# Appendix B

Case 2

Lurgi Gasifier

### CASE 2

The following report gives a brief description of each of the units in the block flow diagram. All capital cost data in this report, except where otherwise specified, has been estimated from similar installations described in the Houston Area Medium-BTU Coal Gasification Project Final Report, published in June 1982 by Union Carbide [1] (All references to material in this report will be referred to as Houston, and all scaling exponents from the Houston report are 0.65). The plant consumes 5.0 million metric tons of coal, 2.1 million metric tons of oxygen, and produces 0.50 million metric tons of mixed alcohols per year.

#### COAL PREPARATION

Coal (stream 10) is sent to the Coal Preparation Block. The coal is crushed and conveyed to the lockhopper on top of the gasifier. The Coal Preparation Block is composed of three plants from the <u>Houston</u> report. Plant 61 is the Reclaiming, Transfer, and Crushing Plant. The cost of this plant was scaled exponentially. Plant 22 is the Barge Terminal. This plant was scaled exponentially. Plant 60 is Coal Receiving and Storage and again the cost for this plant was scaled exponentially.

#### **LURGI GASIFIER**

The coal enters the Lurgi gasifier through a lockhopper at the top. The coal flows down in the gasifier, counter-current to the gases. The ash settles to the conical bottom of the gasifier. The compressed oxygen (stream 9) and steam (stream 12) enter beneath the surface of the ash bed, which is supported by a rotating grate. Ash exits from the bottom of the gasifier. The syngas exits near the top of the gasifier at 400°C and 2,800 kPa. The raw syngas is quenched, some heat is recovered, and the heavy by-products condense and are removed. Economic data for this block was obtained from a report on the Great Plains Gasification Project, and the cost for this plant was estimated by using exponential scaling, where each train can handle up to 958.3 tons of coal per day [5].

#### CRYOGENIC OXYGEN PLANT

Compressed air (stream 1) is cooled and sent to the Cryogenic Oxygen Plant Block, and is separated into high purity oxygen (stream 2), nitrogen (stream 3), argon (stream 6), and a water and carbon dioxide waste mixture (stream 28). A small quantity of nitrogen (stream 19) is sent to the Rectisol Block. The Cryogenic Oxygen Plant Block does not include the inlet air compressors or the outlet oxygen compressors. In the cryogenic system, there are provisions for gaseous and liquid oxygen backups sufficient to maintain downstream plant operation in the event of a shutdown in the cryogenic facility. The capital investment has been calculated linearly for the reduction in trains, and exponentially for throughput change per train. Each train can produce up to 2,000 tons of oxygen per day. The Houston plants that comprise the Cryoplant Block are 02 and 08.

## RECTISOL

The cooled raw gas stream (stream 18), nitrogen gas (stream 19) for methanol regeneration, and methanol make-up (stream 20) for vapor loss all enter the Rectisol Block. H<sub>2</sub>S levels are reduced to the ppb range and CO<sub>2</sub> levels to the ppm range. The clean syngas (stream 22) is sent to the alcohol synthesis loop. A CO<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub> mixture (stream 24) and a CO<sub>2</sub> rich stream (stream 23) are produced as byproducts. Condensed water is also removed (stream 17A). This block is the same as <u>Houston</u> Plant 05. The cost for this plant was estimated by using exponential scaling.

## COS HYDROLYSIS

The sulfide rich stream from the Rectisol Block (stream 25) and steam are sent to the COS Hydrolysis Block where COS is converted to H<sub>2</sub>S. The product gas (stream 41) is sent to the Claus Sulfur Recovery Block. The COS Hydrolysis Block cost is assumed to be negligible.

#### CLAUS PLANT

Hydrogen sulfide rich gas (stream 25) is mixed with air (stream 42) and converted in a two-step reaction to elemental sulfur (stream 46). The unreacted hydrogen sulfide (stream 45) is then sent to the Beavon Plant for further treatment. This block is the same as <u>Houston</u> Plant 06. The cost for this plant was estimated by exponential scaling.

