Allowable confined state local compressive stress	= 3,500 psi
Allowable confined state local tensile stress (adequately reinforced)	= 3,000 psi

These stresses are to be based on elastic analyses of the uncracked section. An elastic stress of 3000 psi will actually be much lower if cracking is considered. These values are believed to be conservative and may be modified upward after further studies. The design stress criteria for the PCPV can therefore be grossly different from values currently used for other pressure structures, but the basic considerations of safety and proper behavior will remain unchanged.

6.4.3 FAILURE CHARACTERISTICS OF A PCPV

One of the advantages of using prestressed concrete in the construction of a high pressure vessel is its benign failure characteristics, in that it will not rupture in an explosive manner when subjected to excessive internal pressure. Long before the circumferential prestressing tendons reach their breaking point, the concrete shell will crack and permit the escape and relief of the excessive inside pressure. To some extent, this advantage may be inhibited by the steel liner around the inner surface of the PCPV. For the excessive pressure to be relieved, it is also necessary for the steel liner to fail before the circumferential prestressing tendons do.







, Jahaha 🕇 71717171717171717171717171212





















ų







.













6-33







	PROJECT: COAL GAGIFICATION	SHEET:	
	ITEM: THICK-WALLED CYLINDER SOLUTION	<u> </u>	
	DESIGN: D.H.	REVISION:	
315 Bay St., San Francisco, Ca. 94133.	DATE: MAR. 1973	·	
78/03/18. 17.03.42. PROGRAM THWCYL			
? 200 392 ? 2228 1336.8 150 10			
INT. RADIUS = 200.000 IN EXT. RADIUS = 392.000 IN INT. PRESS. = 2228.000 PSI EXT. PRESS. = 1336.800 PSI INT. TEMP. = 150.000 F NO. INCRE. = 10			
a ti Pio Po			
Figure 6-25 - Thick Walled Cylinder Solutions			









6-41



Reproduced from best available copy.

SECTION 7

FABRICATION AND CONSTRUCTION

A preliminary definition of preferred procedures for fabrication and construction of large PCPVs was developed. The integrated gasifier, the most complex of the vessels studied, was selected to illustrate the procedures and fabrication/construction sequence. The results are summarized in this section.

7.1 CONCLUSIONS

Key conclusions are:

- Large, complex PCPVs can be built. Prior commercial experience, in the field includes prestressed concrete reactor vessels (PCRVs) and reactor secondary containment vessels used by the nuclear industry, storage vessels, bridges and buildings.
- (2) Existing commercial methods and equipment can be used effectively for the construction of these vessels.

7.2 FABRICATION AND CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

Figure 7-1 describes the preferred sequence for fabrication/construction of the integrated gasifier vessel. The construction sequence was developed to minimize interferences between crafts.

In general, procedures and scheduling for other PCPVs would be similar to the case illustrated, recognizing that they would be less complex and the projects could be completed in less time.

Referring to Figure 7-1, following initial site preparation, the steel gasifier vessel shell will be fabricated near the erection site. The vessel welds will be radiographed and the vessel checked for leaks before installation. The exterior cooling fins, studs, and cooling tubes will be installed on the vessels and tested before erection. Steps in the fabrication operations are illustrated in Figure 7-2.

The concrete foundations, complete with vertical tendon ductwork, reinforcing bars, and wire mesh, will be poured prior to vessel erection. The concrete foundations will be prepared for vessel erection by placing mats over the concrete where necessary. The concrete will be prepared in a batch mix plant located at the construction site.

Erection of the 320-ton vessel will be done with large crawler cranes as shown in Figure 7-3. The vessel will be attached to the foundations by anchor bolts through the vessel skirt. After erection of the vessel, the concrete slip form will be installed around it. Reinforcing steel and vertical and horizontal tendon ducts will be installed. The concrete will be poured in 5-foot lifts with each lift pour requiring about 12 hours. The concrete will be placed in the vessel structure by use of three concrete pumps located around the vessel base. Concrete placing booms will be used to distribute the concrete. A week between pours is planned to permit cooling of the concrete mass. This part of the operation is illustrated in Figure 7-4.

The concrete form will use segmental steel construction. It will have self-jacking features so that when the concrete has set, it can be backed away from the concrete and raised to the next level. An auxiliary platform will be located at the bottom of the form to permit finishing operations and installation of nozzles.

Lightweight platorms will be installed at the top of the concrete forms to permit workers to work above the reinforcing steel and concrete. It will also serve as a receiving platform for tendon ductwork and other supplies.

Styrofoam or similar removable material will be installed around areas where nozzles penetrate the vessel exterior face. After the concrete has cured, the styrofoam will be removed and the nozzle extensions welded on.

When concreting has reached a height of 50 feet, the prefabricated auxiliary equipment will be installed with the use of large portable cranes. The equipment will be supported vertically by the concrete. Temporary members will be installed to anchor the vessels in the horizontal plane, as shown in Figure 7-5. Concrete pouring, along with installation of reinforcing steel and vertical and horizontal tendons, will then continue until the vessel is topped off.

The tendon ductwork may be fabricated in the field from strip steel. The vertical ducts will be installed in 10- to 20-foot-long segments. The horizontal ducts will be formed and installed in 60-foot lengths. They will be supported by reinforcing steel prior to concrete pouring.

The installation of horizontal tendons will be done when the concrete has been suitably cured. The vertical tendons will be installed after the concrete pouring has been completed. The tendons consist of 55 strands of 1/2-inch, 270,000 psi steel cable. There are several suppliers and methods of installation of the tendons and the ductwork; one method is illustrated in Figures 7 -6 and 7-7.

Hydrostatic testing of the vessel will be completed prior to installation of refractory.

The internal cooling coils and refractory are next installed; the refractory castable is prepared externally and pumped into the vessel interior. A large gantry crane is installed to provide for refractory installation and repair, closure installation, and other service operations. The connection of external piping will be done during the installation of refractory. These final operations are illustrated in Figure 7-8.

7.3 SCHEDULE

The schedule for the integrated gasifier PCPV is shown in Figure 7-9. The predicted time from project initiation to construction completion is 61 months. A field construction period of approximately 46 months is required. A critical path network of the field activities is shown in Figure 7-10.

For comparison, the field erection time for a single-cavity PCPV is expected to be about 40 to 42 months. This shorter period results from the absence of ancillary vessel installation requirement.

7.4 DISCUSSION

The project plan described above incorporates responses to a number of design, construction and scheduling objectives which are described below.

7.4.1 SITING AND PLANT LAYOUT

The siting of the vessels was selected for efficient operations in recognition of the locations in relation to adjacent plant and facilities. Adequate freedom of movement was provided to permit high local activity occurring during constructionor operation. The plan used construction sequences which allow maximum parallel work progress.

In the PCPV design illustrated, vertical transportation is of particular importance before and after completion of construction. Top cranes and elevators have been included in the present vessel layouts.

7.4.2 CONCRETE SHRINKAGE AND STRAINS DUE TO HEAT OF HYDRATION

The concrete lift schedules were planned to maximize project efficiency recognizing that the heat of hydration of the Portland cement concrete will limit the depth, size, and configuration of each concrete pour. Successful methods have included casting concrete in a full circumferential lift using low heat concrete, casting alternate sectors with a greater depth, or using chilled water in the concrete mix to prevent cracking. It is desirable to avoid discontinuities of section and marked variations of thickness and width over the area being cast.

7.4.3 CONCRETING IN CONGESTED REGIONS

To ensure that a fully compacted concrete structure is achieved, high-workability concrete mixes and careful design/planning is used, particularly where there is congestion of embedded components.



