

APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 5, A: STATUS OF PROCESS DEVELOPMENT

The status of development of coal conversion and oil shale retorting both in this country and abroad are reviewed at length in the literature (Rogers and Hill, 1979; National Coal Association, 1980; Fluor Engineers and Constructors, Inc., 1979a,b,c) .

The status of synfuel commercialization is summarized in the following tables:

- "Table A: Coal Gasification (Fluor Engineers and Constructors, Inc., 1979a) .
- Table B: Coal Liquefaction (Fluor Engineers and Constructors, Inc., 1979b) .
- Table c: Shale Oil Retorting (Fluor Engineers and Constructors, Inc., 1979c) .

These tables also review the major characteristics of these technologies. More details about the processes are given in Bentz, E.J., 1980.

-

TABLE A-1  
COAL GASIFICATION - COMMERCIALLY PROVEN PROCESSES

NAME	DEVELOPER AND PROCESS	COMMERCIAL PLANTS	FUTURE PLANS FOR PROCESS	FEEDSTOCKS	PRODUCTS	TYPE OF REACTOR	OXIDANT	OPERATING PRESSURE	HEATING VALUE OF PROCESS GAS	PROCESSES ADVANTAGES	PROCESSES DISADVANTAGES	TYPICAL GASIFIER SECTION OUTLET COMPOSITION	PROPOSED GASIFIER REQUIREMENTS FOR A 240 MM SCFB COMMERCIAL PLANT	NOTES
KOPPEL-TOTZER	Koppers Company, Inc.	Commercial - 16 plants since 1962	Engineering studies being performed now for industrial applications	All ranks of coal, bit. pitch, coks, and other carbonaceous materials	Synthesis gas and sulfur	retained low	Oxygen	atmos.	Medium/High	1) No by-products other than slag and sulfur 2) Wide range of feed 3) Quick turn down rate 4) Feed can be changed	1) Low pressure - Atmos 2) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant 3) Hi temp. gasifier operation 3000°F 4) High methanation req'ms for pipe-line gas 5) High compression req'ms for pipe-line gas	Mid % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 8 H <sub>2</sub> 30 CO 50 CO <sub>2</sub> 6 H <sub>2</sub> S - N <sub>2</sub> -	Gasifier can handle 850 T/D coal each. 18-20 gasifiers required	
Lurgi	Lurgi GmbH	15 dem. but built 14 commercial plants utilizing their gasifier	Feasibility studies being performed in U.S. and Canada	Flexible and capable of handling all types, except strongly caking coals	Feed gas suitable for SNG, by-product coks, and sulfur	Moving-Bed	Air Oxygen	Medium Medium	Low Medium/High	1) Only pressure gasifiers that have long term commercial operation	1) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant, if SNG is the product 2) Requires com. pressure of product gas 3) Requires used coal	Mid % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 10 H <sub>2</sub> 38 CO 24 CO <sub>2</sub> 26 H <sub>2</sub> S - N <sub>2</sub> -	Gasifier section would consist of 25-30 fixed bed reactors designed to operate at 400-450 PSI	Low BTU gas in for blown coal
WELLMAN-GALUSHA	Wellman Engineering Co.	Commercial - 20 plants in operation	Construction in progress for additional plants	Non-caking coals or coks	Low BTU gas, by-product coks, and sulfur	Moving-Bed	Air	Atmos.	Low	1) Small scale (suitable for individual plant installation)	1) Low pressure - Atmos 2) Requires acid coal 3) Low BTU gas must be used as or near sink	Mid % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 3 H <sub>2</sub> 15 CO 28 CO <sub>2</sub> 3 H <sub>2</sub> S - N <sub>2</sub> 50	Small scale operation - Not suitable	Pressurized upsets lions as under pilot plant work
WINKLER	Winkler	Commercial - 16 plants in operation	Engineering studies in progress for commercial units	Most ranks of coal can be used	Synthesis gas and sulfur	Fluid-Bed	Air Oxygen	Atmos Atmos.	Low Medium/High	1) H.C. Liquors can be added during production 2) No tars or oils produced	1) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant for H <sub>2</sub> BTU gas 2) Low pressure - Atmos 3) Coal drying may be req'd 4) 10% of Ash Overhead lined 5) High methanation req'ms as only 7% CH <sub>4</sub> produced 7) High compression req'd for pipe-line gas req'ms	Mid % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 2 H <sub>2</sub> 35 CO 48 CO <sub>2</sub> 15 H <sub>2</sub> S - N <sub>2</sub> -	10-20 gasifiers required	Low BTU gas in as blown coal

