

Purified gas made per square foot of equivalent grate area (area internal horizontal section), dry gas basis.....cu.ft. per hr.	1,450
Purified gas yield per 2,000 pounds of coal:	
Saturated at 60° F. and 30 inches mercury pressure.....cu.ft.	21,750
Dry gas at 60° F. and 30 inches mercury pressure.....do.	21,370
Tar yield per short ton of coal.....lb.	112.2
Tar yield per short ton of coal.....U. S. gal.	14.0
Tar yield per 1,000 cubic feet of purified gas dry basis.....do.	0.655
Benzine (light oil) yield per short ton of coal.....lb.	48.4
Benzine (light oil) yield per short ton of coal.....U. S. gal.	6.9
Benzine (light oil) yield per 1,000 cubic feet of purified gas, dry basis.....gal.	0.323

Although the generators were operated at a mean hourly production of 1,450 cubic feet of gas per hour per square foot of equivalent grate area, the rated capacity is said to be 1,812 cubic feet per hour.

Capacity of the Lurgi generator and standard water-gas sets compared

The capacity of the gas-generating plant at Böhlen is approximately 5,670 million cubic feet of city gas per year. The plant comprises 10 generators, 5 of which were built in 1940 and 5 in 1944. The newer ones are improved as to the charging hopper, ash reservoir, grate-driving mechanism, and carbon scrapers. Although each generator is said to have a rated capacity of 111,600 cubic feet of purified gas per hour, they are actually producing 94,500 cubic feet per hour when operating at apparent capacity. It is also noted that thus far a generator could be operated 250 consecutive days, which included "time out" for 30 miscellaneous shut-downs totaling 90 hours. The total time lost for major and minor repairs is approximately 2,000 hours per year. It was stated by German engineers that some of the loss of time due to shut-down was occasioned by the war and use of slave labor. Accordingly, estimates based upon the actual capacity to produce gas must take into consideration necessary shut-down time. The dry purified gas has a calorific value of 438 B.t.u. per cubic foot, as shown above; hence, true capacity comparison can best be made upon the basis of a chosen standard calorific value. Such a comparison is made in table 1.

The values used in making this comparison are not the possible maximum but represent results attainable in good gas-works practice. The table shows that on the therm basis the Lurgi process, making gas at 20 atmospheres pressure, is comparable, as to daily capacity, with a standard water-gas generator of the same inside diameter. The capacities given in columns (5) and (2) of the table are not strictly comparable, because in a carbureted water-gas set not all the gas is made in the generator. However, because one of the unique features of the Lurgi process is the possibility of producing city gas without the use of oil for enrichment, it is interesting to make the comparison. In the carbureted gas shown in column (2), approximately 3.3 gallons of gas oil are consumed in the carbureting step per 1,000 cubic feet of finished gas; and in making a carbureted water gas of 483 B.t.u. per cubic foot, the oil required would be 2.2 gallons. Thus, the possibility exists of reducing the enricher-oil requirements by the latter amount in making city gas.

TABLE

Percent
CO
I
O
CO
H
C
N

B.t.u.
Mean h
Cubi
foot
area
Ther
of e
a/ The
ha
ge
b/ B.t
Note-S
t
a
h
:

Lurgi
great
syste
Lurgi
heret
bed),
react
of th
high
condi
per l

TABLE 1. - Composition of water gas and Lurgi pressure gas and the comparative production capacity^{a/} of the respective generators

			Lurgi high-pressure gas (20 atmospheres pressure)			
	Ordinary water gas	Carbureted water gas	Raw gas	Puri- fied gas	Purified gas, CO ₂ -free	Purified gas at rated generator capacity
	Coke fuel	Coke fuel				
Percent:						
CO ₂ + H ₂ S.....	5.5	4.4	33.7	9.1	0.0	9.1
Illuminants.....	2	10.0	.7	.6	.7	.6
O ₂	0	0	.2	.2	.2	.2
CO.....	40.0	32.0	12.1	16.7	18.4	16.7
H ₂	50.0	35.0	37.5	52.3	57.5	52.3
CH ₄8	14.8	14.5	20.0	22.0	20.0
N ₂	3.5	3.8	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.1
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
B.t.u. b/ dry gas.....	303	570	333	438	483	438
Mean hourly capacity ^{a/} :						
Cubic feet per square foot of equivalent grate area.....	2,290	2,890	2,100	1,532	1,392	1,810
Therms per square foot of equivalent grate area	6.96	16.45		6.73	6.73	7.93

a/ The above capacities are based upon results attainable with generators having an internal diameter of approximately 9 feet; that of the Lurgi generator is 8.85 feet.

b/ B.t.u. per cubic foot, high value 60° F., 30 inches Hg.

