

PB82-179755

EPA-AA-SDSB-82-5

Technical Report

Indirect Liquefaction Processes

by

John McGuckin

February 1982

NOTICE

Technical Reports do not necessarily represent final EPA decisions or positions. They are intended to present technical analysis of issues using data which are currently available. The purpose in the release of such reports is to facilitate the exchange of technical information and to inform the public of technical developments which may form the basis for a final EPA decision, position or regulatory action.

Standards Development and Support Branch  
Emission Control Technology Division  
Office of Mobile Source Air Pollution Control  
Office of Air, Noise and Radiation  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

REPRODUCED BY  
NATIONAL TECHNICAL  
INFORMATION SERVICE  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
SPRINGFIELD, VA 22161

**NOTICE**

**THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED  
FROM THE BEST COPY FURNISHED US BY  
THE SPONSORING AGENCY. ALTHOUGH IT  
IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS  
ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED  
IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE  
AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.**

**TECHNICAL REPORT DATA**  
(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)

1. REPORT NO. EPA-AA-SDSB-82-5		2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO. PB82 179755	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Indirect Liquefaction Processes			5. REPORT DATE February 1982	
			6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE 075059	
7. AUTHOR(S) John McGuckin			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Same as box 12			10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	
			11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.	
12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Mobile Source Air Pollution Control Standards Development and Support Branch Ann Arbor MI 48105			13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED Technical	
			14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE	
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
16. ABSTRACT This report examines the technology feasibility of the various coal gasification and indirect liquefaction technologies. Also included is the best-estimate costs for methanol and gasoline using the various technologies with three different coal/feedstocks by critically analysing publicly available design studies and placing them on a common technical/financial basis. The following conclusion is that methanol from coal is cheaper than gasoline via either the Mobile MTG process or the Fisher/Tropsch process.				
17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS				
a. DESCRIPTORS		b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS		c. COSATI Field/Group
Coal Gasification Cost Control Feasibility Fossil Fuels Gasoline		Coal liquefaction Methanol Synthetic Crudes		
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report)		21. NO. OF PAGES 75
		20. SECURITY CLASS (This page)		22. PRICE

## Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction/Summary . . . . .	1
II. History of Methanol Production . . . . .	7
III. The Methanol Production Process. . . . .	9
IV. Gasification Technology. . . . .	11
A. Fixed or Slow Moving Bed . . . . .	12
B. Fluidized Bed. . . . .	18
C. Entrained Bed. . . . .	19
V. Synthesis Technology . . . . .	22
A. ICI Low-Pressure Methanol Synthesis. . . . .	26
B. Lurgi Low-Pressure Methanol Synthesis. . . . .	27
C. Haldor Topsoe Methanol Synthesis . . . . .	27
D. Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Methanol Synthesis . . . . .	28
E. Vulcan-Cincinnati High-Pressure. . . . .	29
Methanol Synthesis	
F. Wentworth Brothers' Methyl Fuel Process. . . . .	29
G. Chem Systems' Liquid Phase Methanol Synthesis. . . . .	30
H. Mobil Methanol-to-Gasoline Process . . . . .	31
I. Fischer-Tropsch Process. . . . .	32
VI. Comparison of Indirect Liquefaction Design Studies . . . . .	33
A. Methanol from Bituminous Coal. . . . .	34
B. Methanol from Subbituminous Coal . . . . .	44
C. Methanol from Lignite. . . . .	48
D. Production of Gasoline from Coal via . . . . .	61
Fischer-Tropsch and Mobil MTG Technology	
References. . . . .	73