TABLE A-1 (continued)  
 COAL GASIFICATION - PROCESSES READY FOR DEMONSTRATION

NAME	DEVELOPERS AND SPONSORS	PILOT PLANTS	FUTURE PLANS FOR PROCESS	FEEDSTOCKS	PRODUCTS	TYPE OF REACTOR	OXIDANT	OPERATING PRESSURE	HEATING VALUE OF PROCESS GAS	PROCESS ADVANTAGES	PROCESS DISADVANTAGES	TYPICAL GASIFIER SECTION OUTLET COMPOSITION	NOTES
BCL/Lurgi SLAGGING	Being developed by British Gas Council and Lurgi under the sponsorship of 11 American Companies	A Lurgi gasifier at Westfield was converted to slugging operation	Design of 3000 TPD plant for Noble County, Ohio is underway	Most coals - except high ash, high moisture coals	Fuel gas suitable for AMG and sulfur	Moving-Bed	Oxygen	Medium	Medium/High	1) High efficiency 2) High gas output 3) Lower steam requirements than conventional Lurgi	1) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Requires compression of product gas if pipeline gas is required 3) Requires sized coal	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 8 H <sub>2</sub> 28 CO 68 CO <sub>2</sub> 3 H <sub>2</sub> S - N <sub>2</sub> 1	The slugging gasifier should have at least three times the output of a standard Lurgi gasifier
WELLS-COPPER	Shell International Research	First plant in Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Capacity 8 TPD. Operating since 1976	150 TPD plant on Harburg, Germany early 1977	All coals	Synthetic gas and sulfur	Entrained-Flow	Oxygen	Low	Medium	1) Requires no pretreatment of feed 2) No by-products other than slag and sulfur 3) Gasifier is entrained flow	1) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Requires compression of product gas if pipeline gas is required	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 1 H <sub>2</sub> 24 CO 67 CO <sub>2</sub> 1 H <sub>2</sub> S 1 N <sub>2</sub> 1	Pressurized system increasing capacity and product composition requirements uses conventional Koppers-Totzek
TRKACO	Being developed by Texaco Development Corp.	1000000 Capacity	Texaco and SCE to build 1000000 capacity gasifier in Coahuila, C.A.	All coals	Synthetic gas and sulfur	Entrained-Flow	Air Oxygen	Medium Medium	Low Medium/High	1) Requires no pretreatment of feed 2) Gasifier is entrained flow 3) No by-product char 4) Slurry feed system	1) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Requires compression of product gas if pipeline gas is required	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 1 H <sub>2</sub> 45 CO 45 CO <sub>2</sub> 8 H <sub>2</sub> S - N <sub>2</sub> -	used for ammonia

TABLE A-1 (continued)  
COAL GASIFICATION - PROCESSES READY FOR COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT

NAME	DEVELOPERS AND SPONSORS	PILOT PLANTS	FUTURE PLANS FOR PROCESS	FEEDSTOCKS	PRODUCTS	TYPE OF REACTOR	OXIDANT	OPERATING PRESSURE	HEATING VALUE OF PROCESS GAS	PROCESS ADVANTAGES	PROCESS DISADVANTAGES	TYPICAL GASIFIER SECTION OUTLET COMPOSITION	NOTES
BCR	Bituminous Coal Research with DOE funding	17 TPD in operation at Monaca, Pennsylvania			Low BTU gas and sulfur	Fluid Bed	Air	Medium	Low	1) No residual char 2) Does not require O <sub>2</sub> plant	1) Cod requires pretreatment 2) Requires particulate and sulfur removal	N/A	
BI-GAS	Being developed by Bituminous Coal Research Inc. with financing from ERDA and AGA	Pilot plant in Homer City, Pa. capacity 120 TPD, operating since 1976	None until P.P. tests have been run and evaluated	All coals	Fuel gas suitable for SNG and sulfur	Entrained Flow	Air Oxygen	High High	Low Medium/High	1) Requires no pretreatment of feed 2) Gasifier is entrained flow by-product 3) Slurry feed system	1) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Requires compression of product gas	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 17 H <sub>2</sub> 24 CO 64 CO <sub>2</sub> 14 H <sub>2</sub> S 1	The gasifier may also be operated as air rather than oxygen at moderate system pressures producing a low BTU gas
BBW	Being developed by BBW with financing from EPRI	5 TPD pilot plant Alliance, Ohio		All coals	Synthesis gas and sulfur	Entrained Flow	Air Oxygen	Low Low	Low Medium/High	1) Requires no pretreatment of feed 2) Gasifier is entrained flow 3) No by-product char	1) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Requires compression of product gas	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 30 H <sub>2</sub> 58 CO 9 CO <sub>2</sub> 1 H <sub>2</sub> S 1	Operation with air will produce a low BTU gas. Moderate sized (17 TPD) plant was operated successfully in 1956's
CE	Being developed by Combustion Engineering with financing from EPRI	120 TPD pilot plant Windsor, Conn.	Designed design of a 5 TPH unit underway	All coals	Low BTU gas and sulfur	fluid bed	Oxygen	Atmos.	Low Medium/High	1) Does not require O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Requires no pretreatment of feed 3) No by-product char 4) Gasifier is entrained flow	1) Atmospheric pressure 2) Requires compression of product gas 3) Low BTU gas - must be used on or near site	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 12 H <sub>2</sub> 23 CO 75 CO <sub>2</sub> 5 H <sub>2</sub> S 80	Operation with oxygen will produce an intermediate BTU gas, which can be upgraded to pipeline gas
COGAS	Being developed by Leeco Coal Development Company with financing from DOE and AGA	1.48 TPD pilot plant located in Rapid City, S.D.		Intermediate to high rank and possibly sub-bituminous	Fuel gas suitable for SNG and sulfur					1) Does not require O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Does not produce char by-product 3) Requires no pretreatment of feed	1) Good only for highly reactive lignite and sub-bituminous coals 2) Requires compression of product gas 3) Requires sulfur removal on flue and synthesis gas streams 4) Large number of fluidized beds may present a control problem.	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 21 H <sub>2</sub> 54 CO 18 CO <sub>2</sub> 7 H <sub>2</sub> S -	Could possibly partly by-product char from any gasification process
FOSTER-WHEELER	Joint venture of Consolidated Natural Gas, FMC Corp., Penobscot Eastern Pipeline, and Tennessee Gas Pipeline	Successful pilot plant operation at 100 TPD, located at Leithhead, England	Planning integration of coal pyrolysis and char gasification	By-product char from pyrolysis	Synthesis gas and sulfur					1) Requires compression of product gas 2) Requires sulfur removal on flue and synthesis gas streams	1) Requires compression of product gas 2) Requires sulfur removal on flue and synthesis gas streams	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 1 H <sub>2</sub> 53 CO 24 CO <sub>2</sub> 20 H <sub>2</sub> S 2	
GEGAS	Being developed by GE with joint funding by GE and EPRI	18 TPD pilot plant at Souris Falls, S.D. scheduled for completion late 1977		Most coals	Low BTU gas and sulfur	Moving Bed	Air Oxygen	Medium	Low Medium/High	1) Requires no pretreatment of feed 2) Gasifier is entrained flow 3) No by-product char	1) Requires dried and dropped coal 2) Requires particulate and sulfur removal 3) Requires compression of product gas 4) Low BTU gas - must be used on or near site	N/A	Increases involving cold circulation feed systems and membrane acid gas removal systems are being developed. Operation with oxygen will produce an intermediate BTU gas, which can be upgraded to pipeline gas