## **BEAVON PLANT**

The Claus tail gas (stream 45) and air (stream 47) go to the Beavon Block. Additional sulfur is made (stream 51), and the gas leaving (stream 50) is sufficiently free from sulfides that it can be vented to the atmosphere. A sour water stream (stream 54) is sent from the plant for treatment. The cost of this block was estimated from data collected from various sources, with a scaling exponent of 0.65 [2].

# MoS<sub>2</sub> ALCOHOL SYNTHESIS LOOP

Clean syngas (stream 26) at 140 atmospheres enters the catalytic reactor along with the syngas recycle (stream 56B). The products (stream 26A) are taken to the separations block where the unreacted syngas is removed (stream 59). Part of this stream (stream 27) is sent to power generation while the rest (stream 56) is sent to CO<sub>2</sub> removal. The cost of this block was estimated from the cost of a methanol synthesis loop, with a scaling exponent of 0.565 [3].

## CO<sub>2</sub> REMOVAL

This block is very similar to the Rectisol Block. Recycled gas from the alcohol separation block (stream 56) is the only feed. CO<sub>2</sub> free syngas (stream 56A) is then recompressed and sent back to the reactor. CO<sub>2</sub> is taken off as a product (stream 57). The cost of this block is calculated the same way as in the Rectisol block. The power requirement for this unit has been included in the Rectisol block.

# **COMBUSTION GAS TURBINE**

The light hydrocarbons extracted from the reactor recycle (stream 27) in the Alcohol Synthesis Loop are sent to a combustion gas turbine with hot gas heat recovery. The power from the combustion gas turbines is assumed to be 35% of the HHV of the fuel in stream 27. This is consistent with recent studies on IGCC plants using medium BTU synthesis gas [7]. The cost for this block was estimated from data taken from an EPRI report, where each train can produce up to 200 MW with a scaling exponent of 0.67 [8].

## **EXHAUST GAS HEAT RECOVERY**

The hot exhaust gas stream from the Gas Turbine Block (stream 70) at 590°C and 101 kPa enters the Exhaust Gas Heat Recovery Block and is cooled against process boiler feed water at 25°C (stream 73). The exhaust gas stream exits at 200°C (stream 75), and the boiler feed exits as steam at 10,000 kPa and 535°C (stream 74). The cost for this block was estimated from data taken from an EPRI report, where each train can generate up to 425 tons of steam per hour with a scaling exponent of 0.67 [8]. This block also supplies the reheat between the high pressure and intermediate pressure steam turbines.

## **POWER GENERATION**

The steam from the Exhaust Gas Heat Recovery Block is let down in the steam turbines for power production. The cost for this block was estimated from data taken from an EPRI report, where each train can produce up to 500 MW with a scaling exponent of 0.67 [8]. This is a 3-stage steam turbine system. The high pressure stage inlet is 535°C, 10,000 kPa steam. The exhaust at 3,000 kPa is reheated to 535°C before entering the intermediate pressure stage. The final stage exhausts to a surface condenser at 7.4 kPa. Each turbine has an assumed efficiency of 75%.

#### PRESSURE SWING ADSORPTION

The clean syngas (stream 22A) is sent to PSA for selective hydrogen removal and purification. The adjusted syngas (stream 22AA), which leaves the PSA unit at 200 kPa, is compressed and sent on to the reactor while the purified excess hydrogen is sent to the plant battery limits for sale if possible.

### IMPORTANT POINTS OF INFORMATION

Several decisions were made for the creation of this case that should be pointed out. Also, there are alternatives that have not been fully considered which should be viewed in more detail. They are listed below along with the reasons behind them.

