gas. Four coal-conversion projects are proceeding to the prototype plant scale (75 to 120 tpd coal utilization) (2).<sup>4</sup> An aspect of coal gasification that is of major importance is the possible pollution resulting from the process. While the four prototype plants have different types of processing units, they will have similar effluents. This paper discusses this aspect of the Synthane process, based on the Bureau of Mines laboratory-scale gasifier at Bruceton, Pa.

The advantage of the Bruceton laboratory-scale Synthane gasifier in studying effluent problems is that the waters, tars, gases, and solids streams are representative of those that will be obtained from a commercial operation. There will be some difference due to temperatures and variations in steam-oxygen feed quantities, but the samples of streams discussed in the following tables will be quite representative of larger scale operation. This will also be true of the byproducts of the methanation step. Most of our knowledge of these effluents is based on the work at the Bruceton laboratories of the Pittsburgh Energy Research Center (PERC).

---

<sup>1</sup>Research supervisor.

<sup>2</sup>Supervisory chemical engineer.

<sup>3</sup>Chemical engineer.

<sup>4</sup>Underlined numbers in parentheses refer to items in the list of references at the end of this report.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

At PERC the solids, water, and tar analyses were mostly performed by the General Analysis group headed by H. Schultz, with special thanks due to F. E. Walker, J. F. Smith, and M. F. Ferrer. Other water, tar, and gas analyses were made by the Spectro-Physics group headed by R. A. Friedel with A. G. Sharkey and C. E. Schmidt. Trace element analysis (table 2) of the waters was done by Charles E. Taylor of EPA, and the tar and gas were analyzed by Bernard Keisch of Carnegie-Mellon University. The HCN analyses were performed by Dr. Schultz's group.

## THE OVERALL PROCESS

The overall process is schematically shown in figure 1. This is the 75-tpd pilot plant designed by the Lummus Co. and being built at Bruceton, Pa., by the Rust Engineering Co. Figure 1 shows the major units--the gasifier, shift converter, purification systems, and methanators.




FIGURE 1. - Flowsheet of prototype Synthane process.

shift converter, purification systems, and methanators. Each of these units has its byproduct streams.

## WATER ANALYSIS

The major effluent problem is the contaminated condensate from the gasifier. The Bruceton laboratory gasifier shown in figure 2 condenses the water, tars, and dusts in two water-cooled condensers operated at 100° and 50° C. Table 1 shows the analysis of the condensate from gasification tests with a number of different coals compared with a coke-plant weak ammonia liquor. Bethlehem Steel Co. at its



Additional analyses of the condensate were performed by the Environmental Protection Agency at its Southeast Environmental Research Laboratory; the trace elements in the water are shown in table 2.

TABLE 2. - Trace elements in condensate from an Illinois No. 6 coal gasification test

|                 | No. 1 | No. 2 | Average (by weight) |
|-----------------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| Ppm:            |       |       |                     |
| Calcium.....    | 4.4   | 3.6   | 4                   |
| Iron.....       | 2.6   | 2.9   | 3                   |
| Magnesium.....  | 1.5   | 1.8   | 2                   |
| Aluminum.....   | 0.8   | 0.7   | 0.8                 |
| Ppb:            |       |       |                     |
| Selenium.....   | 401   | 323   | 360                 |
| Potassium.....  | 117   | 204   | 160                 |
| Barium.....     | 109   | 155   | 130                 |
| Phosphorus..... | 82    | 92    | 90                  |
| Zinc.....       | 44    | 83    | 60                  |
| Manganese.....  | 36    | 38    | 40                  |
| Germanium.....  | 32    | 61    | 40                  |
| Arsenic.....    | 44    | 28    | 30                  |
| Nickel.....     | 23    | 34    | 30                  |
| Strontium.....  | 33    | 24    | 30                  |
| Tin.....        | 25    | 26    | 20                  |
| Copper.....     | 16    | 20    | 20                  |
| Columbium.....  | 7     | 5     | 6                   |
| Chromium.....   | 4     | 8     | 6                   |
| Vanadium.....   | 4     | 2     | 3                   |
| Cobalt.....     | 1     | 2     | 2                   |

For a commercial coal-to-gas plant this water would be purified as completely as possible and then used as a recycled cooling water; therefore, it could not possibly contaminate any streams.