TABLE A-1 (continued)  
COAL GASIFICATION - PROCESSES READY FOR COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT

NAME	DEVELOPERS AND SPONSORS	PILOT PLANTS	FUTURE PLANS FOR PROCESS	FEEDSTOCKS	PRODUCTS	TYPE OF REACTOR	GRABANT	OPERATING PRESSURE	HEATING VALUE OF PROCESS GAS	PROCESS ADVANTAGES	PROCESS DISADVANTAGES	TYPICAL GASIFIER SECTION OUTLET COMPOSITION	NOTES
HYDRAME	Being developed at Pittsburgh Energy Research Center by ERDA	Bench scale 18 M/HR integrated unit	Scale up to 74 TPD pilot unit is planned	All coals	High BTU gas, char, and sulfur	Fluid Bed	Oxygen	High	High	1) No pretreatment of coal required 2) No oxygen introduced into the gasifier 3) Minimum methanation required 4) High thermal efficiency	1) Dilute phase reaction coupled with fluid bed reactor may be difficult to control and operate 2) By product char produced	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 23 H <sub>2</sub> 23 CO 4 CO <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> S N <sub>2</sub>	
HYGAS	Being developed by Institute of Gas Technology with financing from ERDA and AGA	Pilot Plant, Chicago, Ill. capacity 75 TPD operating since 1977	Preliminary engineering design for 60 MM SCFD pilot plant	Illinois high volatile bituminous and Montana lignite (low ash) slurries in recycle oil	Fuel gas suitable for SMC, ether, sulfur and by product oil					1) Slurry feed system 2) Operates at high pressure does not require compression	1) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Products by product oil 3) Requires pretreatment of some coals	Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 15 H <sub>2</sub> 30 CO 24 CO <sub>2</sub> 76 H <sub>2</sub> S 1 N <sub>2</sub>	Work on the electrothermal variation has been discontinued
ROCKGAS	Being developed by Rockwell International Corp with financing from DOE	Bench scale gasifier	Design and construction of a 5 TPH pilot is planned	Coal, coals, or fuel oil	Low BTU gas and sulfur				1) Wide range of feedstocks 2) Sulfur removed in the melt	1) Corrosion caused by the sodium carbonate melt 2) Low BTU gas must be used on or near site		Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 2 H <sub>2</sub> 17 CO 25 CO <sub>2</sub> 4 H <sub>2</sub> S 57 N <sub>2</sub>	With modification a syn thesis gas can be produced which can be upgraded to pipeline gas
SYNTHANE	Being developed at Pittsburgh Energy Research Center by DOE	Pilot plant, Bruceston, PA, capacity 72 TPD. Operating since 1976	Testing and evaluation of pilot plant	Supposedly flexible and capable of handling all types	Fuel gas suitable for SMC, ether, and sulfur				1) Operates at high pressure	1) Requires O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) By product char produced		Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 25 H <sub>2</sub> 28 CO 17 CO <sub>2</sub> 29 H <sub>2</sub> S 1 N <sub>2</sub>	Substitution of air for oxygen in the gasifier will produce a low BTU gas
U GAS	Institute of Gas Technology		Planning a demonstration gasifier to fuel a 50-100 MW power generation plant	All coals	Low BTU gas and sulfur				1) Does not require O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Does not produce char by product	1) Requires pretreatment (Leaking coals) 2) Requires dried coal 3) Low BTU must be used on or near site		Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 13 H <sub>2</sub> 13 CO 12 CO <sub>2</sub> 14 H <sub>2</sub> S	Operation with oxygen will produce an intermediate BTU gas, which can be upgraded to pipeline gas
UNION CARBIDE/BATTELLE	Developed by Union Carbide - financed by DOE and AGA	25 TPD pilot plant in construction at West Jefferson, Ohio		All coals	Synthesis gas and sulfur				1) Does not require O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) No char produced	1) Requires two fluidized beds 2) High temp gasifier operation above 1800°F 3) Requires compression of product gas		Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 59 H <sub>2</sub> 37 CO 3 CO <sub>2</sub> 3 H <sub>2</sub> S 1 N <sub>2</sub>	May also be used to supply hydrogen for other processes
ST...	Being developed by Westinghouse Research under a cost shared partnership of DOE and five companies	1,000 MW process development unit at Mills, Pa.	Scale up to gasifier in 5 (PH) is planned	All coals	Low BTU gas and sulfur				1) Does not require O <sub>2</sub> plant 2) Does not produce char by product	1) Low BTU gas - must be used on or near site		Mol % (H <sub>2</sub> O FREE) CH <sub>4</sub> 3 H <sub>2</sub> 15 CO 19 CO <sub>2</sub> 9 H <sub>2</sub> S 54 N <sub>2</sub>	The overall program is directed toward the operation of a combined cycle power plant utilizing a 55 to 60 tons of coal per hour commercial sized gasifier system

