



COO298267

**NTIS**


One Source. One Search. One Solution.

---

## CONVERSION OF WOOD RESIDUES TO DIESEL FUEL

ARIZONA STATE UNIV., TEMPE. COLL. OF  
ENGINEERING SCIENCES

1981



U.S. Department of Commerce  
**National Technical Information Service**

---



**One Source. One Search. One Solution.**

# NTIS



**Providing Permanent, Easy Access  
to U.S. Government Information**

National Technical Information Service is the nation's largest repository and disseminator of government-initiated scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information. The NTIS collection includes almost 3,000,000 information products in a variety of formats: electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.



**Search the NTIS Database from 1990 forward**

NTIS has upgraded its bibliographic database system and has made all entries since 1990 searchable on **[www.ntis.gov](http://www.ntis.gov)**. You now have access to information on more than 600,000 government research information products from this web site.

**Link to Full Text Documents at Government Web Sites**

Because many Government agencies have their most recent reports available on their own web site, we have added links directly to these reports. When available, you will see a link on the right side of the bibliographic screen.

**Download Publications (1997 - Present)**

NTIS can now provide the full text of reports as downloadable PDF files. This means that when an agency stops maintaining a report on the web, NTIS will offer a downloadable version. There is a nominal fee for each download for most publications.

For more information visit our website:

**[www.ntis.gov](http://www.ntis.gov)**



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Technology Administration  
National Technical Information Service  
Springfield, VA 22161



COO-2982-67

## DISCLAIMER

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Report presented at the  
FOURTH ANNUAL WOOD ENERGY  
PROGRAM AND CONTRACTOR  
REVIEW MEETING, USDOE,  
Washington, D.C.,  
February 9-12, 1981

CONVERSION OF WOOD RESIDUES  
TO DIESEL FUEL

James L. Kuester

Arizona State University  
College of Engineering and Applied Sciences  
Tempe, Arizona  
85281

## SUMMARY

1. Title: CONVERSION OF WOOD RESIDUES TO DIESEL FUEL
2. Contractor: Arizona State University
3. Principal Investigator: Dr. James L. Kuester  
Professor of Chemical Engineering  
(602) 965-5071
4. Contract Number: DE-AC02-76CS40202  
Contract Period: June 1, 1980 to May 31, 1981  
Funding Level: \$204,377 (current period); total funding from ERDA/DOE  
since June 1, 1976 = \$421,709.
5. Previous Contracts:
  - a. U. S. Navy/EPA, January 1976 - December 1976
  - b. ERDA/DOE, June 1976 - May 1978
  - c. DOE, June 1978 - May 1979
  - d. Arizona Solar Energy Research Commission, September 1978 - May 1979
  - e. DOE, June 1979 - May 1980
  - f. Arizona Solar Energy Research Commission, October 1979 - June 1980
  - g. USDA, June 1980 - May 1983
  - h. Arizona Solar Energy Commission, October 1980 - June 1981
6. Objectives and Anticipated Results:

Develop a process to produce quality liquid hydrocarbon fuels equivalent to those derived from petroleum for a variety of cellulosic type feedstocks at economically viable conditions.
7. Work Statement Outline and Schedule:
  - a. integrated runs - continuous operation from the solids feeder through the liquefaction step with direct measurement of product yields.
  - b. factor studies - improvement of process performance.
  - c. alternate feedstocks - assessment of materials of commercial and/or scientific interest (with extrapolation of results to commercial materials).

The above tasks are addressed in parallel.

## INTRODUCTION

The project has been under development since 1975. Funding has been provided by ERDA/DOE, U. S. Navy, USDA, Arizona Solar Energy Commission and Arizona State University. The basic approach is indirect liquefaction, i.e., thermal gasification followed by catalytic liquefaction. The indirect approach results in separation of the oxygen in the biomass feedstock, i.e., oxygenated compounds do not appear in the liquid hydrocarbon fuel product. The general conversion scheme is shown in Figure 1. The process is capable of accepting a wide variety of feedstocks. Potential products include medium quality gas, normal propanol, paraffinic fuel and/or high octane gasoline. Conceivably, the process could be optimized for different products at different locations, depending on local marketing conditions and needs. To date, most industrial interest has been in diesel type fuels for transportation vehicles (trucks, farm machinery, etc.).

