NOVEL TECHNIQUES FOR SLURRY BUBBLE COLUMN **HYDRODYNAMICS**

Final Technical Report

Principal Investigators:

M.P. Dudukovic Washington University Department of Chemical Engineering The Laura and William Jens Professor and Chairman Campus Box 1198 Director, Chemical Reaction Engineering One Brookings Drive St. Louis, Missouri 63130 Laboratory Fax: 314-935-4832

L.-S. Fan Distinguished University Professor Chairman, Department of Chemical Engineering

Min Chang Senior Staff Engineer

Phone: 314-935-6021 E-mail: dudu@wuche3.wustl.edu

Ohio State University Department of Chemical Engineering 140 West 19th Avenue-Room 125 Columbus, Ohio 43210-1180 Fax: 614-292-3769 Phone: 614-292-7907 E-mail: FAN@er6s1.eng.ohio-state.edu

Exxon Research and Engineering P.O. Box 101 Florham Park. NJ 07931 Fax: 201-765-1189 Phone: 201-765-6109 E-mail: mchang@crsgil.erenj.com

Co-Investigators

Washington University:

Ohio State University: Exxon Research and Engineering: Dr. M. Al-Dahhan, Dr. J. Chen, P. Gupta, Dr. A. Kemoun, Dr. R. Mudde (Delft University), Dr. Y. Pan, S. Roy, Dr. Y. Yang Dr. P. Jiang, D.J. Lee, G. Shaver Dr. C. Coulaloglou, Dr. W. Heard

DRAFT

May 14, 1999

Prepared for the United States Department of Energy Award No. DOE-FG 22-95 PC 95212 Award Period: July 1, 1995 – June 30, 1998

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency therefor, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Novel Techniques for Slurry Bubble Column Hydrodynamics

<u>Final Technical Report</u>
DE FG 22 95 PC 95212
July 1, 1995 – June 30, 1998
+ 9 month no cost extension

ABSTRACT

The objective of this cooperative research effort between Washington University, Ohio State University and Exxon Research Engineering Company was to improve the knowledge base for scale-up and operation of slurry bubble column reactors for syngas conversion and other coal conversion processes by increased reliance on experimentally verified hydrodynamic models.

During the first year (July 1, 1995 – June 30, 1996) of this three year program novel experimental tools (computer aided radioactive particle tracking (CARPT), particle image velocimetry (PIV), heat probe, optical fiber probe and gamma ray tomography) were developed and tuned for measurement of pertinent hydrodynamic quantities, such as velocity field, holdup distribution, heat transfer and bubble size. The accomplishments were delineated in the First Technical Annual Report.

The second year (July, 1996 – June 30, 1997) was spent on further development and tuning of the novel experimental tools (e.g., development of Monte Carlo calibration for CARPT, optical probe development), building up the hydrodynamic data base using these tools and comparison of the two techniques (PIV and CARPT) for determination of liquid velocities. A phenomenological model for gas and liquid backmixing was also developed. All accomplishments were summarized in the Second Annual Technical Report.

During the third and final year of the program (July 1, 1997 – June 30, 1998) and during the nine months no cost extension, the high pressure facility was completed and a set of data was taken at high pressure conditions. Both PIV, CT and CARPT were used. More fundamental hydrodynamic modeling was also undertaken and model predictions were compared to data. The accomplishments for this period are summarized in this report.

