1993 WINDSOR WORKSHOP ON ALTERNATIVE FUELS

DESIGN OPTIONS FOR HYBRID-ELECTRIC VEHICLES USING ULTRACAPACITORS

A. Burke INEL Battery Laboratory, EG&G Idaho Inc.



Idaho National Engineering Laboratory

DESIGN OPTIONS FOR HYBRID-ELECTRIC VEHICLES USING ULTRACAPACITORS

Andrew F. Burke INEL Battery Laboratory EG&G Idaho, Inc. Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

1993 Windsor Workshop on Alternative Fuels Toronto, Canada June 14-16, 1993

Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (CE) Under DOE Idaho Operations Office Contract DE-AC07-76ID01570

Schematic of an Electric Vehicle Propulsion System, Including Battery Load Leveling



A91 2942

Load-Leveled Battery Discharge on the FUDS Cycle



344

1-0357



Figure 8. Engine-electric driveline schematic using ultracapacitors.



Figure 9. Ultracapacitor charge/discharge on the FUDS and FHWC cycles wth an APU.

Vehicle	Electric			Series Hybrid						
Туре	FUOS		FHWC		FUDS		FHWC		Acceleration Times (sec)	
	Wh/km	Range ⁽¹⁾ (km)	Wh/km	Range (km)	mog ⁽²⁾	Errig, (%)	mog	Effic.	0-48 km/h	0-80 km/h
Hinivan	185	93	188	86	26.1	0.85	26.4	0.88	4.7	12.1
Microvan	136	96	132	93	35.6	0.85	37.5	0.88	4.7	12.5
Compact Car	116	99	103	107	41.9	0.86	47.8	0.87	4.3	11.0
	(1) Useable range to DOD = 80%									
((2) Gasoline fuel and min bsfc = 300 gm/kWh									
((3) Average efficiency from engine output to inverter input									

Table 6. Series hybrid electric range, fuel economy, and acceleration characteristics.

Table 7. Engine-electric vehicle characteristics using ultracapacitors.

Vehicle				Hotor/Generator			Ultracapacitors		
Туре	Weight (kg)	¢ _D A (m ²)	fr (%)	Motor (kW)	Generator (kW)	Weight (kg)	Wh	(Wh/kg)	Hax Power (kV)
Minivan	1501	1.16	0.85	56	25	85	500	5.9	60
Hicrovan	1200	0.759	0.85	37.5	20	68	400	5.9	46
Compact Car	1150	0.495	0.85	37.5	20	68	400	5.9	42

Table 8. Fuel economy of the engine-electric vehicles using ultracapacitors.

Vehicle Type		Fuel Economy (mpg)				
		FUDS	1	FHVC		
	Engine-Electric	Conventional ICE (1)	Engine-Electric	Conventional ICE		
Minivan	33.1	18	30.5	22		
Hicrovan	45.3	•••	44.3	•••		
Compact Car	51.5	27	56.1	36		
(1) 1992 EPA fuel economy rating for cars in this class						

SAE TECHNICAL PAPER SERIES

930042

On-Off Engine Operation for Hybrid/Electric Vehicles

A. F. Burke EG & G Idaho, Inc.

Reprinted from: Electric and Hybrid Vehicle Advancements (SP-969)

The Engineering Society For Advancing Mobility Land Sea Air and Space NTERNATIONAL

International Congress and Exposition Detroit, Michigan March 1-5, 1993

400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, PA 15096-0001 U.S.A. Tel: (412)776-4841 Fax: (412)776-5760

920447

Hybrid/Electric Vehicle Design Options and Evaluations

A.F. Burke EG&G Idaho, Inc.