A flow diagram of the continuous laboratory unit is shown in Figure 2. A fluidized bed pyrolysis system is used for gasification. Capacity is about 10 lbs/hr of feedstock. The pyrolyzer can be fluidized with recycle pyrolysis gas, steam or recycle liquefaction system off gas or some combination thereof. Tars are removed in a wet scrubber. Unseparated pyrolysis gases are utilized as feed to a modified Fischer-Tropsch reactor. The liquid condensate from the reactor consists of a normal propanol-water phase and a paraffinic hydrocarbon phase. The reactor can be operated to optimize for either product. If a high octane gasoline is desired, the paraffinic fuel is passed through a conventional catalytic reformer. The normal propanol could be used as a fuel extender if blended with the hydrocarbon fuel products. Off gases from the downstream reactors are of high quality due to the accumulation of low molecular weight paraffins.

Progress in the laboratory scale system has been documented elsewhere (see PUBLICATIONS). In general, the system is capable of producing a high quality product from a wide variety of biomass feedstocks. Demonstration of attractive yields for feedstocks of short term commercial interest is the major objective of studies in progress.





Figure 1.  
Basic Chemical Conversion Scheme

# CONVERSION SYSTEM SCHEMATIC



GASIFICATION

LIQUID FUELS SYNTHESIS

Figure 2.

## PRESENT STATUS

The work statement for the current contract period (June 1, 1980 to May 31, 1981) contains the following tasks: (1) integrated runs, (2) factor studies, and (3) alternate feedstocks.

The objective of the first task is an assessment of performance with the system operated in a continuous mode from solids feeding through the liquefaction step. The desired product is diesel fuel and thus the reformer is not used. The effort has concentrated on a limited number of feedstocks. Integrated run data for two feedstocks, almond prunings (from cultivated California orchards) and guayule bagasse (from native bushes in Mexico), are shown in Tables 1-3 and Figure 3. As indicated (Table 2), the pyrolysis gas is of high fuel value for both feedstocks (approximately 500 Btu/SCF) but with some variation in gas composition. The water-alcohol phase from the liquefaction reactor contains about 9 wt% normal propanol for each feedstock. Chromatograms of the liquid hydrocarbon phase (Figure 3) reveals that the product from both feedstocks are very similar and in the  $C_7 - C_{17}$  range. A comparison with commercial No. 2 diesel fuel indicates that the major difference is the presence of  $C_7 - C_9$  compounds for the experimental material. External consultation (see C00-2982-59) indicates that the experimental material should be directly usable as a transportation fuel without distillation.

A properties comparison table of experimental liquid hydrocarbon product with commercial materials is given in Table 4. The experimental samples represent the broad range capabilities of the liquefaction system. As indicated, the closest match (without distillation) is to JP-4 jet fuel. It should be emphasized that no oxygenated compounds are detected in the liquid hydrocarbon product, i.e., the oxygen in the feedstocks goes primarily to  $CO$ ,  $CO_2$ , normal propanol and water.

Direct yield measurement (volume product/wt. feedstock) have not been possible due to a inconsistent scale of the gasification system (larger) and the liquefaction system. Thus some generated pyrolysis gas is vented. A larger liquefaction reactor is being constructed to allow for a direct measurement. An indication of maximum possible yields for several assumptions as a function of pyrolysis gas  $H_2/CO$  ratio and olefin content are given in Tables 5 and 6. The tables differ in the amount of olefin content ( $C_2H_4$ ). The first assumption converts the synthesis gas reactive components ( $H_2$ ,  $CO$ ,  $C_2H_4$ ) to an "average" liquid hydrocarbon product ( $C_{10}H_{22}$ ) plus water. The second assumption excludes water formation. The third assumption assumes that  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  could also be converted to product. Optimum yields for each assumption are listed with corresponding required value of the  $H_2/CO$  mole ratio. In each case, a ratio of  $>1$  is required. Also an increase in olefin content is a virtue. The interpretation of the calculations is to expect yields of 50-100 gals/ton with the current state-of-the-art of the process.



Product yield improvement tools presently under consideration are listed in Table 7. The major factor studies in progress or planned are lowering of the pyrolysis reactor residence time, fluidization of the pyrolysis reactor with steam + recycle gas from the liquefaction reactor, the use of catalysts in the gasification step and alternative catalysts in the liquefaction step. While product composition is of high quality and relatively insensitive to feedstock type, pyrolysis gas composition (and thus liquefaction yields) can vary with feedstock type. Thus, as shown in Table 8, a material such as guayule cork will produce an outstanding synthesis gas for maximizing liquid hydrocarbon yields. The reasons for this is a current area of investigation (feedstock compound analysis vs. reactor performance).