TABLE A-2  
COAL LIQUEFACTION - PYROLYSIS PROCESS

OWNER	FEEDSTOCK	FEEDSTOCK SOURCE	SYSTEM	OPERATING CONDITIONS	PRODUCT YIELDS	REMARKS	PILOT PLANT	PLANS FOR FUTURE	PROCESS ADVANTAGES	PROCESS DISADVANTAGES
LEHIGH-CORNING	Light Sub-bituminous Bituminous	Hot char recycle	Multi-stage fluid bed	Atmospheric 600-800°F	600 Lb iron char 1.8 BL iron oil 370 SCF iron gas 270 BTU/BCF	U.S. char plant operating on U.S. coal	600 Lb iron char 1.8 BL iron oil 370 SCF iron gas 270 BTU/BCF	Installation of 2000 TPD plant in combination with COGAS Process - Perry County, Illinois	1 Commercially proven	1 Mechanical moving 2 Product char handling
CO	Light Sub-bituminous Bituminous	Hot char recycle	Multi-stage fluid bed	Atmospheric 600-800°F	1400 Lb iron char 10.1 BL iron oil 3000 SCF iron gas 4000-5000 BTU/BCF	Primary purpose is upgrading heating value of sub-bituminous coal	Process has been demonstrated in a 20 TPD pilot plant	Installation of 2000 TPD plant in combination with COGAS Process - Perry County, Illinois	1 Preserved high liquid yields 2 Strength for road paving at home	1 Limited to low sulfur coals 2 Coking coals require pre-treatment
TOSCOAL	Sub-bituminous Bituminous	Hot char recycle	Fluid bed	Atmospheric 600-800°F	1000 Lb iron char 10.1 BL iron oil 3000 SCF iron gas 4000-5000 BTU/BCF	Primary purpose is upgrading heating value of sub-bituminous coal	Process has been demonstrated in a 20 TPD pilot plant	Installation of 2000 TPD plant in combination with COGAS Process - Perry County, Illinois	1 Preserved high liquid yields 2 Strength for road paving at home	1 Unfavorable product mix 2 Mechanistically complex
OCCIDENTAL	Light Sub-bituminous Bituminous	Hot char recycle	Fluid bed	Atmospheric 600-800°F	1100 Lb iron char 10.1 BL iron oil 3000 SCF iron gas 4000-5000 BTU/BCF	Short residence time fluid bed up rate of yield of bituminous process	20 TPD pilot plant in operation	Technique for design of 200 TPD pilot plant underway	1 Preserved high liquid yields 2 Strength for road paving at home	1 High sulfur char
LEHIGH-CORNING	High-sulfur Bituminous	Hot gas recycle	Fluid bed	PYROLYSIS 80-100 psi 1200-1400°F HYDROTREATING 400-500 psi	700 Lb iron cake pellets 1.0 BL iron oil 1.8 BL iron gas 270 BTU/BCF	Developed primarily for upgrading high sulfur coal to low sulfur coal for gasification	500 Lb iron cake pellets 1.0 BL iron oil 1.8 BL iron gas 270 BTU/BCF	Design of 200 TPD demonstration plant	1 High pressure slurry feed system 2 Integrated operations have not been done	