•

7-5 (A)

7-5 (8)




Figure 7-2 - Fabrication of Shell – Integrated Gasifier Vessel





Figure 7-4 - Installation of Slip Form and Casting of Lower Portion – Integrated Gasifier Vessel



Figure 7-5 - Installation of Auxiliary Equipment – Integrated Gasifier Vessel

.



















(PHOTOS COURTESY OF VSL)

.__



Figure 7-6 - Stressing Procedure



Figure 7-7 - Stress Sequence



Figure 7-8 - Final Construction -Integrated Gasifier Vessel



~	YEAR	•	•	
12	MONTH			Ť
ENGINEENING				Т
PROCESS DESIGN				T
ENGINELITIMO AND DEBION				Т
PCPV ENGINEERIMG				Г
	T			
	Î			Т
	T			Т
COMPTRUCTION				Т
SET UP SUPPORT FACILITIES				T
CONSTRUCT FOUNDATIONS AND ERECT BATCH FLANT				Г
FIRST VENSEL	1			T
SECOND VEBREL				r ı
VERELANDER AND ENERTING	T			
PHAST VERNEL	T			
SECOND VEMEL	T			
PACPARE CONCRETE PLACEMENT				
FIME VENEL	I			
				1
				1
				<u> </u>
AR LUNUL V LANG.	T			Т
	T			1
	T			ï
	T			T
	T			Т
	T			7
	-			1
	T			1
	Ī			
RECORD VEHICLE				
	T			1
				T
IS COND VERKEL				T
				Ţ
				Т
				Т
				Т
KING VERSION	T			Т
CC CANDAU VERIEL				Т
INSTALL SERVICE FACILITIES	T			Т
FIAST VERSEL				Т
SECOND VESHEL				Т
PRESOURE TEST VENCEL				Т
FINET VERMEL				Т
SECOND VERIEL				T
INSTALL EXTERNAL EQUIMMENT				r
FIRST VESKEL				Γ
SECOND VEDREL				Γ
INSTALL INTERNAL REFRACTORIES AND COLLS	T			Π
FINGT VERNEL			•	
SECOND VERMEL				
				Π
Consider Benada To Viside L	1			Т
				J
RECOMD VESSEL				Т
And a second sec				٦



:

Figure 7-10 - Construction CPM Schedule – Integrated Gasifier Vessel

7-15

SECTION 8

TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY

This section deals with the ability of PCPVs to meet the process and operational requirements of future coal conversion plants.

8.1 VESSEL SIZE, MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

A survey of the steel pressure vessel requirements for the Oil/Gas process¹ for the production of a total of about 110,000 equivalent BPSD (6 x 10⁶ Btu/bbl) indicates that 16 services are possible candidates for PCPVs. These 16 services require a total of 26 steel pressure vessels with 4-inch thick walls. This quantity could be reduced to 16 if PCPVs were substituted for steel vessels.

In the Oil/Gas report¹ there are 51 pressure vessels. If the plant capacity is increased threefold using the same design and sizes, it would require 153 pressure vessels. By the use of PCPVs in conjunction with steel vessels, this quantity could be reduced to a total of 41 pressure vessels, with 15 to 18 of PCPV construction and the remainder of conventional steel construction.

The shipment of shop-fabricated steel vessels is limited by crane capacities to about 1,000 to 1,200 tons by navigable waterway. If rail shipment is required, the vessel outside diameter is limited to about 14 feet. There are presently about 10 shops in the United States capable of building heavy-walled pressure vessels of 10 to 12 inch wall thickness for all uses nuclear, boilers, as well as process vessels.

There is a very limited number of suppliers of heavy-wall pressure vessel grade steel plate in the United States. There is one company in the United States, one in Europe, and one in Japan with capability to produce 12 to 15 inch thick plates with weights of 90,000 to 100,000 pounds. There are a number of suppliers of pressure vessel grade steel plate of lesser thickness.

There is one U. S. firm experienced in the field fabrication of heavy walled pressure vessels. At least two other firms have organizations for the field erection of such vessels.

Structural concrete in the desired quantities and quality for the PCPVs is widely available in the United States. There are presently four steel companies in the United States that supply the 270 ksi strength cable tendons with a capacity of 80,000 to 100,000 tons per year. The present consumption of this cable is 200,000 to 250,000 tons per year, with about 80% being supplied from foreign countries, primarily Japan. Two other major U. S. steel firms have, in the past, produced this cable. There is believed to be a large overcapacity to produce these cables in foreign countries.

The tendon ducts are made of 14 to 18 gauge galvanized steel sheet. Six firms in the United States are presently fabricating the ducts.

A comparison was made of the total weight, including foundations and associated structural steelwork, of the PCPVs against steel vessels of about the same total capacity. This data is shown in Table 8-1. The PCPVs weighed 4 to 10 times as much as the steel vessels. Concrete in the PCPVs contributed 88% to 92% of their total weight.

A comparison was made of the total steel requirements using PCPVs as compared to conventional steel pressure vessels. The results are summarized below for reduction (or gain) using PCPV construction.

- Integrated gasifier 23% reduction
- Gasifier only 33% reduction
- Dissolver separator 62% reduction
- Absorber 38% gain

8-2 INSPECTION

It is a common practice (mandatory in some states) to periodically inspect pressure vessels after they are placed in operation to assure that no defects have developed. This is necessary to avoid possible injury to personnel, damage to other equipment, or unscheduled plant shutdowns.

In conventional steel pressure vessels this may involve such items as visual inspection, thickness measurements, ultrasonic tests, magnetic particle inspection, dye penetrant tests, or radiographic tests when the vessel is not in service.

Several monitoring methods are also utilized to give warnings while the steel vessels are in operation. These techniques include small weep holes in the shell to disclose when the corrosion allowance has been used up; the use of temperature sensitive paints, skin thermocouples, infrared sensors, and other thermal sensing devices to detect "hot spots" caused by internal refractory failures; and acoustic emission devices for determination of crack growth.

For PCPVs the inspection and monitoring can be divided into two areas. The first area covers the internal refractory and refractory anchors (if used), the metal membrane wall, the concrete to membrane wall attachments, and the external cooling water tubes (if applicable).

The second area covers the structural concrete, reinforcing steel, the tendon cables, and duct work.

Table 8-1 - Weight Comparison^(a) Steel Vessels Versus PCPVs (in tons)

Steel^c 2,280 960 1,270 50 : ſ Absorber 1,060 500 24,530 260 21,710 PCPV I $\operatorname{Steel}^{\operatorname{b}}$ 4,680 21,220 240 16,300 . ł ł ī 1 · Dissolver Separator 1,360 79,070 590 4,320 100 520 PCPV 72,180 ı 1,810 2,350 7,580 850 2,310 260 Steel ī ł Gasifier Only 850 300 1,150 460 980 630 70 31,330 35,770 PCPV 3,570 2,350 7,760 810 16,450 30,940 Steel ī I Integrated Gasifier 3,570 1,870 1,960 490 134,610 4,260 330 122,130 PCPV ł ^b9 dissolvers, 9 separators. . Steel tendons and Ducts ^aIncludes foundations. Steel shells and fins Cooling water piping Structural concrete Insulating concrete Internal refractory Reinforcing steel Total Structural steel Item ^c6 absorbers.

. .

8-3

In the first area, the internals of the vessels may be visually inspected by entering through access plugs in the top heads. For vessels without internal refractory, the metal membrane wall thickness may be checked by either taking out plug sections of the wall or by ultrasonic techniques.