ABSTRACT

Various aspects of the design and evaluation of hybrid/electric vehicles are considered with emphasis on the consequences of utilizing advanced electric driveline components such as AC motors/electronics and ultracapacitors. Special attention is given to series hybrid drivelines, because they benefit much more directly than parallel hybrid drivelines from the recent large improvements in the specific weight and volume of electric drive motors/electronics. The results of the present study indicate that series hybrid vehicles with an electric range of 90-100 km and good acceleration performance (0-88 km/h acceleration times of less than 12 seconds) can be designed with а powertrain weight and volume comparable to that of a parallel hybrid of the same performance. The driveline efficiencies of the series and parallel designs for both city and highway driving differ by less than 15 percentage ponts. The control of the series hybrid driveline is expected to be significantly simpler than that of the parallel hybrid system and in addition, the California ULEV meeting emission standards should be less difficult for the series hybrid design, because the start of its engine can be delayed until the

catalyst is warm without affecting vehicle driveability.

Simulation results for series hybrid vehicles on the FUDS and the Federal Highway cycles indicate that their fuel economy (miles per gallon) operating in the hybrid mode will be 25-50% greater than conventional ICE vehicles of comparable interior size. Hybrid/electric vehicles using ultracapacitors to load level the engine in the driveline showed even a in fuel potential improvement greater economy. Load leveled operation of the engine may make it less difficult to use high specific power engines, such as twostroke and gas-turbine engines, in light duty vehicles having stringent emission control requirements.

INTRODUCTION

Hybrid/electric vehicles, which utilize both an electric driveline and an engine to provide the power and energy for propulsion, have been studied for the last Hybrid propulsion systems are 20 years. primarily to overcome the range used limitation of electric vehicle: pure powered by batteries alone. A number o hybrid vehicles have been built and tester to demonstrate the viability of various hybrid powertrain approaches. Much of th engineering activity on hybrid vehicle occurred between 1978 and 1984 as part o the response of the United States to th oil crises of 1973 and 1979.

In recent years, interest in hybri vehicles has been relatively low and mos of the work on vehicles using electr

Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Renewable Energy (CE), under DOE Idaho Field Office, Contract DE-AC07-76ID01570.

Peak Power Density Requirements for the Primary Energy Storage Unit in a Compact Car Without a Pulse Power Unit



350

A93 0537

Peak Power Requirements for the Primary Energy Storage Unit on a Minivan Without a Pulse Power Unit



A93 0538



Peak Power Density Requirement for a Pulse Power Unit in a Minivan



A93 0540



A93 0543



A93 0544

Energy Storage System Weight and Volume for a Compact Car





Average Discharge Power Density for Different Driving Modes for a Compact Car



Average Discharge Power Density for Different Driving Modes for a Minivan



The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Ultracapacitor Program

Technologies

- Carbon/metal fiber composites Maxwell/Auburn
- Monolith foamed carbon Livermore National Laboratory
- Foamed carbon with a binder Sandia National Laboratory
- Doped polymer layers on carbon paper Los Alamos National Laboratory
- Mixed metal oxides (ceramic) on metal foil -Pinnacle Research Institute

The U.S. Department of Energy Ultracapacitor Program (cont'd)

Interface Electronics

 General Electric Corporate Research and Development

Interface Electronics

- Needed to:
 - Control power split between main battery and pulse power device
 - Match voltage between power sources as capacitor voltage varies between Vo, 1/2 Vo
 - Use at least 75% of energy stored in the capacitors
- As a function of:
 - State-of-charge of power sources
 - Average power demand of vehicle
- Pulse power device is recharged during periods of low vehicle power demand
- Energy storage in pulse power device is small compared to that of main battery (50 kWh battery, 500 Wh capacitor)

Applications Near-Term

(Used With Near-Term Batteries)

- Initial thinking
 - A. Load-level the battery on the FUDS
 - B. Power share during vehicle acceleration (0-50 mph in 20 sec)
 - C. Discharge battery at PAV
 - D. Capacity 300-500 Wh