Note—Since the initial preparation of this publication, Dr. Hubmann has advised the Bureau of Mines that it is his recollection that results of tests made at Brůx (Most), Czechoslovakia, indicated a much higher production per hour per square foot of equivalent grate area than the 7.93 therms given in column 6 above.

At first it may seem inconsistent that the gas-making capacity of the Lurgi generator, making gas continuously as described, is not appreciably greater than that of a modern water-gas set; the elimination of the cyclic system common to water-gas practice and the use of pressure both favor the Lurgi process. The use of fine coal, the high moisture content of the coal heretofore used; and the thinness of the hot zone (reaction zone of the fuel bed); all tend to influence capacity adversely. The rate at which the gas reactions occur at the relatively low temperatures that usually prevail in most of the fuel bed (below 1,800° F.) in the Lurgi process are not compatible with high rates of flow through the fuel bed. If higher temperatures are employed, conditions are less favorable for the production of CH₄, and more O₂ is required per 1,000 cubic feet of gas made.

Braunkohle Used in Germany

In certain sections of Germany, a technique for making gas has developed that is radically different from that employed in this country, largely for two reasons, viz., (a) the coals available are much like lignite and therefore are ill-suited for use in the ordinary water-gas generator; and (b) the lack of ample supply of petroleum makes it highly desirable that the oil and tar content of their coal be recovered, either before its use in making gas or in the gasification steps.

It was necessary to use a coal, initially containing up to 50 percent of moisture, which does not form coke but which slacks upon being heated. Advantage was taken of the property which the low-grade lignitic fuels have of being more reactive than the higher-grade coals. Thus, the Lurgi process was developed to use a particular fuel under a prescribed set of conditions. A representative composition of the German Braunkohle (brown coal) is as follows:

	As mined	Partly dried	Dry
Moisture.....percent	52.0	10.0	0.0
Volatile matter }			
Fixed carbon... }	42.1	79.0	87.8
Ash.....do.	5.9	11.0	12.2
Calorific value.....B.t.u. per lb.	100.0	100.0	100.0
Ash-softening temperature.....OF.	5,120	9,600	10,660
Total sulfur.....percent1832	to	2012...
Combustible sulfur.....do.	1.81	3.4	3.78
Sulfur in ash.....do.	1.06	2.0	2.22
Tar (by Fischer assay).....do.	.75	1.4	1.56
	6.93	13.0	14.44

To recover as much tar and oil as possible in gasifying the above, it was found to be desirable to remove the gas from the fuel bed at a relatively low temperature (approximately 300° C.), and this can best be accomplished when the fuel charged into the generator contains an appreciable amount of moisture. Coal containing 18 to 25 percent of moisture is preferred to dry coal when gasification is carried on in the Lurgi generator.

Other Reported Data on the Lurgi Gas-making Process

Although other lines of research were directed to high-temperature gasification of coal, even to the slagging-type producer, the Lurgi process was developed along a different line, i.e., gasification at relatively low temperatures was of most concern. The difficulties experienced in the early stages of experiments to make gas with oxygen were largely due to excessively high temperatures and resultant clinker troubles. It was evident that the amount of oxygen used per unit volume of gas made must be reduced to a minimum, even though it was anticipated that the cost of oxygen would be lowered in due time. Furthermore, the low ash-softening temperature of the available coals also dictated operations should be conducted at low temperatures. Two other important factors appear to have been uppermost in the minds of those responsible for the development of the Lurgi process, namely, the conservation and

producti
when ope
cient se
Still ar
CO in th
H₂ to CO
ratio is

Exp
cubic fe
reported

Gasifica
low tar

CO
CO
H₂
CH
N₂

O₂
B.
B.

I
cents 1
cents 1

T
erator
compos
brium

production of oil. In that process, not only were oil and tar recovered, but when operating at about 20 atmospheres pressure the methane formed was sufficient so that the purified gas could be used as city gas without enrichment. Still another important factor is the difference in volumetric ratio of H₂ to CO in the gas made at low temperatures; the latter temperatures favor a higher H₂ to CO ratio and the production of more CO₂. A gas with a high H₂ to CO ratio is desirable for the synthesis of certain liquid fuels.

Experiments with brown coal containing 18 percent of moisture, using 110 cubic feet of O₂ per 1,000 cubic feet of dry, crude gas made, gave results reported as follows:

Gasification at moderate pressure below 10 atmospheres of a lignitic coal of low tar content:

	Raw gas	CO ₂ -free gas
CO ₂percent	28.0	0.0
CO.....do.	16.0	22.2
H ₂do.	50.0	69.4
CH ₄do.	3.0	4.2
N ₂do.	3.0	4.2
	<u>100.0</u>	<u>100.0</u>
O ₂ used per 1,000 cubic feet of gas made.....cu.ft.	110	153
B.t.u. per cubic foot of dry gas at 60° F., and 30 inches of Hg., calculated.....	244.5	340.0
B.t.u. per cubic foot of saturated gas at 60° F., and 30 inches of Hg., calculated.....	240.2	334.0

It was estimated that the oxygen, costing 2 Pf. per cubic meter (22.6 cents per 1,000 cubic feet), increased the cost of the CO₂-free gas by 3.3 cents per 1,000 cubic feet.