A number of alternate feedstocks are under investigation. A listing of materials presently on location is given in Table 9. Suppliers are industrial concerns, government laboratories (U.S. and Mexico) and sponsored projects at other institutions. The status of testing is as indicated. A large number of the materials in Table 9 have been processed through gasification and reported previously (see COO-2982-57). Only a few materials (e.g., almond prunings, guayule bagasse, Eco-Fuel II) have been processed through liquefaction. With the possible exception of trace potential catalyst poisons, the synthesis gas composition data is considered an adequate predictor of liquefaction system performance however. An assessment of the current alternative feedstock candidates will be included in the interim report for the current funding period.

Table 1.

OPERATING CONDITIONS - INTEGRATED RUNS

	<u>Pyrolysis Reactor</u>		<u>Liquid Fuels Reactor</u>	
	<u>Almond Prunings</u>	<u>Guayule Bagasse</u>	<u>Almond Prunings</u>	<u>Guayule Bagasse</u>
Temperature, degrees F	1420	1520	( 500 )	
Pressure, psig	0.4	0.8	( 110 )	
Heat transfer media/catalyst	( sand )		( cobalt-alumina )	
Residence time, sec.	( 4 )		( 20 )	
Fluidizing gas	( pyrolysis gas )		( pyrolysis gas )	



Table 2.

PYROLYSIS REACTOR GAS COMPOSITION - INTEGRATED RUNS

<u>Feedstock:</u>	<u>(mole%<sup>1</sup>)</u>	
	<u>Almond</u> <u>Prunings</u>	<u>Guayule</u> <u>Bagasse</u>
H <sub>2</sub>	25.70	25.02
O <sub>2</sub>	0.16	0.07
CO	42.68	39.61
CO <sub>2</sub>	5.97	6.11
H <sub>2</sub> S	0.00	0.00
CH <sub>4</sub>	14.88	15.36
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	0.17	0.21
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	5.68	7.14
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	1.05	0.63
C <sub>3</sub> olefins	0.21	0.00
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	0.00	0.13
C <sub>4</sub> olefins	0.08	0.06
C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	0.01	0.00
C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>12</sub>	0.00	0.00
C <sub>5</sub> + olefins	3.41	5.64
<hr/>		
total unsaturated	9.55	13.05
H <sub>2</sub> /CO ratio	0.60	0.63

<sup>1</sup> water, nitrogen free basis

Table 3.

LIQUID FUELS REACTOR WATER PHASE COMPOSITION  
INTEGRATED RUNS (wt%)

<u>Feedstock:</u>	<u>Almond Prunings</u>	<u>Guayule Bagasse</u>
H <sub>2</sub> O	89.51	89.03
ethanol	0.88	0.96
2-propanol	0.77	0.25
1-propanol	8.57	9.54
2-butanol	0.12	0.10
1-butanol	0.14	0.12






Figure 3.  
LIQUID FUELS REACTOR HYDROCARBON PHASE COMPOSITION  
INTEGRATED RUNS

Table 4.  
Properties of Fischer-Tropsch Product and Commercial Fuel Oils

	Commercial Fuel Oils			Fischer-Tropsch Product	
	No. 2 Diesel	Kerosine	JP-4	Sample 1	Sample 2
Specific gravity	.836	.8108	.7586	.7268	.7483
Gravity, API°	37.8	43/43.4*	55/52.8*	63.1	57.6
Boiling point range, °F					
= evaporated at	10%	369	336/373*	147/209*	180
	50%	458	410/418*	302/311*	306
	90%	563	479/480*	438/419*	457
Calculated Cetane Index	45.9	47.8/50.6*	48.3/46.6*	66.4	47.9
Heating value, Btu/lb	19383	21676	22440	20430	22113

\*from "Petroleum Products Handbook," McGraw-Hill, 1960.



Table 5.  
MAXIMUM YIELDS

<u>Reaction</u>	<u>H<sub>2</sub>/CO</u>	<u>Yield</u>
1. H <sub>2</sub> , CO, C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> → C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub> + H <sub>2</sub> O	2.21	94 gals/ton
2. H <sub>2</sub> , CO, C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> → C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub>	1.12	124 gals/ton
3. H <sub>2</sub> , CO, C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> → C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub>	1.05	175 gals/ton