• Battery requirements without the ultracapacitors

	W/kg				
Battery weight	Average	gradeability	Peak		
500-600 kg	10	30-50	80		

- Ultracapacitor unit
 - 500 Wh, 50 kW, 100 kg, 45 liter, 5 Wh/kg, 11 Wh/l, 500 W/kg >90% round trip charge/discharge efficiency
- Capacitor energy for vehicle acceleration 20 sec, 280 Wh

Applications

Advanced

(Used With Advanced Batteries and High Performance EVs)

- Advanced thinking
 - A. Load-leveling battery during FUDS
 - B. Power share during vehicle acceleration (0-60 mph in 10 sec)
 - C. Capacity 750 Wh

Battery requirements without the ultracapacitors

Battery weight	W/kg Average gradeability Po:		
200-300 kg	20	110-160	375-550

- Ultracapacitor unit
 750 Wh, 80 kW, 50 kg, 20 liter,
 15 Wh/kg, 40 Wh/l, 1600 W/kg
 > 90% round trip charge/discharge efficiency
- Capacitor energy for vehicle acceleration Acceleration: 10 sec, 230 Wh

A92 0204

Capacitor Specifications for Electric Vehicle Applications

Energy storage *(Wh, MJ)	500, 1.8
Power (kW)	50
Voltage (V)	200-300
Weight (kg)	< 100
Volume (1)	< 45
Specific energy *(Wh/kg)	> 5
Vol. specific energy *(Wh/1)	> 11
Capacitance (F/cm ² 1vcell)	> 1.5
Resistance (m-ohm cm ² /1vcell)	< 100
Discharge time (sec)	20-50
Charge time (sec)	60-120
Duty cycle	Continuous
Cycle life	> 100,000
Cost (\$)	< 1,000
*1 Wh = 3.6 kJ	
kg kg	
1 Wh = 3.6 kJ	
1 1	

A91 2945

Battery w/o Capacitor	Near-Term	Advanced	
Weight (kg)	500-600	200-300	
Power Density (W/kg) Average Gradeability Peak (accel)	10 30-50 80	20 110-160 375-550	
Ultracapacitor Unit			
Energy stored (Wh)	500	750	
Maximum Power (kW)	50	80	
Weight (kg)	<100	<50	
Volume (l)	<40	<20	
Energy density (Wh/kg)	>5	>15	
Maximum useable power density (W/kg)	>500	>1600	
Round trip efficiency (%)	>90	>90	
Vehicle Acceleration			
0-88 km/h (sec)	<20	<8	

.

Near-term and advanced goals for the DOE ultracapacitor development programs





Panasonic 3 V, 1500 F Capacitors

- Technology Single cell, spiral wound, carbonbased, organic electrolyte
- Size Diameter 7.7 cm Length 14.9 cm Volume 693 cm³
- Weight 887 gm

Panasonic Capacitors (cont'd)

- Energy stored (charging 100 A, 0 to 3 V)
- Energy Discharged (100 A, 3 V to 1 V)
- Resistance
- Maximum power* (3 V ---> 1.5 V)

2.667 Wh (3.0 Wh/kg; 3.85 Wh/L)

1.89 Wh (2.13 Wh/kg; 2.73 Wh/L)

1.2 milliohms

2.1 kW/kg

* to a matched load

Charging Characteristic of the Japanese **Capacitor at Several Charging Currents**





Discharge Characteristics of the 600 F Capacitors at Various Power Densities



Life Cycle Test Results Capacitor - 3 V, 600 F, Panasonic

- Cycle
 - 30 A charge in 15 sec (1.5-3 V)
 - 30 A discharge in 15 sec (3-1.5 V) Max power - 300 W/kg Average power - 225 W/kg
- Cycle life
 - 503,000 charge/discharge cycles
 - 7 months calendar time
 - 20% degradation in capacitance
 - V vs. time Symmetric for all cycles