## GAS ANALYSIS

Besides the large quantities of  $H_2$ , CO,  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and  $C_2H_6$  made in the gasifier, there are a number of trace components which are of interest. These are shown in table 3 which shows the sulfur compounds plus the BTX (benzene-toluene-xylene) components. The sulfur compounds must be removed before methanation because of their poisoning effect on the nickel catalyst. The use of Benfield<sup>5</sup> hot carbonate gas purification followed by sponge iron and activated carbon traps should accomplish this goal.

TABLE 3. - Components in gasifier gas, ppm

|                         | Illinois<br>No. 6<br>coal | Illinois<br>char | Wyoming<br>subbituminous<br>coal | Western<br>Kentucky<br>coal | North<br>Dakota<br>lignite | Pittsburgh<br>seam<br>coal |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| $H_2S$ .....            | 9,800                     | 186              | 2,480                            | 2,530                       | 1,750                      | 860                        |
| COS.....                | 150                       | 2                | 32                               | 119                         | 65                         | 11                         |
| Thiophene.....          | 31                        | .4               | 10                               | 5                           | 13                         | 42                         |
| Methyl thiophene.....   | 10                        | .4               | -                                | -                           | -                          | 7                          |
| Dimethyl thiophene..... | 10                        | .5               | -                                | -                           | 11                         | 6                          |
| Benzene.....            | 340                       | 10               | 434                              | 100                         | 1,727                      | 1,050                      |
| Toluene.....            | 94                        | 3                | 59                               | 22                          | 167                        | 185                        |
| $C_8$ aromatics.....    | 24                        | 2                | 27                               | 4                           | 73                         | 27                         |
| $SO_2$ .....            | 10                        | 1                | 6                                | 2                           | 10                         | 10                         |
| $CS_2$ .....            | 10                        | -                | -                                | -                           | -                          | -                          |
| Methyl mercaptan.....   | 60                        | .1               | .4                               | 33                          | 10                         | 8                          |

In industrial practice, the BTX would be removed in the oil scrubber (fig. 1). A commercial plant (250,000,000 scfd) will produce about 25,000 gpd of BTX.

## TAR ANALYSIS

Tar analyses were made by our own and other laboratories as shown in tables 4 and 5. Table 4 shows analyses of tars from various coals and the variety of products found in the tar.

Elemental analyses of tars are shown below, in percent:

|              | <u>Illinois No. 6</u> | <u>Illinois No. 6</u> | <u>Lignite</u> |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Carbon.....  | 82.6                  | 83.4                  | 83.8           |
| Hydrogen.... | 6.6                   | 6.6                   | 7.7            |
| Nitrogen.... | 1.1                   | 1.1                   | 1.0            |
| Sulfur.....  | 2.8                   | 2.6                   | 1.1            |

These analyses are reported on a moisture- and ash-free basis. Oxygen can be obtained by difference from 100 percent. It is planned to burn the tars

<sup>5</sup>Reference to trade names is made to facilitate understanding and does not imply endorsement by the Bureau of Mines.

in the boiler because it would be too expensive to try to separate the chemical compounds for sale.

TABLE 4. - Mass spectrometric analyses of the benzene-soluble tar, volume-percent

| Structural type<br>(includes alkyl<br>derivatives) | Run HP-1<br>No. 92,<br>Illinois <sup>1</sup><br>No. 6 coal | Run HPL<br>No. 94,<br>lignite | Run HPM No. 111,<br>Montana<br>subbituminous<br>coal | Run HP-118<br>No. 118, <sup>1</sup><br>Pittsburgh<br>seam coal |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Benzenes.....                                      | 2.1  | 4.1                           | 3.9  | 1.9  |
| Indenes.....                                       | <sup>2</sup> 8.6   | 1.5                           | 2.6  | <sup>2</sup> 6.1   |
| Indans.....  | 1.9  | 3.5                           | 4.9  | 2.1  |
| Naphthalenes.....                                  | 11.6   | 19.0                          | 15.3   | 16.5   |
| Fluorenes.....                                     | 9.6  | 7.2                           | 9.7  | 10.7   |
| Acenaphthenes.....                                 | 13.5   | 12.0                          | 11.1   | 15.8   |
| 3-ring aromatics.....                              | 13.8   | 10.5                          | 9.0  | 14.8   |
| Phenyl naphthalenes.....                           | 9.8  | 3.5                           | 6.4  | 7.6  |
| 4-ring pericondensed.....                          | 7.2  | 3.5                           | 4.9  | 7.6  |
| 4-ring catacondensed.....                          | 4.0  | 1.4                           | 3.0  | 4.1  |
| Phenols.....                                       | 2.8  | 13.7                          | 5.5  | 3.0  |
| Naphthols.....                                     | ( <sup>2</sup> )   | 9.7                           | 9.6  | ( <sup>2</sup> )   |
| Indanols.....                                      | .9   | 1.7                           | 1.5  | .7   |
| Acenaphthenols.....                                | -  | 2.5                           | 4.6  | 2.0  |
| Phenanthrols.....                                  | 2.7  | -                             | .9   | -  |
| Dibenzofurans.....                                 | 6.3  | 5.2                           | 5.6  | 4.7  |
| Dibenzothiophenes.....                             | 3.5  | 1.0                           | 1.5  | 2.4  |
| Benzenaphthothiophenes.....                        | 1.7  | -                             | -  | -  |
| N-heterocyclics <sup>3</sup> .....                 | (10.8)   | (3.8)                         | (5.3)  | (8.8)  |
| Average molecular weight...                        | 212  | 173                           | 230  | 202  |