NOVEL TECHNIQUES FOR SLURRY BUBBLE COLUMN HYDRODYNAMICS DE FG 22-95 PC 95212

Joint University/Industry Project June 1, 1995 - July 30, 1998 (+ 9 Months No-Cost Extension) FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			<u>Page No.</u>
	Discl	aimer	ii
	Abst	ract	iii
	Table	e Contents	iv
	List o	of Figures	vi
	List o	of Tables	xi
	Exec	utive Summary	xii
1.	INTF	RODUCTION AND MOTIVATION	1
	1.1	Bubble Columns (BC)/Slurry Bubble Columns (SBC)	1
	1.2	Focus of the Investigation	3
2.	OBJI	ECTIVES	5
	2.1	Review of the Overall Objectives and Accomplishments	5
	2.2	Accomplishments During Year 1	7
	2.3	Accomplishments During Year 2	7
	2.4	Objectives and Accomplishments for Year 3	8
3.	GAS	HOLDUP IN HIGH PRESSURE BUBBLE COLUMNS BY	
	COM	IPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (CT)	9
	3.1	Introduction and Objective	9
	3.2	The High Pressure Experimental Facility	10
	3.3	Results and Discussion	14
		3.3.1 Radial Gas Holdup Distribution	14
		3.3.2 Cross-Sectional Average Gas Holdup	17
	3.4	Comparison with Various Correlations in the Literature	21
	3.5	Summary	26
	3.6	Nomenclature	26
	3.7	References	27

1.	COM	IPUTER AUTOMATED RADIOACTIVE PARTICLE
	TRA	CKING (CARPT) IN HIGH PRESSURE BUBBLE
	COL	UMNS
	4.1	Introduction
	4.2	High Pressure Experimental Set-up
		4.2.1. CARPT Facility
		4.2.2. Experimental Applications
	4.3	Results and Discussion
	4.4	References
	MON	TE CARLO SIMULATIONS OF NaL(TI) SCINTILLATION
	DET	FCTORS FOR MULTIPHASE FLOW MAPPING AND
	VISI	LATION USING CARPT
	VISC	
	5.1	Introduction
	5.2	Research Objectives
	5.3.	Mathematical Description
		5.3.1. Determination of Solid Angle
		5.3.2. Photon Interaction with Reactor Media and Detector
		Crystal
		533 Computation of Simulated Counts
		534 Optimization
	54	Theoretical Validation
	55	Experimental Validation
	5.5.	Conclusions
	5.0. 5.7	References
	5.7.	
	FLUI	ID DYNAMICS IN HIGH PRESSURE SLURRY BUBBLE
	COL	UMNS
	6.1	Introduction
	6.2	Experimental Set-up
	6.3	Single Bubble Rise Velocity
	6.4	Bubble Size Distribution
	6.5	Theoretical Model and Numerical Method
		6.5.1 Liquid Phase Model
		6.5.2 Gas Phase Model
		6.5.3 Dispersed Particle Model
		6.5.4 Coupling Among Individual Phases
	6.6	Results from Numerical Study
		6.6.1 Bubble Rising in Liquid-Solid Fluidized Medium
		6.6.2 Particle Entrainment
	6.7	References

/.	COLU	UMN BY EULRIAN/EULERIAN METHOD	76
	7.1	Introduction	76
	7.2	Ensemble Averaged Equations for Two-Phase Flow	78
	7.3	Numerical Details	82
	7.4	Large Structures in Bubble Columns	85
	7.5	Effects of Gas Distributors	92
	7.6	Quantitative Comparison with Experiments	92
	7.7	Conclusions	99
	7.8	Notation	99
	7.9	Literature Cited	100