A92 2572

DATE	CYCLES K	CHARGE/ DISCHARGE ⁽¹⁾ CURRENT(A)	CHARGE TIME (SEC)	% Capacity	RESISTANCE ⁽²⁾ mOHM	
01-16	10	20	29.1	100	6.25	
02-11	48	20	28.5	98	6.25	
02-28	71	20	28.35	97	6.7	
04-01	124	20	27.1	93	6.7	
04-01	124	30	15.6	93	6.7	
-04-17	165	30	15.4	92	6.7	
04-22	180	30	15.2	91	6.7	
04-30	200	30	14.8	88	6.7	
05-18	256	30	14.5	86	6.7	
05-26	280	30	14.4	85	6.7	
06-08	320	30	14.2	84	6.7	
06-15	341	30	14.0	83	6.7	
06-29	384	30	13.9	83	6.7	
07-06	405	30	13.9	83	6.7	
07-13	424	30	13.8	82	6.7	
07-27	468	30	13.7	81.7	6.7	
08-07	503	30	13.5	80.5	6.7	
	(1) CHARGE/DISCHARGE BETWEEN 3.0V AND 1.5V					
(2) BASED ON THE IR DROP AT BEGINNING OF CHARGE/DISCHARGE STEPS						

Summary of the 3V, 500 F Capacitor Life-cycle Test Data



Voltage Decay Characteristic of the

A91 2979
Carbon Metal Fiber Electrode Structure



1.0356

Schematic of Ultracapacitor Construction



Exploded View of a Small Ultracapacitor

Unit Cell Construction of Ultracapacitor

1-0359

378

Milestone Chart for the Development of Ultracapacitor Technology Electric Vehicle Applications

Program Months Element	Base Period
0XX Program Planning and Control 02X Data Management	A
020 Progress Reports	
021 Financial Reports	
1XX Base Period	
10 Task 1 Preliminary Investigation	▲▲
11 Task 2 Scale-Up to Intermediate Device (.5 Wh)	
2XX Phase 1	
20 Task 3 Manufacturing Study	▲▲
21 Task 4 Packaging Modules (100 V, 5 Wh)	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>
3XX Phase 2	
30 Task 5 Full-Size Pulse Power Unit (500 Wh)	

A91 2948

Maxwell/Auburn 1 V, 75 F Capacitor (as of April 1993)

- Technology
 Single cell, 20 cm² disk, composite carbon-metal fibers, aqueous (KOH) electrolyte
- Size Diameter 5 cm Thickness 0.187 cm Volume 3.77 cm³
- Weight
- 6 gm

Maxwell/Auburn 1 V, 75 F Capacitors (cont'd)

Er	nergy	Sto	re	ed/	Disc	harg	ed
(1	A, 0	>	3	\mathbf{V}		-	

Resistance

Maximum Power* (1 V to .5 V) 39 W/sec (1.8 Wh/kg, 2.9 Wh/L)

10 milliohmns

4.2 kW/kg

* to a matched load

Maxwell/Auburn 3 V, 27 F Capacitor (as of April 1993)

- Technology Single cell, 20 cm² disk, composite carbon-metal fibers, organic electrolyte
- Size Diameter 5 cm Thickness 0.15 cm Volume 3 cm³
- Weight 4.5 gm

Maxwell/Auburn 3 V, 27 F Capacitors (cont'd)

Energ	y Sto	ored/D	ischarged
(1 A,	0>	3 V)	-

Resistance

Maximum Power* (3 V to 1.5 V) 121 W/sec (7.5 Wh/kg, 11.2 Wh/L)

0.15 ohm

3.3 kW/kg

383

* to a matched load

Advantages of Ultracapacitors for Use in EV Drivelines

- Very high power > 3 kW/kg
 Very high recharge rates < 20 sec
 Long life > 100,000 cycles
 High efficiency > 95%
 Compatibility with electric drive system

 Combine ultracapacitor unit with inverter electronics
 - Ease of microprocessor control

A92 0206

Conclusions

- Power capacitors are available commercially from Panasonic for laboratory tests.
- Good progress is being made in the U.S. DOE Program to develop capacitors with energy density of 5 to 10 Wh/kg.
- Ultracapacitors are likely to be key components in the drivelines of high performance hybrid-electric vehicles.

