

PRE-METHANATION PURIFICATION STUDY:
REMOVAL OF LOW CONCENTRATION GASEOUS
SULFUR COMPOUNDS (CATALYST POISONS)

FINAL REPORT
FOR THE PERIOD
JULY 1975 - JULY 1977

DISCLAIMER
This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government, and neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Edward P. Laccarino
Martin Lieberman
William F. Taylor

Exxon Research and Engineering Company
Government Research Laboratories
P.O. Box 8
Linden, New Jersey 07036

February 1978

Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center
Under Contract EX-76-C-02-0059

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *sf*

PREFACE

The period of performance of this Contract was 24 months, spanning July 1975 to July 1977. Due to the untimely death of the Principal Investigator, Dr. Edward P. Iaccarino, the final report was unable to be completed until February 1978.

During the course of the implementation of this program, several people made important contributions. The authors wish to acknowledge these contributions as follows: Dr. John W. Harrison, who provided overall management of the program; Dr. Henry Shaw, who initiated the program and participated in the design of the experimental unit; Dr. Gideon M. Varga, who helped in the calibration of analytical equipment and shakedown of the experimental test facility; Mr. Charles D. Kalfadelis, who conducted design calculations and cost estimates; Dr. Ross Madon, who provided valuable consultation on the project; Mr. Eric Vath, who participated in the design and construction of the experimental unit; Dr. Nicholas Kafes, who conducted a theoretical analysis of the Adsorption of Sulfur Compounds from Synthesis Gas under NSF Faculty Research Participation Project Grant No. SER 76-04548; Mr. Jack Fowlks and Mr. William Davis, who were the laboratory technicians for most of the experimentation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. STATE-OF-THE-ART ASSESSMENT	3
2.1 Absorption Processes	3
2.1.1 Amine Scrubbing	4
2.1.2 Benfield Hot Potassium Carbonate Process	4
2.1.3 The Linde-Lurgi Rectisol Process	6
2.1.4 IFP's Sulfur Removal Process	10
2.1.5 Holmes-Stretford Process	13
2.1.6 Claus Process	15
2.1.7 Selexol Process	17
2.2 Trace Sulfur Compound Cleanup Processes	19
2.2.1 Dry Box Process	20
2.2.2 The Seaboard Process	20
2.2.3 Gas Cleaning Using Caustic Soda Solution	20
2.2.4 Cyclic Use of Calcined Dolomite to Desulfurize Fuels Undergoing Gasification	21
2.2.5 Gas Sweetening Using Molecular Sieve Method	21
2.2.6 Catalytic Conversion	24
2.2.7 Zinc Oxide	26
2.2.8 Iron Oxide	26
2.2.9 Activated Carbon	27
2.2.10 Metal (CuO/Cr ₂ O ₃) Impregnated Activated Carbon	28
3. DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIMENTAL UNIT	30
3.1 Mixed Gas Compression and Feed System	32
3.2 Sulfur Compound Injection System	32
3.3 Gas/Sulfur/Water Blending Section	33
3.4 Sulfur Removal Section	33
3.5 Analytical Train	34
3.6 Unit Shakedown Experience	34
4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	35
4.1 Selection of Sulfur Compound Feed Concentrations	35
4.2 Single Component Adsorption Studies	36
4.2.1 Hydrogen Sulfide in Nitrogen	36
4.2.2 Hydrogen Sulfide in Dry Synthesis Gas	37
4.2.3 Carbonyl Sulfide in Synthesis Gas	43
4.2.4 Thiophene in Synthesis Gas	48
4.2.5 Hydrogen Sulfide (Low Concentration) in Synthesis Gas	51

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
4.2.6 Methyl Mercaptan in Synthesis Gas	56
4.2.7 Carbon Disulfide in Synthesis Gas	60
4.3 Multicomponent Adsorption Data	61
4.3.1 Four Component Sulfur Blends - Carbonyl Sulfide, Carbon Disulfide, Thiophene, Methyl Mercaptan . .	61
4.3.2 Five Component System - Carbonyl Sulfide, Carbon Disulfide, Thiophene, Methyl Mercaptan and Hydrogen Sulfide.	69
4.4 Analysis of Reproducibility of Sulfur Compound Adsorption	75
5. ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT	78
5.1 Sulfur Guard Process Costs	78
5.2 Environmental Considerations — Sorbent Disposal Options.	78
5.3 Rectisol vs. Benfield Processes.	79
6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	80
7. REFERENCES.	82
APPENDIX A - COST QUOTATION FOR KATALCO 7-2 ACTIVATED CARBON	84
APPENDIX B - COST ESTIMATES FOR RECTISOL AND BENFIELD SULFUR GAS REMOVAL PROCESSES	87
APPENDIX C - ADSORPTION OF SULFUR COMPOUNDS FROM SYNTHESIS GAS — THEORETICAL ANALYSIS.	98

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
2.1	AMINE SCRUBBING.	5
2.2	BENFIELD HOT K_2CO_3 PROCESS	7
2.3	2 STAGE RECTISOL WASH FOR H_2S AND CO_2 - REMOVAL	8
2.4	CLAUS UNIT TAIL GAS CLEANING WITH THE IFP PROCESS.	11
2.5	HOLMES-STRETFORD PROCESS	14
2.6	TYPICAL CLAUS PLANT.	16
2.7	SELEXOL PLANT.	18
2.8	CYCLIC USE OF CALCINED DOLOMITE SULFUR DESORBER AND SULFUR RECOVERY.	22
3.1	TEST UNIT BLOCK DIAGRAM.	31
4.1	REMOVAL OF H_2S IN PURE N_2 USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	39
4.2	REMOVAL OF H_2S IN DRY SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	42
4.3	REMOVAL OF COS IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	46
4.4	REMOVAL OF COS IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	47
4.5	REMOVAL OF THIOPHENE IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	50
4.6	REMOVAL OF H_2S IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	54
4.7	REMOVAL OF CS_2 IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	63
4.8	REMOVAL OF COS , CS_2 , THIOPHENE, AND CH_3SH IN SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	67

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

<u>No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
4.9	REMOVAL OF COS, CS ₂ , THIOPHENE, AND CH ₃ SH IN SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	68
4.10	REMOVAL OF COS, CS ₂ , THIOPHENE, CH ₃ SH, AND H ₂ S IN SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON . . .	73
4.11	REMOVAL OF COS, CS ₂ , THIOPHENE, CH ₃ SH, AND H ₂ S IN SYNTHESIS GAS VIA METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON . . .	74

LIST OF TABLES

<u>No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
2.1	STREAM IDENTIFICATION IN RECTISOL PROCESS.	9
2.2	OPERATING PARAMETERS OF IFP PROCESS.	12
4.1	REMOVAL OF H ₂ S IN PURE N ₂ USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	38
4.2	REMOVAL OF H ₂ S IN DRY SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	41
4.3	REMOVAL OF COS IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	45
4.4	REMOVAL OF THIOPHENE IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	49
4.5	REMOVAL OF H ₂ S IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	53
4.6	REMOVAL OF METHYL MERCAPTAN IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	59
4.7	REMOVAL OF CS ₂ IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	62
4.8	REMOVAL OF COS, CS ₂ , THIOPHENE, AND CH ₃ SH IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	65
4.9	REMOVAL OF COS, CS ₂ , THIOPHENE, CH ₃ SH, AND H ₂ S IN SIMULATED SYNTHESIS GAS USING METAL-IMPREGNATED ACTIVATED CARBON	71
4.10	TRACE SULFUR COMPOUND ADSORPTION DATA PRECISION ANALYSIS	76