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Caption	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Bubble Columns and Slurry Bubble Columns	2
Figure 1.2	The Phenomena Occurring in Bubble and Slurry Bubble Columns	4
Figure 3.1	Flowsheet for High Pressure Column	11
Figure 3.2	Top View of the High Pressure Column; Side View of the High Pressure Bubble Column Elevation Along Section AA of Figure 3.2	12
Figure 3.3	Side View of the High Pressure Bubble Column Elevation Along Section AA of Figure 3.2	13
Figure 3.4	Perforated Plate Distributor of 0.04% Open Area	14
Figure 3.5	Radial Gas Holdup Distribution as a Function of Pressure for $U_g = 2 \text{ cm/s}$	15
Figure 3.6	Radial Gas Holdup Distribution as a Function of Pressure for $U_g = 12 \text{ cm/s}$	15
Figure 3.7	Radial Distribution of Gas Holdup at Atmospheric Conditions as a Function of Superficial Gas Velocity for 19 cm Air-Water Column with Bubble Cap Distributor (Shollenberger <i>et al.</i> , 1995)	16
Figure 3.8	Radial Distribution of Gas Holdup as a Function of Pressure for Ug = 10 cm/s (Taken from Shollenberger <i>et al.</i> , 1996)	17
Figure 3.9	Cross-sectional Distribution of the Gas Holdup at (a) $P = 1$ atm, (b) $P = 3$ atm, and (c) $P = 7$ atm for $U_g = 2$ cm/s	18
Figure 3.10	Cross-sectional Distribution of the Gas Holdup at (a) $P = 1$ atm, (b) $P=3$ atm, and (c) $P=7$ atm for $U_g = 12$ cm/s	19
Figure 3.11	Cross-sectional Average Gas Holdup as a Function of Superficial Gas Velocity at Different Pressures	19
Figure 3.12	Cross-sectional Averaged Gas Holdup as a Function of Pressure at Different Superficial Gas Velocities	20

Figure 3.13	Cross-sectional Average Gas Holdup as a Function of Superficial Gas Velocity at Atmospheric Pressure	2:
Figure 3.14	Cross-Sectional Average Gas Holdup as a Function of Superficial Gas Velocity at P = 7 atm	2:
Figure 4.1	CARPT Setup for the High Pressure Bubble Column in CREL.	3
Figure 4.2	Calibration Device for High Pressure Bubble Column	3
Figure 4.3	Calibration Curves of Different Detectors	3
Figure 4.4	Tracer Particle Locations (X(t), Z(t)) During 20 Minutes of the Run	34
Figure 4.5	Increased Accuracy Near the Sparger with Increased Number of Detectors	34
Figure 4.6	Axial Mean Velocities at Different z Level (30 and 90 cm) for a Superficial Gas Velocity of 5cm/s at Pressure of 0.3 MPa	3
Figure 4.7	Axial Liquid Velocity Profiles (a), Turbulent Kinetic Energy (b) For an Atmospheric Column of 6 Inches in Diameter	3
Figure 4.8	Kinetic Energy Profile. Superficial Gas Velocity: 5cm/s. Pressure:0.3 Mpa	3′
Figure 5.1	Notation Used in the Selection of Angles for Monte Carlo Calculations	42
Figure 5.2	Notation for the Case of a Point Source Located Directly Above the Circular Face of the Detector	4
Figure 5.3	The Four Possible Cases of Travel of Photons Through the Detector	5
Figure 5.4	Schematic of the Experimental Setup to Verify the Monte Carlo Simulations	5:
Figure 5.5	Spectrum Analysis of the Four Detectors used in the Experiments for Identification of the Threshold Signifying the Beginning of the Photopeak	5