SUMMARY OF VERBAL COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS AND SPEAKER RESPONSES

DESIGN OPTIONS FOR HYBRID-ELECTRIC VEHICLES USING ULTRACAPACITORS Andrew F. Burke, EG&G Idaho, Inc.

- Q. Anonymous: Why is the ultracapacitor technology not used in Japan?
- A. It is used in different applications. Isuzu uses it to extend life of batteries.
- Q. Mehboob Sumar, ORTECH International: You mentioned an application with catalyst. How did this work?
- A. We did an experiment with a 12-volt module to heat exhaust treatment catalyst to 700-800oC in 6-7 seconds. This use may be an ideal application for this type of device, where the capacitor could be charged off the vehicle battery. We will be studying costs for these devices over the next twelve months.

1993 WINDSOR WORKSHOP ON ALTERNATIVE FUELS

FLEET USERS' EXPERIENCE WITH ALTERNATIVE FUELED VEHICLES PANEL DISCUSSION

Panel Moderator: Mike Jackson

(Presentations made during this Panel Discussion were unavailable at time of printing)

SUMMARY OF VERBAL COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS

AND SPEAKER RESPONSES

PANEL DISCUSSION: FLEET USER'S EXPERIENCE WITH ALTERNATIVE FUELED VEHICLES

Moderator: Mike Jackson, Acurex Environmental

Panel Members: (In order of presentations David Ogilvie, National Association of Fleet Administrators Michael Snodgrass, U.S. General Services Administration Chris Burgeson, City of Glendale, California Todd Krenelka, Batelle/Federal Express Fleet Don Brunson, Xerox Corporation Tom Finn, Avis Rent-A-Car

Each panel member made a short presentation. Then questions were directed at the panel. Some replies came from more than one panel member who are identified below by name.

- Q. Matthew Bol, Sypher:Mueller International: Would you comment on resale value of the vehicles?
- A. Tom Finn: Our contract required that the cars be returned to the vehicle manufacturer who then resold them in California. We have no information on dollar values.
- A. Michael Snodgrass: We hope that there will be a market for alternative fuel vehicles in about three years. Also, that there will be fuel availability and other parts of the program in place to provide support to the vehicle owners.
- Q. Norval Horner, Amoco Canada: The slides for Federal Express showed fuel economy data in gasoline equivalent. Was that volume equivalent or energy equivalent?
- A. Todd Krenelka: It was energy equivalent based on lower heating value of the fuels compared with base gasoline from the Auto/Oil Industry Program.

SUMMARY OF VERBAL COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS AND SPEAKER RESPONSES

PANEL DISCUSSION: FLEET USER'S EXPERIENCE WITH ALTERNATIVE FUELED VEHICLES

- Q. Paul Wuebben, SCAQMD: Could you explain the permitting problems for M85 methanol storage?
- A. Todd Krenelka: Federal Express policy is not to install underground tanks on their property. However, the City of Santa Ana ordinance required extra use permits for the aboveground tanks that were used.
- Q. Paul Wuebben, SCAQMD: In the discussion of CNG refueling, a back-up fueling system was mentioned. What was the experience with that?
- A. Todd Krenelka: We have not had a compressor failure yet and did not need to use the second system.

Comment: John Christie, General Motors; Resale value is an important issue. Our experience so far in turning over our own staff vehicles at dealer auctions is that they offer slightly less on flexible fuel vehicles than conventional vehicles. I think that is a temporary situation.

SESSION 3: OVERCOMING BARRIERS TO ALTERNATIVE FUELS COMMERCIALIZATION

Chair: Ron Neville, ORTECH

1993 WINDSOR WORKSHOP ON ALTERNATIVE FUELS

PROPANE MOTOR FUEL MARKETING - CHANGING THE PERCEPTION

S. Vedlitz Conoco Inc.

Propane Motor Fuel Marketing Changing the Perception

With this experience, clean air a primary social goal, and propanes advantages over other fuels, it is surprising that it's benefits are often overlooked and in the case of the OEMS all but forgotten.