For vessels with internal refractory linings the refractory thickness may be inspected by visual and physical hand testing and if necessary, by removing sections of the refractory lining. Localized inspections of the metal membrane wall can be accomplished by first removing the refractory lining over the metal membrane.

No method is presently known for inspection of the structural concrete to membrane wall attachments, the insulating concrete, or the cooling water tubes within the concrete.

The monitoring of the integrity of the membrane wall against high temperatures or for fluid leakage appears possible. High temperatures caused by refractory failure can be monitored by use of skin thermocouples or other thermal sensing devices placed on the exterior surfaces of the metal membrane. A second means is the measurement of the differential temperature of the water in and out of each cooling tube circuit.

The leakage of fluid through the membrane wall can be partially monitored by the placement of sampling tubes located strategically on or near the external surface of the membrane wall. The success of this technique would be highly dependent upon the proximity of the sampling tube to the leak, the porosity of the concrete, cracking, and the size of the leak.

As to the second area (structural concrete, reinforcing steel, the tendon cables, and ductwork) the common practice is a visual inspection of the external surface for spalling and cracking. It may be possible to install strain gauges to observe any changes in the stress levels of the concrete, reinforcing steel, and the tendons. For unbonded tendon cables, it may be possible to check the cable tension loads after the unit had been in operation for an extended time period.

In vessels without an internal refractory lining, it could be possible to periodically hydrostatically test a PCPV. In vessels with an internal refractory lining, removal of the lining would be required before hydrostatic testing to avoid a later failure of the lining due to moisture pickup.

8.3 MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR

Accessibility to the interior of the PCPVs is provided by nozzle closures located on the top of the structures. These large closures are removed with the aid of an overhead gantry crane. Access to the bottom sections of the larger PCPVs is provided by removal of external piping spool pieces.

• •

Certain equipment items such as coal and char eductors for gasifiers are designed to be removable for maintenance. The large slide gate valves used for solids flow control in the integrated gasifier PCPV are specially designed for repair from within. Horizontal piping connections for temperature, pressure, and level connections are designed for rodding-out and repair from the outside.

Repair of the refractory within the smaller connecting piping (32-inch and 48-inch inside diameter refractory) of the integrated gasification vessel will be difficult at elbows and bends.

Repair of the membrane metal wall may generally be done by either welding a patch slate or overlay welding over the area to be repaired.

Internal cooling coils for the gasifier vessels are made in segments. Major repairs may be made by removing the segment from the vessel, repairing, and then reinstalling in the vessel. Minor repairs may be done in place.

Repair of the external cooling coils embedded in the concrete will be difficult. It would require removal of any internal refractory, metal membrane wall, insulating concrete, and the metal connecting plate. For these reasons, 'the following precautions would be taken:

- The piping is designed for a 20-year minimum life. It will be fabricated of high-quality pipe material.
- The piping is inspected and hydrostatically tested before embedding in concrete.

11

• High-purity water is used in the cooling loop to avoid problems with corrosion and scale buildup.

8.4 CHANGES AND MODIFICATIONS

The modification of a PCPV after construction would be difficult because of the mass of concrete, the locations of reinforcing steel tendons, and tendon ductwork; also, the tendons are designed to handle forces at specific locations.

If additional or larger nozzles are expected to be required at a later date, consideration should be given to installing them initially. They could be either blanked off or smaller piping installed inside of larger pipes for the initial operations. The later additions of nozzles would require removal of concrete, reinforcing bars, tensioning cables and ductwork, the metal membrane wall in the area, and possibly cooling coils.

Further, the addition of nozzles may effect the locations of the vertical and horizontal tendons. The effect of removal or relocation of the tendons would require very careful engineering study to ensure that the vessels structural integrity is not compromised.

The least difficult of the PCPVs to modify would be the single- or twocavity vessels as represented by the absorber and the dissolver-separator vessels. The integrated gasifier vessel would be the most difficult to change because of the complex internal geometry. The removal of a PCPV would be a major undertaking because of their massive weights (24,000 to 135,000 tons) and the reinforcing steel. Explosive demolition would be required.

8.5 SAFETY

The protection of personnel and equipment against a catastrophic failure of a large high-pressure vessel is a very important consideration. The primary failure would be the rupture of the pressure containing shell. The three primary causes of such ruptures would be:

- Mechanical failure
- Overpressure of shell
- Overheating of shell

In the case of a conventional steel vessel in the large sizes and high pressures used in this study, a rupture could have serious consequences. For conventional steel vessels, the materials and design methods are well established. The internal refractory materials for temperature protection are being tested in pilot plants and in various other test programs. Instrumentation and safety values are provided to protect against overpressure.

In the case of PCPVs the mechanical design will require close scrutiny, as existing codes are not applicable to these vessels as discussed in Sections 6.4.2. The indications are that for overpressure, the concrete will crack and the tensioning cables will relieve the pressure without a catastrophic failure and after the pressure has been relieved, the concrete will again seal by the force of the tension cables. Controlling of the maximum feed pressure of the inlet streams (coal slurry, oxygen, and steam) to below the maximum design pressure will assist in limiting the internal pressures. Safety relief valves are also provided to limit the maximum internal pressure.

Overheating of the PCPV membrane wall can be caused either by refractory failure in the case of the gasifier vessels or by external cooling water failure. A refractory failure of approximately 63 square feet to within one inch of the steel membrane wall will raise the water temperature 5°F in about 1.2 hours.

A total failure of cooling water would cause the membrane wall to rise to about 900°F in 1 hour and to 1,300°F in about 6-1/2 hours. To protect against the effects of a cooling water failure, the following safeguards would be provided:

- Water circulation pumps would be spared and both motor and steam turbine drivers would be provided.
- No-flow and high outlet water temperature alarms and switches will be provided to stop oxygen and coal flow to the gasifier vessels.

SECTION 9

LITERATURE CITED

- O'Hara, J. B., G. H. Hervey, S. M. Fass, N. E. Jentz, H. W. Klumpe, B. I. Loran, E. A. Mills and R. V. Teeple. <u>Oil/Gas Complex Conceptual</u> <u>Design/Economic Analysis, Oil and SNG Production</u>, R&D Report No. 114 -Interim Report No. 4, FE-1775-8. Prepared for the Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D.C., March 1977.
- Pfieffer, L. G. (CB&I), W. G. Bair (IGT) and R. D. Howell (Parsons). <u>Cost Study, Shop Assembly Vs. Field Assembly of Heavy Wall Coal Gasifier</u> <u>Reactor Vessels</u>, Final Report, FE-2009-13. Prepared by the Chicago Bridge & Iron Company, Chicago, Illinois, for the Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D.C., December 1976.
- 3. Gulf General Atomic Company. Prestressed Concrete Reactor Vessels, 1970.
- 4. Greenstreet, W. L., et al. <u>Feasibility Study on Prestressed Concrete</u> <u>Pressure Vessels for Coal Gasifiers</u>, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, February 1977.
- 5. Timoshenski, S. <u>Strength of Materials</u>, Part II; Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Huntington, New York, 1976.
- Bathe, K. J., E. L. Wilson and F. E. Peterson <u>SAP IV A Structural</u> <u>Analysis Program for Static and Dynamic Response of Linear Systems</u>, Report No. EERC 73-11, College of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California, April 1974.
- 7. Polivka, R. M. and E. L. Wilson. Finite Element Analysis of Nonlinear Heat Transfer Problems, Report No. U.C. SESM 76-2, Department of Civil Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California, June 1976.

9-1

APPENDIX A

REFRACTORY CHARACTERISTICS

I.