where C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> = 10 mole %

CH<sub>4</sub> = 12

CO<sub>2</sub> = balance

gasification yields = 95

Table 6.  
MAXIMUM YIELDS

<u>Reaction</u>	<u>H<sub>2</sub>/CO</u>	<u>Yield</u>
1. H <sub>2</sub> , CO, C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> → C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub> + H <sub>2</sub> O	2.55	151 gals/ton
2. H <sub>2</sub> , CO, C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> → C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub>	1.39	168 gals/ton
3. H <sub>2</sub> , CO, C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> → C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub>	1.36	213 gals/ton

where C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> = 30 mole %

CH<sub>4</sub> = 12

CO<sub>2</sub> = balance

gasification yields = 95

Table 7.  
PRODUCT YIELD IMPROVEMENTS

1. Increase Gasification Yields: T,  $\theta$ , catalysts, feedstock type and geometry
2. Improve Synthesis Gas Composition
  - a. Increase  $H_2/CO$ : T,  $\theta$ , steam, catalysts, feedstock type and geometry  
( $CO + H_2O \rightarrow H_2 + CO_2$ )
  - b. Increase olefins: T,  $\theta$ , dilution, recycle, catalysts, feedstock type and geometry
3. Increase Liquefaction Yields: T, P,  $\theta$ , catalysts

Table 8.

Steam-Residence Time-Recycle Effects  
(Guayule Cork Feedstock)

	<u>No Steam</u>	<u>Steam</u>
<u>Operating Conditions:</u>		
temperature, °F	1300	1200
pressure, psig	0.9	0.9
residence time, sec	8	1
feed rate, lbs/hr.	5	5
heat transfer media	70 mesh sand	70 mesh sand
fluidizing gas	recycle pyrolysis gas	recycle pyrolysis gas + steam
<u>Pyrolysis Gas Composition (mole %)<sup>1</sup>:</u>		
H <sub>2</sub>	20.57	24.51
O <sub>2</sub>	0.17	0.47
CO	22.14	10.87
CO <sub>2</sub>	3.77	10.76
H <sub>2</sub> S	0.00	0.00
CH <sub>4</sub>	26.03	10.95
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	0.05	0.04
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	14.80	16.67
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	4.79	3.24
C <sub>3</sub> olefins	3.04	6.49
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	0.16	0.25
C <sub>4</sub> olefins	0.83	2.65
C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>	0.08	0.21
C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>12</sub>	0.00	0.00
C <sub>5</sub> + olefins	3.58	12.88
total unsaturated	22.30	38.73
H <sub>2</sub> /CO ratio	0.93	2.25

<sup>1</sup> - water, nitrogen free basis

Table 9.  
FEEDSTOCKS

Industrial Wastes

*sawdust	lignin
*fir bark	kelp residue
*hog fuel	*almond hulls
cotton gin trash	*almond shells
*guayule bagasse	*paper chips
*guayule cork	guayule resins
*jojoba meal	**sugarcane bagasse
euphorbia bagasse	**wheat straw
**candelilla bagasse	**almond prunings

Forest Residues

*creosote bush	*shrub live oak
*sugar sumac	*hairy mountain mahogany
*Arizona cypress	*Utah juniper
*pringle manzanita	*pinion pine
*Wright silktassel	**greasewood
*pointleaf manzanita	**mesquite

Urban Wastes

*Eco-Fuel II	sewage sludge
--------------	---------------

Energy Crops

*Russian thistle	*raw guayule
**raw kelp	*water hyacinth
**euphorbia lathyris	**tall boneset
**silver maple	**rosin weed
**smooth sumac	compass plant
common milkweed	**tall goldenrod
**red tartarion honeysuckle	Canada wildrye
common elder	**sassafras
**giant ragweed	sweet sorghum
**field thistle	**coral berry
**cherry eleagnus	**wild bergamot
**pokewood	smiling sumac

Others

corn starch	*polypropylene
coal	*peat
*polyethylene	**Portugese oak cork

---

Notes: \*previous contract periods  
 \*\*current contract period (to February, 1980)  
 (rest on hand but not yet evaluated)

## FUTURE PLANS

The future for the project is projected as follows:

1. demonstrate that economically attractive yields (direct measurement) are possible in the laboratory scale unit for feedstocks of commercial interest. A proposed criteria is >40 gals. of quality liquid hydrocarbon product per ton of dry feedstock.
2. build a larger scale pilot plant (approximately 10 tons/day) for the purpose of endurance testing of equipment and catalysts and production of a sufficient amount of product for applications testing.
3. commercialization.