Why is this?

Propane is primairly supported by private initiative falling short of the backing of other fuels -- this makes it difficult to promote propanes advantages and dispel the misconceptions that have become barriers to propanes acceptance.

Propane A Viable Alternative Motor Fuel

- First Used in the 1920s
- 3.8 Million Vehicles Operating Worldwide
- 500,000 Vehicles Operating in U.S.
- 140,000 Vehicles Operating in Canada
- Annual Vehicle Growth 25,000 U.S.; 15-25,000 Canada
- Ranks Third in Motor Fuel Sales
- 10,000 Public Fueling Locations
- Highest Volumetric Efficiency After Gasoline

What are these misconceptions and what needs to be done? One perception is that the use of propane as a motor fuel will result in engine power loss -- reduced performance capability.



- Performance Capability
- Safety Characteristics
- Fuel Cost & Supply
- Fueling Locations & Availability

The facts are: Propane has 104 octane excellent cold weather starting (vaporizes at temp. as low as -44F) greatest range of any alternative fuel and clean burning



The facts are: as a result of modern conversion technology, electronicly monitored, fuel injected engines and the quality standardization of HD5 propane for motor fuel -Performance is no longer an issue



Power loss is no longer a problem

most vehicles experience less than a 4% power loss if that much Propane has been tested under the most grueling conditions known in motor sports racing - Setting a world speed record for alternative fuels in 1991 at the Bonneville Salt Flats at 218.18MPH



and racing at Pipes Peak in 1991 and 1992. Coming in 2nd in 1992. Roger Mears, the driver, stated that he experienced no power loss at any point on the hill especially the upper third where other fuels always lose power. This year we will again be at Pipes Peak this time in a Dodge Dakota.

Performance is not the issue, education is

Establishing a network of certified conversion centers and promoting their existance is also the issue, not performance.



Safety is a concern with any fuel, but because propane is heavier than air it receives unwarranted notoriety

· · · · ·

Changing the Perception

- Performance Capability
- Safety Characteristics
- Fuel Cost & Supply
- Fueling Locations & Availability

Propane has been in use as a motor fuel since the 1920's with an outstanding safety record

It is heavier than air, but it does not puddle and vaporizes quickly It has a norrow flammability range (2.15% - 9.6%) air/fuel mixture It has high ignition temperature 920F - 1120F (gasoline 450 -900F)



Considered safe by independent school districts throughout the country Fuel tanks are 20 times more puncture resistent than gasoline tanks With many safety features designed into the carburation system (like safety relief valves)



It is the current refueling procedure that reinforces the negative safety perception.

The spit valve needs to be eliminated (modern tanks have built in 80% fill levels)

and modern nozzles that eliminate the white fog and the need to wear gloves at the disconnect need to become common place.



THE "GASGUARD" L.G.1E LIQUEFIED PETROLEUM GAS (PROPANE) NOZZI.E

412

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & \mathrm{set}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) & \mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) & \mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) & \mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) & \mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) \\ & \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{u}) & \mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{u}) & \mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{u}) & \mathrm{mod}(\mathbf{u}) \\ \end{array}$


Test after test and years of use have proven propane a safe motor fuel to handle and to use.

It is interesting to note that propane is pronounced unsafe by its critics, not by those who use the fuel on a daily bases.



There have been stories and anti-propane publicity surrounding supply availability and unstable seasonal pricing, all designed to discredit propanes use and even its official consideration as an alternative motor fuel.

Changing the Perception

- Performance Capability
- Safety Characteristics
- Fuel Cost & Supply
- Fueling Locations & Availability

Recent supply analysis shows sufficient quantities of propane to extend its use as an alternative fuel - 6.5mm vehicles could be fueled with propane by the year 2004 without effecting domestic supply. According to the recent Webb Study 17mm vehicles could be fueled by propane by the year 2010 with moderate capital investment. There is also an adequate distribution system already in place which is under utilized today - it includes pipelines, storage & distribution terminals, railcars and tankers.