STAGE I - HIGH ALUMINA PLASTIC, PHOSPHATE BONDED

Chemical Analysis (Calcined Basis)

88-92% A1₂0₃: 6% (typical) sio;: P205: 3.4.5% Alkalies: 0.5 maximum Other oxides: 0.1 maximum

Technical Data

3,250°F (1,790°C) Service temperature:

Material required for estimating:

 $190 \ 1b/ft^3$

Thermal conductivity:

Less than 16 Btu/in./ft²/hr/[°]F at 2,800[°]F

Physical Properties

After firing and cooling:

	Temper	ature	Modulus o	f Rupture ₂	Linear Change			
Hours	<u> </u>	<u>c</u>	psi	kg/cm	(%)			
24 5 5 5 5	450 1,500 2,000 2,500 3,000	230 815 1,095 1,400 1,650	1,900 2,540 3,580 4,720 2,570	133 178 251 320 180	0.1 to 0.3 S 0.0 to 0.2 S 0.0 to 0.2 E 0.5 to 0.2 E 0.0 to 0.2 E			

Panel spalling loss: 3,000 F (1,650 C) preheat: 0-4%

Average storage life: 4 months

II. STAGES I AND II - INSULATING CASTABLE (LOW IRON)

Chemical Analysis (Ignited Basis)

Ignition loss:	0.7%
sio ₂ :	32-38%
^{Fe} 2 ⁰ 3:	1.0
A12 ⁰ 3:	52-60%
Tio ₂ :	1.0 (typical)
MgO:	0.2 (typical)
CaO:	5-6.5%
Alkalies:	2.0 maximum

Technical Data

Service temperature:	2,600 [°] F
Material required for estimating:	60-70 lb/ft ³
Predampening water:	10-15%
Thermal conductivity: (mean temperature)	(Btu/in./ft ² /hr/ ⁰ F)
500 [°] F (260 [°] C) 1,000 [°] F (540 [°] C) 1,500 [°] F (815 [°] C) 2,000 [°] F (1,095 [°] C)	1.65 (typical) 1.54 (typical) 1.84 (typical) 2.39 (typical)

Physical Properties

After firing and cooling:

	Tempe	rature	Cold Crushin	ng Strength,	Linear Change
Hours	^o <u>F</u>	<u>°</u> <u>c</u>	psi	kg/cm ³	(%)
24	250	110	300-400	21-28	0.6 to 0.8 S (typical)
5	1,700	. 925	150-250	10-18	0.6 to 0.9 S
5	2,000	1,095	100-200	7-14	0.6 to 0.9 S
5	2,500	1,370	300-400	21-28	1.0 to 0.5 S
5	2,600	1,425	300-400	21-28	

* * *

III. STAGE II - WORKING LINING, HIGH-PURITY ALUMINUM CASTABLE

Chemical Analysis (Calcined Basis)

sio ₂ :	0.1-0.2%
A12°3:	92-96%
Fe203:	0.3%
CaO:	4.3-6%
MgO:	0.1
Tio ₂ :	0.1 maximum
Alkalies:	0.4 maximum

Technical Data

Service temperature: 3,300°F minimum

Material required for 170 lb/ft³ estimating: Predampening water: 3-4% Maximum grain size: 6 mesh Thermal condition (Btu/in./ft²/hr/[°]F): Less than 11 at 2,400[°]F

Physical Properties

After firing and cooling:

Bulk density (after 200°F drying): 170 lb/ft³ (typical)

	Tempe	erature	Modulus	of Rupture	Dimensional Firing Change,			
Hours	· <u> </u>	° <u>c</u>	psi	kg/cm ²	Linear (%)			
24	230	110	1,400	99	0.0 to 0.1 S			
5	1,750	995	1,600	113	0.0 to 0.1 E			
5	2,000	1,095	1,300	92	0.1 to 0.2 E			
5	2,500	1,370	2,290	162	0.1 to 0.5 S			
5	2,700	1,480	3,000	212	0.1 to 0.1 E			
5	2,700	1,480	3,000	212	0.1 to 0.1			

CO: disintegration test:

100 hr unaffected -- carbon spots

200 hr unaffected -- carbon spots

A-3

APPENDIX B

COOLDOWN RATE

SUMMARY

Theoretical calculations of the cooldown rates for the absorber, dissolver, gasifier, and the char cyclones have been completed and are attached. For ease of calculation it has been assumed in each case that the purge gas is at the same temperature as the cooling water $(100^{\circ}F)$. In summary, the results are:

- Absorber (Figure 3-1) It will require approximately one hour to cool the inside wall of the vessel to 110°F from an operating temperature of 120°F. It will require about 6-1/2 days to cool the inner two feet of concrete to 110°F.
- (2) Dissolver (Figure 3-2) The inner shell of the dissolver will cool to 125°F from the operating temperature of 850°F in approximately 10 hours. It will require about 11 hours for the inner 3 inches (average radius of 203 inches) of insulating concrete to cool to 125°F and 14 hours to cool to 110°F.
- (3) Gasifier (Figure B-3) The internal refractory wall of the upper stage will cool from 2,50°F to 125°F in about 20 hours. It will require about 36 hours for the first 4-1/2 inches of refractory (average radius of refractory) to cool to 125°F from a normal temperature of about 1,500°F.
- (4) Char cyclones (Figure B-4) Approximately 5 hours are required for the surface of the internal refractory to cool to 125°F from an operating temperature of 1,700°F. It will require about 8 hours for the first 3-3/4 inches (average radius of refractory) to cool to 125°F. Since the hot ducts have the same refractory thickness as the char cyclones, these results should also be representative of the ducts.

COOLING RATES FOR THE VESSELS AND DUCTS IN THE PRESTRESSED CONCRETE COAL GASIFICATION SYSTEM

The rate of cooling or heating for the vessels and ducts contained within the prestressed concrete system can be determined theoretically using the initial boundary conditions and the heat transfer coefficients at the boundary of each cylindrical surface.

Let the function T(r,t) denote the temperature distribution between the inner radius (r = a) and the outer radius (r = b) of the vessel or duct. If f(r) denotes the temperature distribution at time t = 0, h_a denotes the heat transfer coefficient at r = a, h_b denotes the heat transfer coefficient at r = b, T_a = temperature of the medium for r less than a, T_b = temperature of the medium for r greater than b, and K = thermal conductivity of the vessel, the boundary conditions are:

At
$$r = a$$
 $K \frac{\partial T(r,t)}{\partial r} - h_a[T(r,t) - T_a] = 0$ (1a)

At
$$r = b$$
 $K \frac{\partial T(r,t)}{\partial r} + h_b [T(r,t) - T_b] = 0$ (1b)

At
$$t = 0$$
 $T(r, 0) = f(r)$ $a < r < b$ (1c)

The function T(r,t) must also satisfy the time-dependent heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial T(r,t)}{\partial t} = k \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[r \frac{\partial T(r,t)}{\partial r} \right]$$
(2)

for all r and all t; k is the thermal diffusivity of the vessel wall. For simplicity, Equations 1a and 1b may be rewritten as:

At
$$r = a$$
 $k_1 \frac{\partial T(r,t)}{\partial r} - k_2 T(r,t) = k_3$

At
$$r = b$$
 $k_4 \frac{\partial T(r,t)}{\partial r} + k_5 T(r,t) = k_6$

For convenience, it will be assumed that $T_a = T_b = T$ although an exact solution can be obtained without this assumption. However, the solution is considerably more complicated. The solution to these problems involves Bessel functions of the first kind, $J_n(x)$, and Bessel functions of the second kind, $Y_n(x)$, where n = 0, 1.