<sup>1</sup>Spectra indicate traces of 5-ring aromatics.

<sup>2</sup>Includes any naphthol present (not resolved in these spectra).

<sup>3</sup>Data on N-free basis since isotope corrections were estimated.

#### SULFUR ANALYSIS

Sulfur analyses of the various coals tested and products are shown in table 5.

TABLE 5. - Sulfur content of coals and products, weight-percent (except gas)

|                  | Coal type               |                        |                                 |                         |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                  | Pittsburgh<br>seam coal | Illinois<br>No. 6 coal | Montana subbi-<br>tuminous coal | North Dakota<br>lignite |
| Coal.....        | 1.5                     | 3.5                    | 0.6                             | 1.1                     |
| Char.....        | .5                      | 1.1                    | .4                              | .6                      |
| Tar.....         | .8                      | 2.7                    | .5                              | 1.0                     |
| Condensate.....  | <.1                     | <.1                    | <.1                             | <.1                     |
| Gas.....vol-pct. | .3                      | 1.1                    | .4                              | .3                      |

## SOLIDS ANALYSIS

The residue (char) from the gasifier in a commercial plant is to be burned along with the tars to raise steam for the process. The ultimate and proximate analyses of the chars are shown in table 6. The chars would contain a percentage of trace elements shown in ash analyses done by the Bureau (1, 3). A problem may exist with  $SO_x$  in stack gases when burning the char and tars from the gasification of high-sulfur coal.

TABLE 6. - Representative analyses of coals and chars, weight-percent

|                              | Illi-<br>nois<br>No. 6<br>coal | West-<br>ern<br>Ken-<br>tucky<br>coal | Wyo-<br>ming<br>subbi-<br>tumi-<br>nous<br>coal | North<br>Dakota<br>lignite | Pitts-<br>burgh<br>seam<br>coal |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Coals:                       |                                |                                       |   |                            |                                 |
| Moisture.....                | 8.3                            | 4.3                                   | 18.1  | 20.6                       | 2.5                             |
| Volatile matter...           | 37.5                           | 34.6                                  | 31.9  | 32.9                       | 30.9                            |
| Fixed carbon.....            | 43.0                           | 44.5                                  | 32.0  | 38.2                       | 51.5                            |
| Ash.....                     | 11.2                           | 16.6                                  | 18.0  | 8.3                        | 15.1                            |
| Hydrogen.....                | 5.3                            | 4.7                                   | 5.4   | 5.7                        | 4.7                             |
| Oxygen.....                  | 15.9                           | 10.9                                  | 30.3  | 32.6                       | 9.3                             |
| Carbon.....                  | 63.0                           | 62.7                                  | 45.2  | 51.5                       | 68.4                            |
| Nitrogen.....                | 1.1                            | 1.2                                   | .6  | .7                         | 1.2                             |
| Sulfur.....                  | 3.5                            | 3.9                                   | .5  | 1.2                        | 1.3                             |
| Chars (from above<br>coals): |                                |                                       |   |                            |                                 |
| Moisture.....                | .8                             | 1.2                                   | .5  | 1.2                        | 1.4                             |
| Volatile matter...           | 4.0                            | 4.8                                   | 5.1   | 10.0                       | 1.6                             |
| Fixed carbon.....            | 69.9                           | 63.3                                  | 38.1  | 50.2                       | 69.3                            |
| Ash.....                     | 25.3                           | 30.7                                  | 56.3  | 38.6                       | 27.7                            |
| Hydrogen.....                | 1.0                            | 1.0                                   | 1.0   | .9                         | 1.0                             |
| Oxygen.....                  | 1.3                            | 1.1                                   | 1.2   | .0                         | 1.7                             |
| Carbon.....                  | 70.4                           | 64.5                                  | 40.6  | 58.9                       | 68.9                            |
| Nitrogen.....                | .6                             | .7                                    | .4  | .2                         | .5                              |
| Sulfur.....                  | 1.4                            | 2.0                                   | .5  | 2.0                        | .2                              |