As for pricing instability and high winter prices, the perception is for the most part correct and the propane retailers need to change their pricing philosophy.

The wholesale price of propane only exceeded gasoline once in the past six (6) years - there is a sufficient delta between the two fuels to accomodate a pricing philosophy directly related to the retail price of gasoline.





Our pricing position is to price propane as a motor fuel below the retail price of gasoline, year round - we even post our price of propane at the street as we do gasoline for everyone to see. Others need to and are following this example.

2



- 11

Last but not least - we have to upgrade our refueling facilities and thus improve the image of propane motor fuel marketing.

Changing the Perception

- Performance Capability
- Safety Characteristics
- Fuel Cost & Supply
- Fueling Locations & Availability

While there are 10,000 facilities open to the public, the overall image is poor. Set up for bottle fill or RV'S



Or in the back of some parking lot hard to find much less utilize.



We must upgrade these units, taking advantage of the thousands of fueling permits that have already been issued to dispense propane motor fuel. Securing a permit is a major hurdle for offering any alternative fuel to the motoring public. We also need to expand the current Alt. Fuel refueling directories to include most if not all of the 10,000 units open to the public. In other words take advantage of propanes built refueling infrastructure.



We must bring propane marketing to main street USA (like this s/s) as it has been done in Canada and other countries. We must look operate and be as accessible and convenient as gasoline is today.



We must utilize modern, user friendly, self serve dispensing equipment - in other words, be inviting to the public like this unit in Denver, Co.



It is important for the industry to work together to work with government to work with the OEMs to educate and to create awareness By working together, we can get more fleets to try propane, thus changing the perception of propane as a motor fuel - remember, those fleets that have tried propane as a motor fuel have a positive impression.



Those fleets, like myself and many others believe propane deserves to be recognized as the viable clean-burning alternative motor fuel that it truely is.

Thank you



SUMMARY OF VERBAL COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS AND SPEAKER RESPONSES

PROPANE MOTOR FUEL MARKETING - CHANGING THE PERCEPTION S. Vediltz, Conoco Inc.

- Q. Bernard James, Energy, Mines & Resources Canada: In the vehicle fuel tanks, will the stop-fill valve eliminate the need for the spit valve?
- A. The stop-fill valve is required in all new tanks, so the spit valve is no longer needed. Its included because it is still required by regulations.
- Q. Norman Brinkman, General Motors: What will happen with the price of propane relative to gasoline with an increase in demand for propane? Will it increase such as happened a few years ago with diesel fuel?
- A. The same problem occurs with any alternative fuel today. We will have to change the pricing philosophy. Propane may reflect the gasoline fuel market in the future instead of being compared with heating oil or chemicals.
- Q. Anonymous: Where does the excess propane go today?
- A. It is used as a feedstock for ethylene or other chemicals. I would like to sell it as a preferred motor fuel.

Comment: Norval Horner, Amoco Canada: I agree. Ethylene can be made from ethane, butane, naphtha, or gas oil which would release a lot of propane for fuel at a modest price. A change of only a few cents per gallon would take propane out of the chemical market.

- Q. Norval Horner, Amoco Canada: What is the price of M85 methanol?
- A. Right now, M85 is about the same price as unleaded gasoline on a volumetric basis. That actually makes it about 50 percent more on an energy basis.
- Q. Vinod Duggal, Cummins Engine Co.: Was your price chart comparison of propane and gasoline on a gallon basis or a BTU basis?
- A. The chart was based on price per gallon in the sport market.
- Q. How does the energy content of propane compare with gasoline?
- A. Although the BTU content of propane is about 25 percent less, a propane-fueled vehicle will obtain about 15 percent less miles per gallon than gasoline.

.