The solutions may be summarized by defining the following functions:

$$U_{1} = k_{2}J_{0}(a_{n}a) + a_{n}J_{1}(a_{n}a)$$

$$U_{2} = k_{5}J_{0}(a_{n}b) - a_{n}J_{1}(a_{n}b)$$

$$U_{3} = k_{2}Y_{0}(a_{n}a) + a_{n}Y_{1}(a_{n}a)$$

$$U_{4} = k_{5}J_{0}(a_{n}b) - A_{n}J_{1}(a_{n}b)$$

$$C_{0}(a_{n},r) = Y_{0}(a_{n}r)U_{1} - J_{0}(a_{n}r)U_{3}$$

$$C_{1}(a_{n},r) = Y_{1}(a_{n}r)U_{1} - J_{1}(a_{n}r)U_{3}$$

where it has been assumed that $k_1 = k_4 = 1$, $k_2 = h_a/K$, $k_5 = h_b/K$, and $k_3 = -h_aT/K = -k_6$. The numerical values a_n compose an infinite set of values which represent solutions to the equation:

$$U_1 U_2 - U_3 U_4 = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$T(\mathbf{r},t) = T - \frac{\pi^3}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n^2 U_4^2 C_0(a_n,r) I e^{-ka_n^2 t}}{(a_n^2 + k_5^2) U_0^2 - (a_n^2 + k_2^2) U_4^2}$$
(4)

where

$$I = \int_{a}^{b} r[T - f(r)]C_{0}(a_{n}, r) dr$$
 (5)

Once f(r) is specified, the integral may be carried out and the roots of Equation 3 may be determined using a computer.

ABSORBER

Since the absorber vessel is not water-cooled, it is the least complicated to treat theoretically. It can be assumed that the entire structure is at the operating temperature $[f(r) = T_0 = 120^{\circ}F]$ before cooldown is begun. It is also assumed that at the start of the cooldown procedure, the interior of the absorber vessel is purged with gas at the same temperature as ambient and that the total heat transfer coefficient (conduction + radiation) at the outside surface is the same as that at the inside surface. The numerical value H = $5.0 \text{ Btu/ft}^2/\text{hr/}^{\circ}F$ corresponding to a 5-mph wind is used in the calculation. The thermal diffusivity of the concrete was calculated to be $0.040 \text{ (ft}^2/\text{hr})^{-1}$ corresponding to a specific heat of $0.20 \text{ Btu/lb/}^{\circ}F$.

The integral in Equation 5 is equal to:

$$I = \frac{[bY_1(a_n b) - aY_1(a_n a)] U_1 - [bJ_1(a_n b) - aJ_1(a_n a)] U_3}{a_n}$$

While there exists an infinite number of values of a_n which satisfy Equation 3, each of which is finite, only the first few values are needed in the calculations. This is because the exponential term in Equation 4 predominates for t > 0 and the higher-order terms (i.e., large a_n) rapidly decrease to negligible values. It is only in the case of small t that it is necessary to include all roots for proper convergence to T_0 .

The solution has been carried out to the first fourteen roots for r = a, r = b, r = a + 1 ft, and r = 1/2(a + b) using a = 11 ft and b = 22 ft. Small changes in a and b will not affect the results. The results are plotted in Figure B-1 and the coefficients are tabulated in Table B-1.

The results of this calculation for the absorber vessel indicate that it will take approximately 96 hours for the temperature to reach $125^{\circ}F$ at the inside radius plus 1 ft and that it will take approximately 408 hours (17 days) for the temperature to drop to $125^{\circ}F$ at the average radius.



Figure B-1 - Cooling Curve for the Absorber (Assumes Operating Temperature of 120° F)

Table B-1 - Numerical Results for the Absorber

Coeffi- cient	a _n	At r = 11	At $r = 12$	At r = 16.5	At $r = 22$
G ₁	0.27381	0.0853513	0.484857	0.0609583	1.27373
G ₂	0.55035	-0.0147896	-0.079919	0.01058	0.000255
G3	0.82643	0.0818709	0.4049	0.0586367	-0.413112
G ₄	1.10255	-0.0143421	-0.622409	0.012625	0.00002299
G ₅	1.37879	0.0780583	0.282567	0.0558845	0.24121
^с 6	1.6553	-0.0133703	-0.0377762	0.00955852	-0.00004255
G ₇	1.932	0.0735311	0.147001	0.052628	-0.167864
G ₈	2.2091	-0.0124836	-0.0147862	0.00891902	-0.00073468
G ₉	2.4864	0.0680003	0.0288064	0.0486489	0.12559
G ₁₀	2.76415	-0.0114667	0.002829	0.00819319	-0.000491
G ₁₁	3.04222	0.0619506	-0.049295	0.0442384	-0.0979543
G ₁₂	3.3063	-0.0103945	0.12489	0.00741967	-0.0000437
G ₁₃	3.59935	0.0561438	-0.078989	0.0400836	0.0789314
G_{14}	4.15794	0.0505031	[:] -0.075335	0.0359971	-0.0648375
	1	F		1	1

.

.

Let
$$T(r,t) = T + (T_0 - T) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n e^{-ka_n^2 t}$$

-

DISSOLVER

In the case of the dissolver vessel it is not possible to make the assumption that the initial temperature is constant. The inside surface (a = 200 in.) is at 850°F while the outside surface (b = 206 in.) is at no more than 200°F. The medium between a and b is insulating concrete which has a thermal diffusivity of 0.0126 and a thermal conductivity of 0.2917. At the start of cooldown it is assumed that each surface transmits heat to a medium at 100°F. The heat transfer coefficient at the inside surface is taken to be 1.458 while the heat transfer coefficient at the outside surface is taken to be 17.5.

The initial temperature distribution is:

$$T(r,0) = f(r) = \frac{T_a Ln(b/r) + T_b ln(r/a)}{ln(b/a)}$$

where

 $T_a = 850^{\circ}F$, $T_b = 200^{\circ}F$, a = 200 in., and b = 206 in.

The integral of Equation 5 is:

$$I = \left[T - \frac{T_{a}^{1nb} - T_{b}^{1na}}{\ln(b/a)}\right] \left[\frac{bC_{1}(a_{n}b) - aC_{1}(a_{n}a)}{a_{n}}\right] + \frac{T_{a} - T_{b}}{\ln(b/a)} \left[\frac{C_{1}(a_{n}b)b\ln b - C_{1}(a_{n}a)a\ln a}{a_{n}} + \frac{C_{0}(a_{n}b) - C_{0}(a_{n}a)}{a_{n}^{2}}\right]$$

The first eight roots for a_n are given in Table B-2. The temperature as a function of time has been determined at r = 200 in., 201.5 in., 203 in., and 204.5 in. The results for r = 200 in. and r = 203 in. (average radius) are shown in Figure B-2.

These results indicate that it will have taken approximately 10 hours for the inside wall temperature to drop to 125°F and approximately 11 hours for the temperature to drop to 125°F at the average radius.

Table B-2 - Numerical Results for the Dissolver

$$T = 100^{\circ}F T_a = 850^{\circ}F T_b = 200^{\circ}F$$

 $a = 200 in. b = 206 in.$

•

$$T(r,t) = T + \Sigma A_n(r) e^{-a_n^2 Kt}$$

.