## OTHER STREAMS

Since we operate both gasification and methanation laboratory-size equipment at PERC, we have analyzed these streams extensively. No serious contaminants have been detected in the water from the methanation reactors. The other process steps are not being investigated by PERC, but some

assumptions can be made. It would be reasonable to assume the contaminated condensate from the shift converter would be similar to but more dilute than the gasifier condensate shown in table 1. There should be no effluent from the hot carbonate unit if the feed gas contains the proper partial pressure of water. The Stretford unit should have a gas effluent low enough in sulfur for air pollution requirements for the 75-tpd plant at Bruceston.

#### TRACE ANALYSIS

Other analyses that took special methods are shown in table 7. The HCN analysis is of special significance since it could effect the operation of the Stretford unit in the 75-tpd pilot plant. However the low level (ppb) indicates no serious problem. Mercury is present in the gas from the gasifier, but none was detected in the final product; that is, in the high-Btu gas. The mercury and arsenic in the tars, if burned, would probably end up in the stack gas.

TABLE 7. - Trace components in gas and tar

|                              | Gas (by volume) |              | Tar (by weight) |              |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                              | HCN, ppb        | Mercury, ppm | Mercury, ppm    | Arsenic, ppm |
| Illinois char.....           | 5               | -            | -               | -            |
| Illinois No. 6 coal.....     | 20              | 0.00001      | 0.003           | 0.7          |
| Western Kentucky coal.....   | 11              | -            | -               | -            |
| North Dakota lignite.....    | 3               | -            | -               | -            |
| Wyoming subbituminous coal.. | 2               | -            | -               | -            |

#### CONCLUSION

While we have obtained much data, we are continuing to analyze these effluent streams exhaustively and hope to completely characterize these effluents and have solutions available for handling them before the 75-tpd plant is operating. No serious problems are foreseen at this time.

## REFERENCES

1. Abernethy, R. F., M. J. Petersen, and F. H. Gibson. Major Ash Constituents in U.S. Coals. BuMines RI 7240, 1969, 9 pp.
2. Forney, A. J. Progress Report on SNG Technology. Pipeline Industry, v. 37, No. 4, September 1972, pp. 27-29.
3. Kessler, T., A. G. Sharkey, Jr., and R. A. Friedel. Analysis of Trace Elements in Coal by Spark-Source Mass Spectrometry. BuMines RI 7714, 1973, 7 pp.
4. Kostenbader, P. D., and J. W. Flecksteiner. Biological Oxidation of Coke Plant Weak Ammonia Liquor. J. Water Pollution Control Federation, v. 41, No. 2, pt. 1, February 1969, pp. 199-207.

## **SATISFACTION GUARANTEED**

**NTIS strives to provide quality products, reliable service, and fast delivery. Please contact us for a replacement within 30 days if the item you receive is defective or if we have made an error in filling your order.**

▲ **E-mail: [info@ntis.gov](mailto:info@ntis.gov)**  
▲ **Phone: 1-888-584-8332 or (703)605-6050**

# **Reproduced by NTIS**

National Technical Information Service  
Springfield, VA 22161

***This report was printed specifically for your order from nearly 3 million titles available in our collection.***

For economy and efficiency, NTIS does not maintain stock of its vast collection of technical reports. Rather, most documents are custom reproduced for each order. Documents that are not in electronic format are reproduced from master archival copies and are the best possible reproductions available.

Occasionally, older master materials may reproduce portions of documents that are not fully legible. If you have questions concerning this document or any order you have placed with NTIS, please call our Customer Service Department at (703) 605-6050.

## **About NTIS**

NTIS collects scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information – then organizes, maintains, and disseminates that information in a variety of formats – including electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.

The NTIS collection of nearly 3 million titles includes reports describing research conducted or sponsored by federal agencies and their contractors; statistical and business information; U.S. military publications; multimedia training products; computer software and electronic databases developed by federal agencies; and technical reports prepared by research organizations worldwide.

For more information about NTIS, visit our Web site at <http://www.ntis.gov>.

# **NTIS**

**Ensuring Permanent, Easy Access to  
U.S. Government Information Assets**



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Technology Administration  
National Technical Information Service  
Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 605-6000

---

---