It is anticipated that the larger scale pilot plant will involve both federal and industrial funding with eventual commercialization to be financed by private industry. The laboratory scale system should remain active as long as it is useful (factor and optimization studies, screening of alternate feedstocks, system simplification, chemical and physical property testing of products, etc.). It should be emphasized that a larger scale facility is not economically viable for extensive experimentation, i.e., any proposed major revisions should be explored first at the laboratory scale

## PUBLICATIONS

A number of publications concerning this project have been completed. These include:

- Kuester, J. L., "Fluidized Bed Pyrolysis to Gases Containing Olefins," presented at the Specialists' Workshop on Fast Pyrolysis of Biomass, Solar Energy Research Institute, Copper Mountain, Colorado, October, 1980.
- Kuester, J. L., Conversion of Cellulosic Wastes to Liquid Fuels, DOE Interim Report No. COO-2982-57, Contract No. EY-76-S-02-2982. (September, 1980).
- Kuester, J. L., "Olefins from Cellulose Pyrolysis" presented at the American Chemical Society Symposium on Alternative Feedstocks for Petrochemicals, Las Vegas, August, 1980.
- Kuester, J. L., "An Indirect Liquefaction Process for Producing Liquid Fuels from Biomass," presented at the American Institute of Chemicals Engineers Session on Conversion of Biomass to Energy and High Value Products, Portland, Oregon, August, 1980.
- Kuester, J. L., "Conversion of Cellulosic and Waste Polymer Material to Gasoline," American Chemical Society Symposium on Thermal Conversion of Solid Wastes, Residues and Energy Crops, Washington, D.C., September, 1979 (published in Preprints and Symposium Series).
- Kuester, J. L., "Liquid Hydrocarbon Fuels from Biomass," presented at the Biomass as a Non-Fossil Fuel Source Symposium, American Chemical Society, Honolulu, April, 1979 (published in Preprints and Symposium Series).
- Kuester, J. L., Conversion of Cellulosic and Waste Polymer Material to Gasoline, DOE Interim Report No. COO-2982-38, Contract No. EY-76-S-02-2982 (March, 1980).
- Kuester, J. L., "Conversion of Cellulosic Wastes to Liquid Fuels," presented at Engineering Foundation Conference on Municipal Solid Waste as a Resource: The Problems and the Promise, Henniker, New Hampshire, July, 1979 (published by Ann Arbor Press).
- Kuester, J. L., "Liquid Fuels from Biomass," presented at the AIAA/ASERC Conference on Solar Energy, Phoenix, November, 1978 (published in Proceedings).
- Kuester, J. L., "Urban Wastes as an Energy Source," in Energy Systems: An Analysis for Engineers and Policy Makers, Marcel Dekker, 1978.
- Kuester, J. L., "Conversion of Waste Organic Materials to Gasoline," Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Energy and the Environment, Cincinnati, October, 1976.
- Kuester, J. L., DOE Progress Reports, COO-2982-1 to 65 (1976 to present) Contract No. EY-76-S-02-2982, DE-AC02-76CS40202.

## **SATISFACTION GUARANTEED**

**NTIS strives to provide quality products, reliable service, and fast delivery. Please contact us for a replacement within 30 days if the item you receive is defective or if we have made an error in filling your order.**

► **E-mail: [info@ntis.gov](mailto:info@ntis.gov)**

► **Phone: 1-888-584-8332 or (703)605-6050**

# **Reproduced by NTIS**

National Technical Information Service  
Springfield, VA 22161

***This report was printed specifically for your order  
from nearly 3 million titles available in our collection.***

For economy and efficiency, NTIS does not maintain stock of its vast collection of technical reports. Rather, most documents are custom reproduced for each order. Documents that are not in electronic format are reproduced from master archival copies and are the best possible reproductions available.

Occasionally, older master materials may reproduce portions of documents that are not fully legible. If you have questions concerning this document or any order you have placed with NTIS, please call our Customer Service Department at (703) 605-6050.

## **About NTIS**

NTIS collects scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information – then organizes, maintains, and disseminates that information in a variety of formats – including electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.

The NTIS collection of nearly 3 million titles includes reports describing research conducted or sponsored by federal agencies and their contractors; statistical and business information; U.S. military publications; multimedia training products; computer software and electronic databases developed by federal agencies; and technical reports prepared by research organizations worldwide.

For more information about NTIS, visit our Web site at  
**<http://www.ntis.gov>**.

# **NTIS**

**Ensuring Permanent, Easy Access to  
U.S. Government Information Assets**





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Technology Administration  
National Technical Information Service  
Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 605-6000

---

---