- The traditional method of producing large quantities of pure oxygen is by cryogenics, which is used for this case. However, recent reports have suggested that membrane and catalytic processes are becoming economically competitive with cryogenics. Therefore, we suggest that more research be done in this area.
- The Rectisol system was chosen for this case for H<sub>2</sub>S and CO<sub>2</sub> removal. The major alternative to Rectisol is Selexol. The literature indicates that Rectisol has a higher installed capital cost, but a lower fixed operating cost than Selexol. Both of these systems are capable of removing H<sub>2</sub>S to the ppm level and beyond. However, there is some evidence that substantial quantities of H<sub>2</sub>S are beneficial in the reaction stages of this case. If this is so, then a system such as the Benfield Acid Gas removal process might be more suitable. The Benfield system does not remove as much H<sub>2</sub>S and has lower capital and operating costs.
- The operating pressure for the Lurgi Gasifiers has been set at 2,800 kPa. No data have been found on the maximum pressure that a Lurgi Gasifier can be run. Since the pressure required at the reactor is 14,000 kPa, we would of course like to run the gasifiers at as high a pressure as possible.

# **TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL INVESTMENT (MM\$)**

Pressure Swing Adsorption			20.6
Coal Preparation			77.5
Lurgi Gasifier			653.4
Gas Turbines			356.4
Steam Turbines			46.8
Exhaust Gas Heat Recovery			29.6
Cryogenic Oxygen Production			212.7
Rectisol (Acid Gas Separation)			115.5
Claus (Sulfur Recovery)			29.7
Beavon			6.4
Alcohol Synthesis Loop	_		47.2
CO2 Removal	,15	٠. ١٠٠	4.5
Other Compressors			216.6
TOTAL			1917 1

TOTAL 1817.1

(sum of individual block costs does not exactly equal the total due to round-off)

### **OVERALL ECONOMIC EVALUATION**

The following table gives the totals and breakdowns for the yearly operating costs as well as the total installed cost for the plant.

TOTAL ESTIMATED INSTALLED CAPITAL COST (MM\$)		1817.1
TOTAL ESTIMATED OPERATING COSTS (MM\$/YR)		483.7
Coal (\$33/metric ton delivered)	163.5	
Other Expenses	320.2	
TOTAL ESTIMATED CREDITS (EXCLUDING ALCOHOLS) (MM\$/YR)		430.2
Power (\$0.05/kWh)	312.0	
Sulfur (\$300/metric ton) [7]	35.2	
Coal Tar/Liquid By-Products (\$99.1/metric ton)	43.4	
Hydrogen (\$35.31/1000 cubic meters)	39.5	

Credits for nitrogen, argon, and other rare gases have not been included because prices were not available and potential markets have not yet been identified.

## STAND ALONE COMPRESSORS AND POWER SUMMARY

There are 4 compressors that are not included in any of the blocks. Their inlet, outlet, pressure change, power rating, and installed capital cost are listed below. Following that is a summary of the total plant power output/input [4]. An efficiency of 70% is assumed for all compressors, with a maximum pressure ratio of 5 for a single stage of compression. Multiple compression stages with intercooling are used for services with pressure ratios greater than 5.

FUNCTION	INLET	Р	OUTLET	Р	POWER	COST
	STREAM	(kPa)	STREAM	(kPa)	(MW)	(MM\$)
Air Prep	1A	101	1	500	-81.4	71.4
O2 Prep	2	500	9	2800	-16.0	15.0
Rxtr Prep	22AA	200	26	1,4000	-148.0	129.8
Recy Comp	56A	12666	56B	14000	-0.2	0.4
Total compressor	needs				-245.6	
Other in plant nee	eds				-39.5	
Total produced in	steam and gas	turbines			1065.1	
Net power output	t				780.1	
Total installed co	mpressor costs (	(1992 dollars)	)			216.6