•

r = a + 4.5 in.	321.036	-77.1675	58.177	-1.6664	-20.4494	8.21308	-11.6489	0.146396	276	361
r = a + 3.0 in.	498.425	-35.4906	-64.9288	10.666	26.3652	-3.62282	-16.381	0.461069	415.5	523
r = a + 1.5 in.	513.584	55.4755	-7.21898	-17.8465	-29.4848	-4.88873	2.73549	0.946461	513	686
 1 11 1	360.333	70.5582	71.1278	21.9253	29.4514	8.21818	18.0063	1.17564	.580	850°F
a n 2	21.5185	100.475	249.912	473.056	470.929	1144.17	1593.36	2118.97	rst ns at t = o	en
Coefficient	A	A2	A ₃	A_4	A ₅	A ₆	A7	A ₈	Sum of fin eight term	Actual va]



Figure B-2 - Cooling Curve for the Dissolver

B-9

GASIFIER

With the exception of the numerical values of the parameters, the solution for the gasifier is the same as that for the dissolver. The inside radius of the gasifier is 138 in. and the outside radius is 147 in. The 9-in. space is filled with two types of refractory material. The effective thermal conductivity is taken to be 0.2906 and the heat transfer coefficient at the inside surface is taken to be 10 while that at the outside surface is 1.82. The temperature of the cooling medium at the inside surface and at the outside surface is assumed to be $100^{\circ}F$.

Referring to the solution given for the dissolver, the solution for the gasifier is obtained with setting T = 100, $T_a = 2,500$, T = 500, a = 138/12 ft, b = 147/12 ft, $k_2 = 34.4$, $k_5 = 6.26$, $k_1 = k_4 = 1$, and $k_3 = k_6$.

The results are tabulated in Table B-3 and are plotted in Figure B-3. These results indicate that it will take approximately 36 hours for the temperature at the average radius to decrease to 125°F.

Ű
the
for
Results
Numerical
I
B-3
d)

	r = a + 6.75 in.	1,488	-636.959	40.2394	253 . 554	-274.261	144.165	10.3164	-112.889	125.158	-69.836	-5.51614	58.9589	1,021	988.3
the Gasifier	r = a + 4.5 in.	1,620.89	243.027	-498.187	-136.463	257.158	76.1138	-174.652	-48.0012	120.678	29.7205	-87.8193	-19.6654	1,385	1,484
Numerical Results fo	r = a + 2.25 in.	1,117.05	773.468	394.085	-3.45365	-208.474	-216.075	-109.595	30.9385	109.199	103.002	41.6127	-27.0586	2,004	(1,988)
able B-3 - N	r = a	164.736	155.288	162.126	145.103	133.619	120.309	115.274	102.763	91.265	80,9026	72.1748	65.1736	1,408	
L	a ² n	11.5048	48.8053	115.915	214.892	346.652	511.72	710.468	943.191	1,210.12	1,511.44	1,847.3	2,217.82	rst twelve terms	luc
	Coefficient	A	A2	A ₃	A4	A5	A ₆	A7	A ₈	A9	0 ¹ 0	A11	A12	Sum of fi	Actual va

B-11





B-12

CHAR CYCLONES

The solution for the char cyclones is of the same form as that for the dissolver. The relevant parameters are:

i

$$T_{a} = 1,700^{\circ}F$$

$$T_{b} = 500^{\circ}F$$

$$a = 48.5 \text{ in.}$$

$$b = 56.0 \text{ in.}$$

$$h_{a} = 15$$

$$h_{b} = 6.43$$

$$K = 1.12$$

$$T = 100$$

$$k = 0.033$$

The results are shown in Figure B-4. The calculation indicates that it will take approximately 8 hours for the temperature at the average radius to decrease from 1,080°F to 125°F and approximately 5 hours for the temperature at the inside wall to decrease from 1,700°F to 125°F.



Figure B-4 - Cooling Curve for the Char Cyclones

B-14

.

APPENDIX C

EFFECTS OF REFRACTORY AND COOLING WATER FAILURES

Calculations of the effects of refractory failure and cooling water failure in the gasifier were performed. Three refracting failure cases and a complete cooling failure were investigated. The refractory failure cases were based on the time to sense a 5° F rise in the cooling water differential temperature between the inlet and outlet. The analyses are based upon a single vertical tube in the upper (Stage 2) section of the gasifier. These tubes are 70 feet long and are spaced one foot apart. Each tube therefore provides cooling for 70 square feet of refractory failure cases, the water flow was at the normal velocity of 2.5 feet per section.

RESULTS

The original refractory lining consists of 5 inches of high density 94% aluminum castable and 4 inches of insulating castable refractors. The results are:

<u>Case 1</u>: Complete failure of high density, high alumina refractory. The failure of the 5-inch thick castable for the entire length of 70 feet by ¹ foot wide will result in a temperature rise of about 4.1°F in about 8 hours after refractory failure. The system will reach an equilibrium shortly after this time with about a 4-3°F temperature rise. Figure C-1 illustrates the expected situation. The inside metal membrane temperature will be about 566°F. This is based upon an internal operating temperature of 2,500°F at the lower end of the upper stage.

<u>Case 2</u>: Complete failure of the high alumina refractory and 2 inches of insulating refractory. It will require a 13-linear foot (13 square foot) failure, or about 18% of the refractory for a single tube, to raise the water temperature differential 5°F. This will occur about 3 hours after refractory failure. The differential water temperature will reach equilibrium at slightly over 5°F. This is shown in Figure C-2.

The internal metal temperature will be about 865°F.

Case 3: Complete failure of high alumina refractory and 3 inches of insulating refractory. A 6.3-foot (6.3 square feet) failure or a 9% failure, will cause a 5°F temperature rise in about 1.2 hours after failure. This is shown in Figure C-3.

The inside metal wall temperature will rise to about 1,240°F.

Water Flow Failure. A sudden cooling water flow failure will result in the metal wall temperature rising from the normal 500°F, to about 900°F in one hour and to over 1,000°F in two hours. The expected metal wall temperatures are shown in Figure C-4.

REFRACTORY FAILURES FOR GASIFIER

In normal operation, the gasifier vessel (Stage 2) consists of a double refractory lining, a metal wall, and the exterior cooling system. In the region where the process temperature is 2,500°F, the radius of the vessel is 138 in. (inside the refractory). The innermost refractory is 5 in. thick (from r = 138 to 143 in.) and is 94% Al₂O₃ with a density of 170 lb/ft³. The average thermal conductivity is 1.08 Btu/hr/ft/°F and the diffusivity is 0.03174/ ft²/hr. The second refractory is a low-iron lightweight insulating castable (70 lb/ft³) with an average thermal conductivity of 0.1329 Btu/hr/ft/°F. The thickness is 4 in. (from r = 143 to 147 in.) in the lower region of Stage 2. The thermal diffusivity of this castable is 0.0095/ft²/hr. The thickness of the metal liner is 0.5 in. (r = 147 to 147.5 in.) and the diffusivity is approximately $0.10/ft^2/hr$. The region between r = 147.5 and r = 152.5 in. consists of a combination of insulating concrete and steel fins. The effective thermal conductivity is estimated to be 0.82 Btu/ft²/hr/°F and the thermal diffusivity is about $0.032/ft^2/hr$.

From the numerical values of the thermal diffusivities, the rate of heat flow in the unsteady state is controlled by the insulating castable, especially when the high-alumina castable is absent. The thickness of the metal wall and the cooling system can be expressed in terms of an equivalent thickness of insulating castable. Under normal operation (in steady state), the temperature at r = 143 is 2;175°F and the temperature at r = 147 is 504.8°F. Thus, for r between 143 and 147, the steady-state temperature distribution is given by:

$$T(r) = \frac{T_{a} \ln(b/r) + T_{b} \ln(r/a)}{\ln(b/a)}$$
(1)

where

$$b = 147$$
, $a = 143$, $T_a = 2,175$, and $T_b = 504.8$.