Figure 5.6	Reconstructed Particle Position Over 190 Data Points Acquired Every 20 ms
Figure 6.1	Effect of Pressure on Terminal Rise Velocity of Single Bubbles in Paratherm NF Heat Transfer Fluid and Predicted Values at (a) 27°C and (b) 78°C
Figure 6.2	Comparisons of Measured and Calculated <i>Re</i> of Single Bubbles in Paratherm NF Heat Transfer Fluid Under Varied Pressure and Temperature Conditions. The Fan- Tsuchiya (1990) and Tomiyama et al. (1995) correlations are Plotted (—— and —— —, respectively) at Regular Intervals of <i>Mo</i> Values. The Fan-Tsuchiya (1990) Correlation at Measured <i>Mo</i> Values for Comparison With Measured <i>Re-Eo</i> data ()
Figure 6.3	Bubble Size Distributions Under Various Pressures at $T = 27^{\circ}$ C and $U_g = 8$ cm/s
Figure 6.4	Bubble Size Distributions Under Various Pressures at $T = 27^{\circ}$ C and $U_g = 2$ cm/s
Figure 6.5	Bubble Size Distributions Under Various Pressures at $T = 78^{\circ}$ C and $U_g = 5$ cm/s
Figure 6.6	Simulation and Experimental Results of a Bubble Rising in a Liquid-Solid Fluidized Bed
Figure 6.7	Simulation of a Bubble Emerging From a Liquid-Solid Fluidized Bed
Figure 7.1	Computational Mesh Used For the Simulations of 2-D Column With Discrete Gas Injectors
Figure 7.2	Instantaneous Contour Plots for Gas Holdup in (1) 11.2-cm wide Column at $U_{sup}=1$ (Cm/s); (2) 15.2-cm wide Column at $U_{sup}=1$ (Cm/s); and (3) 32-cm wide Column at $U_{sup}=1.2$ (Cm/s)
Figure 7.3	The Instantaneous Flow Field in a 15-cm Wide Column at U _{sup} =1 (cm/s). (1) Gas Holdup Contour; (2) Liquid Velocity Vector; (3) Liquid Vorticity Contour
Figure 7.4	Instantaneous Gas Holdup Contours in a 15.2 cm Wide Column Operated at (1) $U_{sup}=0.25$ cm/s; (2) $U_{sup}=1$ cm/s; (3) $U_{sup}=2$ cm/s

Figure 7.5	Spatial Power Spectra of u in a 15-cm Wide Column at Three Different Superficial Gas Velocities	89
Figure 7.6	Time Series of the Liquid Velocity at the Central Point of 15-cm Wide Column at $U_{sup}=1$ cm/s	90
Figure 7.7	Temporal Power Dpectra of the Liquid Velocity in a 15-cm Wide Column at $U_{sup}=1$ cm/s	91
Figure 7.8	The Instantaneous Gas Holdup Contour of 15-cm Wide Column at $U_{sup}=1$ cm/s with: (1) 1-jet; (2) 3-jet; (3) 9-jet; (4) Uniform Gas Distributors	93
Figure 7.9	Time Averaged Profiles of Gas Holdup and the Vertical Liquid Phase Velocity at the Middle Section of a 15-cm wide Column at $U_{sup}=1$ (cm/s), With Different Gas Distributors	94
Figure 7.10	Time-Averaged Velocity Profiles for the Middle Section of 11-cm Wide Column at $U_{sup}=1$ (cm/s)	95
Figure 7.11	Time-Averaged Velocity Profiles for 15-cm Wide Column at U _{sup} =1 cm/s. Top: Upper Section; Middle: Middle Section; Bottom: Lower Section. — and • are the Numerical Prediction and Experimental Data, Respectively	96
Figure 7.12	Time-Averaged Velocity Profile for the Middle Section of a 32-cm Wide Column at U_{sup} =1.9 cm/s	97
Figure 7.13	Turbulence Intensities and Reynolds Shear Stress in the Middle Section of 15-cm wide Column Operated at $U_{sup}=1$ cm/s. — is the Numerical Values; • is the Experimental Data by Mudde <i>et al.</i> (1997)	98

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Caption	Page No.
Table 3.1	Operating Conditions for the High Pressure System	14
Table 3.2	Cross-Sectional Average Gas Holdup at Different Operating Conditions	20
Table 3.3	Correlations for Gas Holdup	22
Table 3.3	Correlations for Gas Holdup (Continued)	23
Table 3.4	Comparison of Cross-Sectional Average Gas Holdup with Predictions of Different Correlations (and Percent Error in Predictions)	24
Table 4.1	Operating Conditions for the High Pressure System	30
Table 5.1	Comparison of Beam's et al. Formulation to the Formulation Used in This Work	52
Table 7.1	Column Size and Flow Conditions	99