The influence of pressure on the composition of gas made in a Lurgi generator has been discussed, but the data of table 2 are presented to show the compositions of gases, raw and CO₂-free, made at low temperatures when equilibrium conditions prevail.

I.C. 7415

TABLE 2. - Gasification of carbon at different pressures at 1,340° F.
(theoretical values).

Gasifying pressure.....	1 atmos.	10 atmos.	20 atmos.
Composition of raw gas, volume percent:			
CO ₂	11.3	27.5	31.8
CO.....	49.0	25.8	20.2
H ₂	37.0	30.4	25.8
CH ₄	2.7	16.3	22.2
	100.0	100.0	100.0
Composition of CO ₂ -free gas, volume percent:			
CO ₂	0.0	0.0	0.0
CO.....	55.2	35.6	29.7
H ₂	41.7	42.0	37.8
CH ₄	3.1	22.4	32.5
	100.0	100.0	100.0
High calorific value of the dry raw gas at 60° F. B.t.u. per cu.ft.	308	348	375
High calorific value of the dry CO ₂ -free gas at 60° F. do.	347	480	550
O ₂ used per 1,000 cubic feet of dry CO ₂ -free gas..... cu.ft.	164	124	102

Actual tests made on a moderate scale for a number of different fuels gave results as shown in table 3:

TABLE

Fuel u
Test r
Absolu
Fuel c
tion
Raw ga

Scrubt

Calcu
scru
inch
a/ Re
b/ Te
c/ Te
d/ Te
e/ Te

inch
pher
high

inte
resu

TABLE 3. - Results obtained in a small generator with an internal sectional area of approximately 10.765 square feet and using different fuels^{a/}

atmos.	Fuel used.....	Semi-coke (breeze) ^{b/}		Anthracite	Brown coal		Brown
		1	2	6% vol.c/	(Saxony) ^{d/}	5	coale ^{e/}
	Test number.....	1	18	26	26	30	26
31.8	Absolute pressure.....atmospheres						
20.2	Fuel charged per square foot sectional area per hour.....lb.	22.5	20.5	72.8	106.6	104.5	94.3
25.8	Raw gas composition:						
22.2	CO ₂ H ₂ S.....percent	25.5	35.2	35.2	31.9	38.2	32.1
00.0	Illuminants.....do.	.2	.3	.3	.6	.9	.4
0.0	O ₂do.	.1	.2	.2	.3	.1	.2
29.7	CO.....do.	21.0	17.0	9.7	17.2	10.9	15.5
37.8	H ₂do.	51.4	34.0	43.1	36.3	37.2	39.0
32.5	CH ₄do.	1.8	13.3	11.5	13.7	12.7	12.8
100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
375	Scrubbed gas composition:						
	CO ₂ H ₂ S.....percent	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
	Illuminants.....do.	.3	.4	.4	.8	1.3	.6
550	O ₂do.	.1	.3	.1	.4	.2	.3
	CO.....do.	27.3	25.6	14.5	24.5	17.4	22.1
102	H ₂do.	66.9	50.9	64.7	51.8	58.2	55.7
	CH ₄do.	2.4	19.8	17.3	19.5	19.9	18.3
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	Calculated heating value of the scrubbed dry gas, 60° F., 30 inches Hg.,B.t.u. per cu.ft.	336	457	441	461	473	450

- a/ Results according to the German engineer, Otto Hubmann.
 b/ Tests 1 and 2 were made with "Grudekoks," which is carbonized brown coal.
 c/ Test 3 was made with a noncoking coal of higher rank than brown coal.
 d/ Tests 4 and 5 employed Saxony brown coal.
 e/ Test 6 was with German brown coal.

These tests indicated that using a dust-free coal, size 0.12- to 0.31-inch, moderately high gas-making rates could be obtained at 20 or more atmospheres pressure, and that the drop in pressure through the fuel bed was not high, being of the order of 2 inches of water with a bed 9 feet deep.