In order to find an equivalent thickness of the metal liner plus the insulating concrete, an effective value of b is found by setting $T_b = 130^{\circ}F$, a = 143 in., and $T(r) = 504.8^{\circ}F$ when r = 147 in. The result is b = 5.0 in. and thus, the metal plus the insulating concrete is equivalent to 1 in. of insulating castable.

The boundary conditions can now be expressed in the following manner: at $t = 0^{\circ}$, the initial steady-state temperature distribution is given by Equation 1 with $T_a = 2,175$, $T_b = 130$, a = 143, and b = 148 in. At t = 0, it is assumed that a refractory failure occurs, removing a length, L, of the inner refractory (high alumina) 5 in. thick and 1 ft wide. In addition, a variable thickness of the insulating castable (L ft long and 1 ft wide) is also removed, exposing the surface of the insulating castable to $2,500^{\circ}$ F. Since it is of interest to determine the length of failure required to change the water temperature in one cooling coil by 5°F, it can be assumed that the temperature at r = 148 in. remains constant over short vertical distances. In addition, it is assumed that the surface temperature of the castable assumes its new steady-state value instantaneously. The results of the calculations also yield the new heat flow rate per vertical foot as a function of time. Subtraction of the original steady-state heat flow per vertical foot gives the increased heat flow per vertical foot $(\dot{Q}_{\rm L})$ over normal. Thus,

$$(\dot{Q}_{L})L = \dot{m}C (\Delta T)$$

where L is in feet, \dot{m} is the rate of water flow in 1b/hr through one coil, and c is the specific heat of the cooling water.

The formal boundary conditions may be stated as:

$$a \le r \le b \quad \text{For } t=0 \quad T(r,0) = \frac{T_a \ln(b/r) + T_b \ln(r/a)}{\ln(b/a)}$$
For $t \ge 0$ $T(a,t) = T_1$

$$T(b,t) = T_2$$

where T(r,t) must satisfy the heat equation. The general solution can be expressed through the use of the following definitions:

$$U_{0}(a_{n}r) = J_{0}(a_{n}r)Y_{0}(a_{n}b) - J_{0}(a_{n}b)Y_{0}(a_{n}r)$$
$$T(r,\infty) = \frac{T_{1}\ln(b/r) + T_{2}\ln(r/a)}{\ln(b/a)}$$

k = thermal diffusivity

$$D_{n} = \frac{T_{a} \ln(b) - T_{b} \ln(a)}{\ln(b/a)} \quad J_{0}(a_{n}a) - J_{0}(a_{n}b)$$

- $(T_{a} - T_{b}) \quad J_{0}(a_{n}a) \ln(b) - J_{0}(a_{n}b) \ln(a)$
+ $T_{1}J_{0}(a_{n}b) - T_{2}(a_{n}a)$

where the values of a_n are defined by the roots of the equation: $U_0(a_n a) = 0$. The temperature distribution is given by:

$$T(r,t) = T(r,\infty) + \pi \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_0(a_n a) D_n U_0(a_n r) e^{-ka_n^2 t}}{J_0^2(a_n a) - J_0^2(a_n b)}$$

Table C-1 gives the steady-state conditions at the beginning and at the final conditions for each of the three refractory failure cases.
Condition	Before Failure (Normal Operation)	After Failure
Case 1		
Surface temp at r = 143 in. Inside metal temp Outside metal temp Heat flux at r = a = 143 in.	2,175.0°F (T _a) 504.8°F 500.0°F 772 Btu/hr/ft ²	2,482.3°F (T ₁) 565.8°F 560.7°F 886 Btu/hr/ft ²
Case 2		
Surface temp at r = 143 in. Inside metal temp Outside metal temp Heat flux at r = a = 145 in.	1,334.2°F (T _a) 504.8°F 500.0°F 761 Btu/hr/ft ²	2,470.5°F (T ₁) 865.1°F 856.4°F 1,475 Btu/hr/ft ²
Case 3		
Surface temp at r = 146 in. Inside metal temp Outside metal temp Heat flux at r = a = 146 in.	918.1°F (T _a) 504.8°F 500.0°F 756 Btu/hr/ft ²	2,455.6°F (T ₁) 1,243.2°F 1,230.3°F 2,219 Btu/hr/ft ²

Table C-1 - Steady-State Conditions for Various Gasifier Refractory Failures









C-6





WATER FLOW FAILURE IN GASIFIER COOLING SYSTEM

The theory presented previously (Appendix B) can be applied to the case when the rate of water flow ceases in the external cooling system for the gasifier. It is assumed that the heat flux is zero for radii greater than that of the metal liner. That is, it is assumed that the metal liner is thermally insulated at the outside radius. The only means by which heat is transmitted (without this assumption) through the liner is by heating the cooling water which remains in the coils and by heating the insulating and structural concretes. While the concrete represents a substantial heat sink, the thermal diffusivity is of the same order of magnitude as that of the insulating castable refractory.

The resulting heating curve (see Figure C-4) represents the maximum rate of change of temperature with time, and therefore is the most conservative approach. The numerical parameters are $K_1 = K_4 = 1$, $k_2 = 34.4$, $k_5 = 0$ (k_3 and k_6 do not appear in the calculation), T = 2,500, T = 2,484, and $T_5 = 500$. As shown in Figure C-4, the results indicate that after 1 hour without any water flow through the cooling coils, the metal temperature is over 900°F.





APPENDIX D

BRIEF SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE CONCRETE STRUCTURE OF A PCPV

GENERAL

Good quality concrete is imperative to the successful performance of a PCPV. Strict quality control measures shall be enforced throughout the construction of the vessel. Unless otherwise specified, ACI Code 318-71 shall be applied for all prestressing work.

PORTLAND CEMENT

Types I, II, III, IV, or V, Portland Cement, conforming to the provisions of "Standard Specifications for Portland Cement" ASTM C-150, may be used. Each type has its own particular area of application. Generally, the applicability of the cement types are as follows.

- Type I for general construction, when special properties of the other types are not required.
- Type II to be used where moderate sulfate attack may occur.
- Type III to be used where rapid strength of concrete is important and where the application can tolerate high heat of hydration.
- Type IV for increased resistance against sulfate attack and lower heat of hydration than provided by Types II and III, and where the application can tolerate prolonged periods of curing.
- Type V for maximum resistance against chemical attack, particularly sulfates.

AGGREGATES

These should be clean, hard; fine-grained, sand, crushed rock - natural sand or washed gravel - conforming to the requirements of ASTM C-33. Aggregate types which are likely to undergo physical or chemical changes and react with the alkalies in the cement shall not be used. Aggregate shall be of rough cubic or spherical shape and of consistent quality and grading.

WATER

Water shall be fresh and potable, with a chloride content of less than 500 ppm and a sulfate content of less than 1,000 ppm.

ADMIXTURES

Calcium chloride and admixtures or pigments containing calcium chloride shall not be used. Other admixtures shall be used when approved.

CONCRETE STRENGTH

The minimum concrete cylinder strength at 28 days shall be 5,000 psi for foundation and 6,000 psi for the vessel proper. Concrete specimens shall be tested in accordance with ASTM C-39, "Standard Method of Test for Compressive Strength of Molded Concrete Cylinders," at the ages of 7 and 28 days.