TABLE A-2' (continued)  
 COAL LMMJEFACATION - HYDROGENATION PROCESSES

NAME	DEVELOPER	FEEDSTOCKS	REACTOR SYSTEM	CONVERSION CONDITIONS	TYPICAL PRODUCTS YIELDS	HYDROGEN CONSUMPTION	REMARKS	PILOT PLANT	FUTURE PLANS FOR PROCESS	PROCESS ADVANTAGES	PROCESS DISADVANTAGES
CSF	Canoco Coal Development Company	Lignite Sub-bituminous Bituminous	Stirred slurry extractor plus ebullated bed catalytic hydrogenation	EXTRACTOR 180 pag, 780°F HYDROTREATING 3000 pag, 800°F	2.0 BBL/ton oil 3400 SCF/ton gas 1833 BTU/SCF <sup>1</sup>	7800 SCF/Te 11mw 18 000 e SCF/T hydrotreating	H <sub>2</sub> supplied by donor solvent in extractor which is continuously recovered and re-hydrogenated for recycle to extractor	30 TPD pilot plant in start-up at Creap, West Virginia			1. Pressurized slurry feed system 2. Mechanics of extraction under pressure 3. Hydrotreating of extract required
EDS	Exxon Research and Engineering Company	Lignite Sub-bituminous Bituminous	Proprietary Extractor Fixed bed catalytic solvent hydrogenation	1800-2600 pag 700°-800°F	~ 3.0 BBL/ton low sulfur fuel oil	6000-8000 Oxidation of unstable gas and reforming of product gas to supply H <sub>2</sub>	Follows CSF scheme, where donor solvent is continuously recovered and re-hydrogenated for recycle to the extractor	1 TPD @ 100 plant in operation	Design and construction of 250 TPD pilot plant	1. Different product slate can be obtained by varying operating conditions	1. High pressure slurry feed system
SRC	Oil of Corporation	Lignite Sub-bituminous Bituminous	M. H. process fixed tube reactor	1000 pag 815°F	1100 LB/ton low sulfur, low ash char (18 000 BTU/LB) 8.7% ash	3000-6000 SCF/ton	Gasoline hydrogen used in distillates for hydrotreating	50 TPD plant operating at Ft. Lewis, Wash 6 TPD pilot plant at Wetumpka, Alabama	Design of two 8000 m demonstration plants (One using SRC I the other SRC II)	1. High conversion rates 2. No physical solids preparation required	1. High pressure slurry feed system
H-COAL	Hydrocarbon Research, Inc.	Lignite Sub-bituminous Bituminous	Ebullated bed Catalyst	2200-2700 pag 800°-875°F	~ 3.0 BBL/ton synthetic crude 200 LB/ton char (17 000 BTU/LB) 30% fuel gas	13,000-18,000 SCF/T	Lower H <sub>2</sub> consumption yields low sulfur fuel oil. Higher H <sub>2</sub> figure yields the 15° API syn-crude	Successfully tested in 3 TPD plant	Construction of 800 T/D demonstration plant underway	1. Based on commercialized H <sub>2</sub> Oil process for heavy residues 2. Continuous regenerative catalyst produces consistent activity 3. Low hydrogen consumption	1. High pressure slurry feed system
SYNTHOIL	U.S. Bureau of Mines	Lignite Sub-bituminous Bituminous	Fixed bed Catalyst	2000-4000 pag 800°F	~ 3.0 BBL/ton 200 LB/ton char (17 000 BTU/LB) 3000 SCF/T fuel gas	4000+ SCF/T Suggest using a gasification scheme, but none specified or tested	The apparent very short residence time required was attractive if scale up more practical. Later tests showed it was not	1/2 170 pilot plant no longer in operation	Construction completed in 18 TPD pilot plant never utilized	1. High liquid yields 2. Can handle moderately heavy slurries 3. Low hydrogen requirement	1. High pressure slurry feed system 2. Solid residue, consisting high amounts of unconverted coal 3. High hydrogen recycle rates 4. Low overall reaction rates 5. Low
CCL	Gulf Oil Corporation	Lignite Sub-bituminous Bituminous	Fixed bed Catalyst	2000+ pag 780°-1050°F	~ 3.0 BBL/ton low sulfur fuel oil	18 000-22 000 SCF/m Indicate reforming of product gas for H <sub>2</sub>	Short residence time. Special reactor design. Coke resistant, high activity catalyst	1 TPD pilot unit in operation	The 500 TPD pilot plant is currently in design	1. Catalyst exhibits high resistance to carbon deposition 2. High liquid yields	1. High pressure slurry feed system

