IMPROVED IRON CATALYSTS FOR SLURRY PHASE FISCHER-TROPSCH SYNTHESIS

Final Report

Period: 09/01/2000-12/31/2003

Submitted by

Dr. Dragomir B. Bukur (Professor, PI)

Contributors:

Victor Carreto–Vazquez (Graduate Student) Dr. Wen–Ping Ma (Postdoctoral fellow) Department of Chemical Engineering Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843-3122

January 29, 2004

Grant No. DE-FG26-00NT40822 Period: 09/01/2000-08/31/2003

to

Texas A&M University 318 Administrative Building College Station, TX 77843-3121

Prepared for

The U.S. Department of Energy University Coal Research Program National Energy Technology Laboratory Project Officer: Donald Krastman

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Abstract

This report describes research conducted to support the DOE program in development of improved (attrition resistant) catalysts for converting coal-derived synthesis gas to liquid fuels via slurry phase Fischer–Tropsch (F–T) synthesis. The primary objective of this research program is to develop highly active and selective attrition resistant iron F–T catalysts by spray drying.

Attrition strength of various types of iron F–T catalysts was studied under reaction conditions in a stirred tank slurry reactor (STSR). The attrition behavior was evaluated on the basis of observed changes in morphological properties (via SEM), and changes in particle size distribution after F–T synthesis in the STSR.

Three spray-dried catalysts (100 Fe/3 Cu/5 K/16 SiO₂ in parts by weight) prepared from wet precursors at Texas A&M University had excellent sphericity and smooth external surfaces. Their particle size distribution was rather broad ranging from 5 μ m to 40 μ m in diameter, regardless of the source of silica (colloidal silica, TEOS, or potassium silicate) employed in their preparation. The catalyst prepared from colloidal silica had the highest attrition strength among all catalysts studied during the course of this project. After 345 h of testing in the STSR its morphology remained practically unchanged, and it experienced small reductions in the volume mean diameter (5.4 %) and generation of particles smaller than 10 μ m in diameter was very small (0.7 %). Catalytic performance of these catalysts was excellent. Syngas conversion was between 71 and 76 %, whereas methane selectivity was between 2.2 and 3.5 % and that of C₅⁺ hydrocarbons was 78 % to 86 % (all selectivities are on C-atom basis).

Spray-dried catalysts, synthesized at Hampton University, with compositions 100 Fe/5 Cu/4.2 K/11 SiO₂ and 100 Fe/5 Cu/4.2 K/1.1 SiO₂ had excellent selectivity characteristics (methane selectivity of 2 %, and C_5^+ selectivity of about 85 %) but their activity and stability (deactivation rate) need to be improved. Spray-dried HU1112 catalyst (100 Fe/3 Cu/4 K/16 SiO₂ produced more methane (3.5 %) and less C_5^+ hydrocarbons (77 %) than the other two spray-dried catalysts. The attrition strength of catalysts containing 1.1 and 16 parts of silica per 100 parts of iron was found to be adequate for use in a slurry bubble column reactor (SBCR).

The primary objective of this project of synthesizing spray-dried iron F–T catalysts with adequate attrition strength and desirable F–T activity and selectivity for use in a SBCR for converting coal-derived synthesis into liquid fuels, has been achieved.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
Introduction	5
Executive Summary	7
Experimental	10
Results and Discussion	14
Conclusions	38
Acknowledgements	41
References	41
Figures 1-44	43