MIX DESIGN

The final selection of aggregate gradations, water-cement ratio, and admixtures shall be determined experimentally by a qualified concrete laboratory, but subject to the following criteria:

- a. Water-cement ratio shall be 0.45 maximum, preferably 0.4.
- b. Minimum cement factor shall be 7 sacks per yd³, preferably 8 sacks
- c. A small amount of pozzolan, such as good fly ash of calcined shale, shall be used as partial replacement of sand in concrete. The amount of pozzolan used shall not exceed 10% by weight of cement.
- d. The use of water-reducing admixture is desirable, but subject to laboratory evidence and prior approval.
- e. Air-entraining agents shall not be used.
- f. Concrete coefficient of thermal expansion shall be as compatible as practical with the liner material.
- g. Maximum coarse aggregate should not exceed 2 inches.
- h. The experimentally determined composition of concrete shall be adhered to strictly by rigidly controlling the production procedure, and by ensuring that the materials are supplied by the same sources.

INSULATING PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE

Insulating concrete shall be made with good quality expanded clay aggregates or crushed fire bricks. The material shall conform to the specifications of ASTM C-330 for lightweight aggregate. The insulating concrete shall have a minimum 28-day compressive strength of 5,000 psi. The shrinkage characteristics of the insulating concrete to be verified by trial batches shall be such that its drying shrinkage shall not be more than 0.04% after 14 days of drying. Insulating concrete shall be consolidated with external vibrators against the steel liner.

MIXING, PLACING, AND COMPRESSION OF CONCRETE

Sufficient raw material shall be stockpiled for several pours. Construction joints shall be prepared strictly in accordance with specifications and approved before the new concrete is poured. The pouring sequence shall be followed diligently.

Extreme care should be exercised in the placement and compaction of concrete to avoid causing damage to pipe fittings and cooling system. The proper vibrating tools shall be employed to fill and compact concrete in all awkward spaces in the concrete wall due to the congestion of steel, fittings, conduits, and equipment.

All personnel involved in this concreting operation shall be given a full understanding of the purpose and the importance of this operation.

FORMS AND TOLERANCES

All formwork shall be taped to prevent mortar leakage. Specified dimensional tolerances shall be strictly maintained, particularly tolerance on out-of-roundness, to ensure the uniformity of structural thickness and shape and minimize stress variation.

If slipform method is used, care should be taken to ensure that the tolerance on verticality is maintained throughout the vessel height.

CURING

Concrete should be cured immediately after each pour by wetting the concrete surfaces with fresh potable water for no less than 5 days. Curing may be accomplished by other methods, subject to approval.

CONCRETE FINISH

All exposed exterior concrete surfaces shall be finished smooth, dense, and free from honeycombing. Any unsatisfactory concrete surfaces shall be chipped to sound concrete, and repaired as instructed.

SURFACE SEAL

All exposed concrete surfaces shall be sealed with two coats of epoxy emulsion. The sealing coat shall be applied in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The first coat shall be applied approximately 6 weeks after the concrete is cast, and the second coat applied after the concrete structure is complete and the tendons stressed and grouted.

REINFORCING STEEL

Unless otherwise noted on drawings, deformed bars of Grade 60 shall be used. Steel reinforcement shall be free from loose rust, grease, oil, salt deposits, or other deleterious materials likely to affect the durability or the bonding properties of the steel. All steel shall be stored in the proper manner, and maintained in good condition at all times.

PRESTRESSING STEEL

Prestressing tendons, which may be in the form of wires, strands, or stranded cables, shall be of 270 K type conforming to ASTM A-416.

Prestressing strand shall have a nominal diameter of 0.5 inches or 0.6 inches.

ANCHORAGES

All post-tensioned prestressing steel shall be secured at both ends by approved permanent-type anchorages. All anchorages shall hold the prestressing steel at a load producing a stress of not less than 95% of the specified ultimate tensile strength of the prestressing steel. The anchoring device shall distribute the load of the concrete such that the final unit compressive stress on the concrete directly underneath the plate or assembly shall not exceed 3,000 psi.

Where special anchorages are specified or shown in the drawing, they shall be made strictly in accordance with specified design requirments; e.g., the ring anchor plates around the restraining ledge on top of the main plug.

DUCTS

Duct enclosure for prestressing steel shall be rigid ferrous metal, galvanized, mortar tight, and accurately placed at the designated locations. Ducts shall have sufficient strength to maintain their alignment and shall not be damaged, dented, or displaced during concreting operation. Splices shall be tight fitting sleeves and the spliced joint shall be bonded with waterproof tape. Air vents shall be provided at all high points in the tendon profile.

After installation in the forms, the ends of the ducts shall be covered as necessary to prevent the entry of water or debris.

PRESTRESSING

All prestressing shall be carried out by use of hydraulic jacks to provide the forces as specified in the plans. Unless otherwise specified, the average working stress in the prestressing steel shall not exceed 60% of the specified minimum ultimate tensile strength of the steel. The loss in stress in post-tensioned prestressing steel due to creep and shrinkage of concrete, creep of steel, and sequence of stressing shall be assumed to be 20,000 psi. The loss in stress due to creep and shrinkage of the concrete, creep of steel, and elastic compression of concrete shall be assumed to be 35,000 psi. Friction coefficients shall be in accordance to values as specified in the Code.

GROUT

Grout shall consist of Portland cement fine sand and water and may contain an approved admixture. Portland cement shall be Type 1 or Type II. Water shall be of the same quality as used for mixing structural concrete. Water content shall be not more than 5 gallons per sack of cement (94 1b). Grout shall not be retempered and shall be continuously agitated until it is pumped. Grout shall have a minum 28-day strength of 2,500 psi. Grouting equipment shall be furnished with a pressure gauge having a full scale reading of not more than 300 psi.

CONCRETE COVER

Concrete cover to all reinforcing steel and prestressing tendons shall be not less than 4 inches and 6 inches, respectively.

WIRE MESH

Wire mesh reinforcement shall conform to ASTM A-185.

STRUCTURAL STEEL

Structural steel shall conform to ASTM A-36 unless otherwise specified. The standard of workmanship shall comply with AISC Manual of Steel Construction.

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1979 -640 -092/ 710 REGION NO. 4

SATISFACTION GUARANTEED

Please contact us for a replacement within 30 days if the item you receive NTIS strives to provide quality products, reliable service, and fast delivery filling your order. if we have made an error in s defective or

E-mail: info@ntis.gov Phone: 1-888-584-8332 or (703)605-6050

Reproduced by NTIS

National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161

This report was printed specifically for your order from nearly 3 million titles available in our collection.

For economy and efficiency, NTIS does not maintain stock of its vast collection of technical reports. Rather, most documents are custom reproduced for each order. Documents that are not in electronic format are reproduced from master archival copies and are the best possible reproductions available. Occasionally, older master materials may reproduce portions of documents that are not fully legible. If you have questions concerning this document or any order you have placed with

concerning this document or any order you have placed with NTIS, please call our Customer Service Department at (703) 605-6050.

About NTIS

NTIS collects scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information – then organizes, maintains, and disseminates that information in a variety of formats – including electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.

The NTIS collection of nearly 3 million titles includes reports describing research conducted or sponsored by federal agencies and their contractors; statistical and business information; U.S. military publications; multimedia training products; computer software and electronic databases developed by federal agencies; and technical reports prepared by research organizations worldwide.

For more information about NTIS, visit our Web site at <u>http://www.ntis.gov</u>.



Ensuring Permanent, Easy Access to U.S. Government Information Assets



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Technology Administration National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 605-6000