# REFERENCES

- 1. Final Report on the Houston Area Medium-BTU Coal Gasification Project, Volumes 2 and 3. Prepared by the Linde Division of Union Carbide Corporation, June 1982.
- 2. "Beavon Sulfur Removal Process," Hydrocarbon Processing, April 1984, p. 78.
- 3. Frank, Marshall E. "Methanol: Emerging Uses, New Syntheses," *Chemtech*, June 1982, pp. 358-362.
- 4. Baasel, William D., Preliminary Chemical Engineering Plant Design, 2nd edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1990, pp. 529-530.
- Miller, W. R., F. I. Honea, R. A. Lang, T. E. Berty, R. C. Delaney, R. W. Hospodarec, and P. F. Mako, "Great Plains Gasification Plant Start-Up and Modification Report," U.S. Dept. of Energy report no. DOE/CH/10088-2018, March 1986.
- 6. Chemical Marketing Reporter, August 31, 1992.
- 7. Report TR-101789, Houston Lighting and Power Company's Evaluation of Coal Gasification Coproduction Energy Facilities, EPRI Project 3226-04, 1992.
- 8. EPRI Report TR-100319, Evaluation of a 510-MWe Destec GCC Power Plant Fueled With Illinois No. 6 Coal, Prepared by Fluor Daniel, Inc., EPRI Project 2733-12, 1992.

Datmosphere exhaust gases mixed alcohols by-products hydrogen co2 + n2 Figure B.1: Block Flow Diagram for Case 2 61) V 64) et com ٩ PIN CO exhaust gas heat recovery soperation Beavon plant alcohol steam turbines (3) (3) co2 removal 100 **9** 6 synthesis Claus alcohol (8) gas turbine 3 **3 ®**-**3** • 3 **©** (2) 1 COS hydrolysis **®** P. 8 . A . (3) Gasffler Lurgi **45** 1277® **@ @** coal **®** cryogenic O2 plant (13) water Rectisol Stoam (B) 20 methanol make - up steam **≹** 

Table B.1 Case 2 Flow Table

010		34157.0										13957.8	393.3		302.1		2690.0	459.0	639.5					52598.7	619338.9	25.0	101.3
600																	8392.5							8392.5	268559.6	140.0	2800.0
900	372.8																							372.8	14911.0	25.0	500.0
004															29708.1									29708.1	831826.2	25.0	500.0
003															31216.9									31216.9	874073.8	25.0	500.0
005																	8392.5							8392.5	268559.6	25.0	500.0
001A	372.8								12.9			•	963.5		31216.9		8392.5							40958.6	1175455.8	25.0	101.3
100	372.8								12.9				963.5		31216.9		8392.5							40958.6	1175455.8	25.0	500.0
	Ar	ບ	снзон	с2н5он	сзн7он	С4Н9ОН	с5н11он	တ	C02	cos	CaCO3	Н2	н20	H2S	N2	NH3	02	S	A1203	с3н602	C4H8O2	CH4	с2н6	kmol/hr	kg/hr	Temp. (C)	Press. (KPA)

Table B.1 Case 2 Flow Table (cont'd)

	7	_	_	_			_	_	_	-	_	·		_	_	_	_		-,	-	_	_	_				_
023									7595.2	ı i														7595.2			2800.0
022AA								11261.9				12674.3										3787.8	469.4	28193.5	415369.9	25.0	200.0
022								11261.9				18922.4			1.							3787.8	469.4	34441.6	427866.1	25.0	2800.0
020			5.8																					5.8	185.0	25.0	101.3
019															1508.8									1508.8	42247.6	25.0	500.0
018								11,261.9	12,658.6			18,922.4	23,964.8	459.0	299.9	4.4						3,787.8	469.4	71828.3	1440290.1	25.0	2800.0
017A													23964.8											23964.8	431366.8	25.0	2800.0
012													37985.6											37985.6	683741.0	400.0	3900.0
	Ar	ပ	снзон	С2Н5ОН	сзн7он	С4н9он	С5Н11ОН	တ	C02	cos	CaCO3	Н2	Н20	H2S	N2	NH3	02	S	A1203	с3н602	C4H8O2	CH4	с2н6	kmol/hr	kg/hr	Temp. (C)	Press. (KPA)

Table B.1 Case 2 Flow Table (cont'd)