TABLE A-3  
SUMMARY OF RETORTING PROCESSES

	GAS COMBUSTION	URGI RUNRUAS	ARAGO KILN	ARAGO KILN (ALT.)	STROBIX	SUPERIOR'S GRATE RETORT	OSCO	UNION RETORT "A"	UNION RETORT "B"	UNION BGR	OCCIDENTAL IN SITU	
DEVELOPER	West of Mines	Urgi Ruhrgas	Arago Dev. Corp.	Arago Dev. Corp.	Stroobex, Cameron & Jones	Superior Oil Company	Osco Oil Shale Corp.	Union Oil Co. of Calif.	Union Oil Co. of Calif.	Union Oil Co. of Calif.	Occidental Petroleum Co.	
THE FORTING (TRANSFER)	Internal combustion of spent shale carbon residue	Hot circulating solid Ash! No internal combustion	Internal combustion of spent shale carbon residue.	Hot recycle gas. No combustion in retort.	Hot recycle gas. No combustion in retort.	Either direct (with partial combustion of recycle gas) or indirect.	Hot circulating ceramic shells. No internal combustion.	Internal combustion of spent shale carbon residue.	Hot recycle gas. No combustion in retort.	Hot recycle gas, with steam and hot gas from coke gasifier.	Internal combustion of spent shale carbon residue plus recycle gas.	
Date Operated	1901, 1952-5, 1964-6	1960's, 1976	1960's (Comm.) 1969 to date 1974 to date	1976	1972 - To date.	1976 to date.	1956-7, 1966-8, 1971-2	1948, 1964-8		1973-74	1972 to date.	
Rate Per Day	25,150	10	100		2000	10 TPD Maximum capacity - operated @ about 15 TPD	4,1000	2,30,380 to 1200	Laboratory only.	3	Not readily available (in situ)	
RETI OPERATION	Hot gas - solids contacting resulting clinker formation could not operate with grade shale (over 30 gal/ton).		Program for oil shale pilot plant operation completed. Executing contract to produce 100,000 bbl of oil for refining tests.	Hot plant operates some Petrobas operation using U.S. shale at 30-35 gal/ton.	Operating with Brazil oil shale (23 gal/ton). Have had some returning of shale oil which forms waxy substrates and plugs bed.	Circular traveling grate, adapted from iron ore sintering. Operated by Superior at Mt. Daniel-Hollings facilities - Cleveland Ohio.	Hot plant operation successful. Full scale plant (50,000 B/D) in preliminary engineering stage.	Utilizes rock pump. Process appears workable if commercial sized equipment can be developed.	Utilizes rock pump. Process appears workable if commercial sized equipment can be developed.	Utilizes rock pump and expensive coke gasifier.	First large scale unit (1976) produced 30,000 bbl, but had low yields due to poor rubblization. Second gave very poor yields due to unexplained chemistry. Third is under way.	
SIZE REQUIRED	14" to 3"	Less than 1/2"	1/4" to 3"	1/4" to 3"	1/4" to 3"	-3"	Less than 1/2"	1/8" to 3"	1/8" to 3"	1/8" to 1"	Not reported	
AP <sub>1</sub>	9.6		21.4	11.7	19.8		21	21	21	21.5	26.8	
Sulfur, Wt. %	80		0.81		1.06		3.8	6.7		6.7	8.86	
Nitrogen, Wt. %	1.8		1.95		0.94		1.8	1.8		1.8	1.50	
Flow Point, °F	80		46	16	26	80	80	80	m	78	26	
SMALL CELL YIELD (FISHER ASSAY)	2 - 87		94-98	37	80 - 80 (Est.)	85 - 100		81		100	Much depends on degree of rubblization.	
REMARKS	Retorting is similar to water approach. No equipment work needed in solid distribution and air gas distribution system.	Commercial plant of 100 T/D in operation using pyrolytic brown coal. Max. 100 T/D of Colorado shale in late 1976. In 2 week pilot test. Data not available but reportedly can vary smoothly.	Retort has worked well in demonstration plant built at E. of Mt. Ararat Point facilities. Have made and stored 80,000 bbl of the 100,000 bbl to be refined for U.S. Navy.	An alternate scheme demonstrated at Ararat Point plant.	Process should work using low grade shale - < 30 gal/ton. Additional development work needed to utilize high grade shale.	Process should work using low grade shale - < 30 gal/ton. Additional development work needed to utilize high grade shale.	Claims to have eliminated most environmental objections. Recovers naphthalene, sulfur ash, and alumina as saleable by-products. Reduced volume of residue permits returning all solid waste to mine.	Mechanical and technical problems seem to be resolved. Process appears to be one of most efficient to date. Toeco claims it is fully developed on demo scale and is ready for commercial application. Final EIS has been issued by OLM.	Union believe technology established for design of 5000 T/D retort. They are proceeding with plans for privately financed full-scale module.	Retort "B" could be operated in combination w/Retort "A" to yield 82 Vol % to Fischer Assay.	High (87%) thermal efficiency. Proceeding with 1600 T/D demo retort and 5000 T/D prototype solids plant.	Modified in situ with 15 or 20% of deposit removed, followed by explosive fracturing to produce retortable rubble. Could be economical even for lean shales (10 gal/TT).



APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 5, B

-

Appendix B-1: EXISTING U.S. AND FOREIGN LOW- AND MEDIUM-BTU GASIFICATION SYSTEMS

(Courtesy: "Low and Medium Btu Gasification Systems: Technology Overview" U.S. EPA, 1978; EPA-600/7/78-061)

Gasifier	Licensor/developer	Number of gasifiers currently operating (No. of gasifiers built)			Location	Scale
		Low-Btu gas	Medium-Btu gas	Synthesis gas		
Lurgi	Lurgi Mineralöltechnik GmbH	5	(39)	(22)	Foreign	Commercial
Wellman-Galusha	McDowell Wellman Engineering Co.	0 (150)			US/Foreign	Commercial
Woodall-Duckman/ Gas Integrale	Woodall-Duckham (USA) Ltd.	(72)@@		(8)**	Foreign	Commercial
Koppers-Totzek	Koppers Company, Inc.			(39)**	Foreign	Commercial
Winkler	Davy Powergas		(23)**	6 (14)	Foreign	commercial
Chapman (Wilputte)	Wilputte Corp.	2 (12)	-	-	US	Commercial
Riley Morgan	Riley Stoker Corp.	1	-	-	US	commercial
Wellman Incadenscent	Applied Technology Corp.	(2*)**	-	-	US/Foreign	Commercial/ Demonstration
BGC/Lurgi Slagging	British Gae Corp. and Lurgi Mineralöltechnik GmbH		1		Foreign	Demonstration
Bi-Gas	Bituminous Coal Research, Inc.		1		US	Demonstration
Foster Wheeler/Stoic	Foster Wheeler/Stoic Corp	1* (2)**	-	-	us	Demonstration
Pressurized Wellman- Galusha (MERC)	ERDA	1*			us	Demonstration
GFERC Slagging	ERDA	-	1*		US	Demonstration
Texaco	Texaco Development Corp.	-		1*	US	Demonstration
BCR Low-Btu	Bituminous Coal Research, Inc.	1*			US	Demonstration
combustion Engineering	Combustion Engineering Corp.	1*			US	Demonstration
Hygas	Institute of Gae Technology	-	1		US	Demonstration (High-Btu)
Synthane	ERDA	-	1		US	Demonstration (High-Btu)
CO <sub>2</sub> Acceptor	ERDA	-	1		US	Demonstration (High-Btu)
Foster Wheeler	Foster Wheeler Energy Corp.	1			US	Pilot
Babcock & Wilcox	The Babcock & Wilcox Co,	1			US	Pilot
U-Gas	Institute of Gas Technology, Phillips Petroleum Corp.	1			US	Pilot (400 lb/hr coal)
Westinghouse	Westinghouse Electric Corp.	1			US	Pilot
Coalex	Inex Resources, Inc.	1 (1*)			US	Pilot

\* Under construction.

Demonstration scale indicates 2000 to 10,000 lb/hr coal feed.

Pilot scale indicates 400 to 1500 lb/hr coal feed.

\*\* Undetermined number overseas currently in operation.

Appendix B-2: Continued

POPULATION OF LOW/MEDIUM-BTU GASIFIERS

<u>Gasifier type</u>		
<u>Gasifier name</u>	<u>Licenser/Developer</u>	<u>Status</u>
<u>Fixed-Sod, Dry Ash</u>		
Lurgi	American Lurgi Corp. (USA)	Present commercial operation
Wellman-Galusha	McDowell Wellman Engr. Co. (USA)	Present commercial operation
Chapman (Willputte)	Willputte Corp. (USA)	Present commercial operation
Woodall-Duckham/Gas Integrale	Woodall-Duckham, Ltd. (USA)	Present commercial operation
Riley Morgan	Riley Stoker Corp. (USA)	Present demonstration unit testing; commercially available
Pressurized Wellman-Galusha (MERC)	Morgantown Energy Center/ERDA (USA)	Present development unit testing
Foster Wheeler/Stoic	Foster Wheeler Energy Corp. (USA)	Demonstration unit planned
Kilgas	Allis Chalmers Corp. (USA)	Present development unit testing; commercially available
Kallogg Fixed Bed	M. u. Kallogg Co. (USA)	Present 118v' dome unit testing
GE GAS	General Electric Research and Development (USA)	Present development unit testing
Consol Fixed Bed	Consolidation Coal Co. (USA)	Present development unit testing
IFE Two Stage	International Furnace Equipment Co., Ltd.	Past commercial operation
Kerpely Producer	Bureau of Mines/ERDA (USA)	Past commercial operation
Marischka	Unknown	Past commercial operation; anthracite or coke only
Pinatich Hillebrand	Unknown (Germany)	Past commercial operation
U.G.I. Blue Water Gas	U.G. I. Corp. /DuPont (USA)	Past commercial operation; coke only
Power Gas	Power Gas Co. (USA)	Past commercial operation
Wellman Incandescent	Applied Technology (USA)	Present commercial operation
BCR/Kaiser	Unknown	Past development unit testing
<u>Fixed-Bed, Slagging Ash</u>		
BCC/Lurgi Slagging Gasifier	British Gas Council (GB) Lurgi Mineralöltechnik (W. Germany)	Present development unit testing
GPERC Slagging Gasifier	Grand Forks Energy Research Center/ERM (USA)	Present development unit testing; lignite only
Luena	Unknown	Past commercial operation; coke only
Thyssen Galocsy	Unknown	Past commercial operation; coke only