Results obtained under test conditions on the small generator having an internal diameter of approximately 4 feet, which are said to be "average results", are as follows:

Fuel used, dried and screened brown coal.	
Distillation (carbonization) yields:	
Tar.....	weight percent 10.2
Water of distillation.....	do. 7.4
Water (moisture in coal).....	do. 27.4
Coke (char).....	do. 44.9
Gas and remainder.....	do. 10.1
	100.0

I.C. 7415

Proximate analysis of coal:

Moisture.....	percent	27.4
Volatile, plus fixed carbon.....	do.	67.5
Ash.....	do.	5.1
		<u>100.0</u>

Elementary analysis of coal:

Carbon.....	percent	49.0
Hydrogen.....	do.	3.4
Sulfur (combustible).....	do.	0.7
Oxygen and nitrogen.....	do.	14.4
Ash.....	do.	5.1
Moisture.....	do.	27.4
		<u>100.0</u>

Screen analysis (converted from original data, which was given in metric units):

<u>Size</u>		
Through 0.315-inch on 0.197-inch.....	percent	39.2
Through 0.197-inch on 0.079-inch.....	do.	56.8
Through 0.079-inch on 0.039-inch.....	do.	2.5
Through 0.039-inch on 0.020-inch.....	do.	0.7
Through 0.020-inch.....	do.	0.8
		<u>100.0</u>

Calorific value of the coal:

High value.....	B.t.u. per lb.	8530
Low value.....	do.	7910
Coal gasified per 24 hours.....	lb.	47,390
Coal gasified per hour per square foot of internal sectional area of the generator.....	lb.	183

Composition of gas made:

	Raw gas	Scrubbed gas
CO ₂ + H ₂ S.....	volume percent	30.6
Illuminants.....	do.	0.6
Oxygen.....	do.	.1
Carbon monoxide.....	do.	16.5
Hydrogen.....	do.	34.0
Methane.....	do.	16.3
Nitrogen.....	do.	1.9
		<u>100.0</u>
		<u>100.0</u>

Calorific value, dry gas at 60° F. and 30 inches

mercury.....	B.t.u. per cu.ft.	351	474
Specific gravity, dry gas.....		0.765	0.463
Hydrogen sulfide, grains per 100 cubic feet.....		287	0.0
Ammonia, per 100 cubic feet scrubbed gas produced.....	grains		0.0074
Oxygen used per 1,000 cubic feet of dry scrubbed gas made.....	cu.ft.		150
Steam used per 1,000 cubic feet of dry scrubbed gas made.....	lb.		60
Tar recovered - 72 percent of the total tar content of the coal.			

A reported heat balance in gasifying brown coal in the test generator is shown as follows:

Heat balance
screened

Inp

Out

Heat
the heat
tions no
presente
ciency n

Sub
rich Dar
pressure
data on
by the

Operati
Fuel...

Composi
Moist
Volat
Ash..

Tar con
Calorif

Size...
Composi
CO₂..
Illu
O₂..
CO..
H₂..
CH₄..
N₂..

Heat balance of pressure gas operation of a small test generator using screened, partly dried, brown coal containing 27 percent moisture

Input:

	Percent
Heat in the coal.....	89.9
Heat in the steam.....	10.1
	100.0

Out:

Heat of combustion of the scrubbed gas.....	62.2
Heat of combustion of the tar and benzine.....	14.3
Combustible matter with the ash.....	0.4
Phenol in the wash waters.....	0.9
Steam produced in water jacket.....	0.6
Sensible heat of the gases and heat lost.....	16.2
Heat lost in steam superheater ventilation lines.....	5.4
	100.0

Heat of combustion of the gas, tar, and oil represents 76.5 percent of the heat input as coal and steam. Although the tests were made under conditions not readily obtainable in average plant operation, the results are presented to indicate that under favorable conditions high conversion efficiency may be obtained.

Subsequent to the experiments of Dr. Otto Hubmann in Germany, Dr. Friedrich Danulat of Frankfurt, a representative of the Lurgi Co., reported on the pressure gasification of coal in a Lurgi generator at a time when first-hand data on full-scale operations were available to him; some of the data reported by the latter are presented as follows:

Operations with various coals at 20 atmospheres absolute pressure:

Fuel.....	Brown coal (Lausitz)	Brown coal (middle Germany)	Hard coal (noncoking coal from the Ruhr)
Composition:			
Moisture.....weight percent	27.4	16.9	6.6
Volatile and fixed carbon.....do.	67.5	72.5	88.4
Ash.....do.	5.1	10.6	5.0
	100.0	100.0	100.0
Tar content.....percent by weight	10.2	14.8	0.0
Calorific value, high value..... B.t.u. per lb.	8520	9060	13,680
Size.....inches	0.08-0.39	0.08-0.39	0.12-0.39
Composition scrubbed, purified gas:			
CO ₂volume percent	3.0	2.3	1.0
Illuminants.....do.	0.5	0.9	0.3
O ₂do.	0.1	0.2	0.0
CO.....do.	22.8	22.0	27.9
H ₂do.	48.7	50.7	52.4
CH ₄do.	22.6	21.8	16.9
N ₂do.	2.3	2.1	1.5
	100.0	100.0	100.0