	024	025	026	026A	027	027A	028	033	034
								944.6	4.565.2
		8.3		628.3					
с2н5он				655.4					
сзн7он				145.0					
С4Н9ОН				36.5					
с5н11он				15.2					
			11261.9	7001.9	6594.0				
	4430.5	632.9		1493.7	1406.7		12.9		
						.*;			
			12674.3	7880.0	7421.0	6248.1			
				140.1			963.5		
		459.0				٠.			
	1808.8								
		4.4							
								639.5	
сзн6о2				18.0					
С4Н8О2			-	11.9					
			3787.8	4490.5	4228.9				
			469.4	517.1	486.9				
kmol/hr	6239.3	1102.1	28193.5	23033.7	20137.5	6248.1	976.4	1584.2	4565.2
2	245588.7	43713.7	415369.9	432797.5	343640.2	12496.2	17911.5	76567.4	54781.9
Temp. (C)	25.0	25.0	240.0	310.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Press. (KPA)	2800.0	2800.0	14000.0	12666.0	12666.0	2800.0	500.0	101.3	101.3

Table B.1 Case 2 Flow Table (cont'd)

F	$\overline{}$	_	7	7	1	_	1		_	-	7	-	-	-	7	7	_	_			_						
250	1000							0 204	6./0%			O OUR	0.66#									261 6	20.10.2	1158 6	17427 6	25.0	12666.0
056								407 0	87.0	2:/2		0 037	2000									261.6	30 1	1245.6	21256.2	25.0	12666.0
054													459.0	2:52										459.0	8261.6	50.0	101.3
051			_															22.9						22.9	734.4	125.0	101.3
050			5.8						632.9						929.7	4.4	17.7							1590.5	54705.8	100.0	101.3
047															109.6		29.1							138.7	4000.3	25.0	101.3
046																		436.0						436.0	13952.9	125.0	101.3
,045			5.8						632.9				436.0	22.9	820.1	4.4								1922.2	59701.4	200.0	101.3
042															820.1		218.0							1038.2	29940.6	25.0	.101.3
	Ar	ပ	снзон	С2Н5ОН	сзн7он	C4H9OH	с5н11он	CO	C02	cos	CaCO3	H2	H20	H2S	N2	NH3	02	S	A1203	сзн602	C4H8O2	CH4	с2н6	kmo1/hr	kg/hr	Temp. (C)	Press. (KPA)

Table B.1 Case 2 Flow Table (cont'd)

Г	Т	Т	Т	Т	T	т-	T	Т	Т	Т	1	7	_	_	$\overline{}$	_	Т	T	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
020									13203 5				26973.6		203424.9		36905.4							280507.3	7943346.5	590.0	101.3
067															203424.9		54075.0							257499.8	7426295.3	25.0	101.3
065											1		9633.9		34									9633.9	173411.0	25.0	10000.0
064			628.3	655.4	145.0	36.5	15.2																	1480.4	62996.0	25.0	12666.0
063													140.1							18.0	11.9			170.1	4905.1	25.0	12666.0
059								7001.9	1493.7			7880.0										4490.5	517.1	21383.2	364896.5	25.0	12666.0
057									87.0															87.0	3828.6	25.0	12666.0
056B								407.9				459.0										261.6	30.1	1158.6	17427.6	45.0	14000.0
	Ar	ບ	снзон	с2н5он	сзн7он	С4Н9ОН	с5н11он	co	C02	cos	CaCO3	Н2	н20	H2S	N2	NH3	02	S	A1203	с3н6о2	C4H8O2	CH4	с2н6	kmol/hr	kg/hr	Temp. (C)	Press. (KPA)

Table B.1 Case 2 Flow Table (cont'd)

Table B.2 Case 2 Energy Analysis

ELECTRICITY		
Plant	Electricity Used (MW)	Electricity Produced (MW)
Coal Preparation Plant	10.1	0.0
Cryogenic Oxygen Plant	11.2	0.0
Rectisol Plant	14.5	0.0
Lurgi Gasifier	3.1	0.0
Claus Plant	0.6	0.0
Gas Turbine	0.0	801.1
Steam Turbine	0.0	264.0
Compressor 1	81.4	0.0
Compressor 2	16.0	0.0
Compressor 4	148.0	0.0
Compressor 5	0.2	0.0
Total	285.1	1065.1