## POPULATION OF LOW/MEDIUM-BTU GASIFIERS

<u>Gasifier type</u>		
<u>Gasifier name</u>	<u>Licenser/Developer</u>	<u>Status</u>
<u>Fluidized-Bed, Dry Ash</u>		
Winkler	Davy Powergas Co. (USA)	Present commercial operation
Hygas	Institute of Gas Technology (USA)	Present development unit testing
Synthane	Pittsburgh Energy Research Center/ERDA (USA)	Present development unit testing
Hydrane	Pittsburgh Energy Research Center/ERDA (USA)	Present development unit testing
Cogas	Cogas Development Co. (USA)	Present development unit testing
Exxon	Exxon Corp. (USA)	Present development unit testing
BCR Low-Btu	Bituminous Coal Research (USA)	Present development unit testing
CO <sub>2</sub> Acceptor	Consolidation Coal co. (USA)	Present development unit testing
Electrofluidic Gasification	Iowa State Univ./ERDA (USA)	Present development unit testing
LR Fluid Bed	Unknown (Germany)	Past commercial operation
ERI Fluidized Bed	Hydrocarbon Research Inc. (USA)	Past development unit testing
BASF-Flesch-Demag	Badische Anilin und Soda Fabrik (West Germany)	Past development unit testing
GECS Marchwood	Unknown	Past development unit testing
Heller	Unknown (Germany)	Past development unit testing
<u>Fluidized-Bed, Agglomerating Ash</u>		
U-Gas	Institute of Gas Technology (USA)	Present development unit testing
Battelle/Carbide	Battelle Memorial Institute (USA)	Present development unit testing
Westinghouse	Westinghouse Electric Corp. (USA)	Present development unit testing
City College of NY Mark 1	Hydrocarbon Research Inc./A.M. Squires (USA)	Present development unit testing
Two-stage Fluidized	British Gas Council (England)	Present development unit testing
ICI Moving Burden	Imperial Chemical Industries, Ltd. (England)	Past development unit testing
<u>Entrained-Bed, Dry Ash</u>		
Garrett Flash Pyrolysis	Garrett Research and Development co. (USA)	Present development unit testing
Bianchi	Unknown (France)	Past development unit testing; lignite only

## POPULATION OF LOW/MEDIUM-BTU GASIFIERS

<u>Gasifier type</u>		
<u>Gasifier name</u>	<u>Licenser/Developer</u>	<u>Status</u>
Panindco	Unknown (France)	Past development unit testing; lignite only
USEM Annular Reactor	Bureau of Mines/ERDA (USA)	Past development unit testing; lignite only
USEM Electrically Heated	Bureau of Mines/ERDA (USA)	Past development unit testing
<u>Entrained-Bed, Slagging Ash</u>		
Koppers-Totzek	Koppers Co. (USA)	Present commercial operation
Bi-Gas	Bituminous Coal Research, Inc. (USA)	Present development unit testing
Texaco	Texaco Development Corp. (USA)	Present development unit testing
Coalox	Inox Resources, Inc. (USA)	Present development unit testing; commercially available
PAHCO/Foster Wheeler	Pittsburgh and Midway Coal Co./Foster Wheeler (USA)	Present development unit testing
Combustion Engineering	Combustion Engineering (USA)	Present development unit testing
Brigham Young University	Brigham Young University/Bituminous Coal Research (USA)	Present development unit testing
Babcock and Wilcox	The Babcock and Wilcox Co. (USA)	Past commercial operation
Burgas Vortex	Burgas A. G. (West Germany)	Past commercial operation
IGT Cycloniser	Institute of Gas Technology (USA)	Past development unit testing
Inland Steel	Inland Steel Co. (USA)	Past development unit testing
USEM, Morgantown	Morgantown Energy Research Center/ERDA (USA)	Past development unit testing
Great Northern Railway	Great Northern Railway Co. (USA)	Past development unit testing
FRS Cyclone	Unknown (England)	Past development unit testing
<u>Molten Media, Slagging Ash</u>		
Kallogg Molten Salt	M. W. Kallogg Co. (USA)	Present development unit testing
Atgas/Patgas	Applied Technology Corp. (USA)	Present development unit testing
Rockgas	Atomics International (USA)	Present development unit testing
Rummel Single Shaft	Union Rheinische Braun Kohlen Kraftstoff A. G. (West Germany)	Past commercial operation
Sun Gasification	Sun Research and Development Co. (USA)	Past development unit testing
Otto-Rummel Double Shaft	Dr. C. Otto and Co.	Past development unit testing