

FE27297

One Source. One Search. One Solution.

ALLOY CATALYSTS WITH MONOLITH SUPPORTS FOR METHANATION OF COAL-DERIVED GASES. QUARTERLY TECHNICAL PROGRESS REPORT, MARCH 21-JUNE 20, 1979

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIV. PROVO, UT

05 JUL 1979



U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service

One Source. One Search. One Solution.



Providing Permanent, Easy Access to U.S. Government Information

National Technical Information Service is the nation's largest repository and disseminator of governmentinitiated scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information. The NTIS collection includes almost 3,000,000 information products in a variety of formats: electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.





Search the NTIS Database from 1990 forward

NTIS has upgraded its bibliographic database system and has made all entries since 1990 searchable on **www.ntis.gov.** You now have access to information on more than 600,000 government research information products from this web site.

Link to Full Text Documents at Government Web Sites

Because many Government agencies have their most recent reports available on their own web site, we have added links directly to these reports. When available, you will see a link on the right side of the bibliographic screen.

Download Publications (1997 - Present)

NTIS can now provides the full text of reports as downloadable PDF files. This means that when an agency stops maintaining a report on the web, NTIS will offer a downloadable version. There is a nominal fee for each download for most publications.

For more information visit our website:

www.ntis.gov



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Technology Administration National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161



FE27297

.

ALLOY CATALYSTS WITH MONOLITH SUPPORTS FOR METHANATION OF COAL-DERIVED GASES

Quarterly Technical Progress Report For Period March 21, 1979 to June 20, 1979

> Calvin H. Bartholomew Brigham Young University Provo, Utah 84602

Date Published -- July 5, 1979

PREPARED FOR THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Under Contract No. EF-77-S-01-2729

FOREWORD

This report summarizes technical progress during the seventh quarter (March 21, 1979 to June 20, 1979) of a two-year study conducted for the Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. EF-77-S-01-2729. The principal investigator for this work was Dr. Calvin H. Bartholomew; Dr. Paul Scott was the technical representative for DOE.

The following students contributed to the technical accomplishments and to this report: Erek Erekson, Ed Sughrue, Gordon Weatherbee, Don Mustard, and John Watkins. Mr. Erekson and Dr. Bartholomew were the principal authors. April Washburn and Steve Kvalve provided typing and drafting services. In this report data are reported in SI units.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

FOR	EWORI	D	•	• •	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
LIS	T OF	TABL	.ES			• •	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	v
LIS	T OF	FIGU	IRES	5					•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ν
ABS	TRAC	т	•		•			•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
Ι.	OBJ	ECTIV	/ES	AND	SC	OPE		•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	2
	Α.	Back	gra	ound	•		•			•	•		•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	2
	Β.	Obj€	ecti	ives			•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
	с.	Tecł	nnic	cal.	Арр	roa	ch		•		•	•	•	•		•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	3
II.	SUM	MARY	0F	PRO	GRE	ss.		•	•						•		٠		•		•	•		•	•		•	7
III.	DET	AILE	D DE	ESCR	IPT	101	10	F	TE	СНІ	NI	CAI		PRO)GF	RES	SS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
IV.	CON	CLUS	IONS	s			•						-			•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•		37
۷.	REF	EREN	CES								•								•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	38
APP	PENDI	CES				•				•			•		•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•			39
	А	Mode] f	or F	inc	ling	3 D	ea	ct	iv	at	io	n	Ra	te	C	on	st	an	ts			•	•		•	•	39
NTI	(S Bi	blio	gra	phic	Da	ata	Sh	ee	t																			

LIST OF FIGURES

•

Figure		Page
1	Project Progress Summary	. 8
2	Electron Micrograph of Ni-S-101 (13.5% Ni/SiO ₂) Before Sintering	15
3	Electron Micrograph of Ni-S-101 (13.5% Ni/SiO ₂) After Sintering in H ₂ at 973 K for 54 h	16
4	Electron Micrograph of Silica Support	17
5	Activity versus Time plot of H_2S Poisoning In Situ of Ni-A-120 Powders in a Quartz CFSTR at 550 K, 103 kPa, and reactant gas containing 79% Ar, 20% H_2 , 1% CO and 1 ppm H_2S	29
6	Linearized Plot of H_2S Poisoning <u>In Situ</u> of Ni-A-120 Powders in a Quartz CFSTR at 550 K, 103 kPa, and reactant gas containing 79% Ar, 20% H ₂ , 1% CO and 1 ppm H ₂ S	31
7	Linearized Plot of H_2S poisoning In Situ of Ni-A-120 -60+120 mesh Powder at 550 and 575 K, 103 kPa, and reactant gas containing 79% Ar, 20% H_2 , 1% CO and 1 ppm H_2S	32
8	Activity versus Time Plot of H_2S Poisoning In Situ of Ni-A-120 -60+120 mesh powder at 550 and 575 K, 103 kPa, and reactant gas containing 79% Ar, 20% H_2 , 1% CO and 1 ppm H_2S	33

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Description of Reactor Tests for Task 2	5
2	Catalyst Composition Data	10
3	H ₂ Chemisorption Uptake Data	11
4	Comparison of Carbon Monoxide Chemisorption Measurements versus Temperature of Adsorption (3% Ni/A1 ₂ 0 ₃)	13
5	Particle Size Distribution for Ni-S-101 Fresh and Sintered	18

6	Activity Test of Borohydride Reduced Nickel Catalyst	10
	and Monolith Before and After Powdering	19
7	Kinetic Data for Ni-M-184 at 498 K	21
8	Kinetic Data for Ni-M-184 at 523 K	22
9	Kinetic Tests for Ni-M-184 at 548 K	23
10	Kinetic Data for Ni-M-183 at 498 K	24
11	Kinetic Data for Ni-M-183 at 523 K	25
12	Orders of Methanation for Ni-M-183	27
13	Methanation Kinetics at Low Pressure	28
14	${\rm H_2S}$ Deactivation Rate Constants for Ni-A-102 Powder $% {\rm H_2S}$.	34

ABSTRACT

During the seventh quarter significant progress was made in several task areas, but especially in the investigations of high pressure kinetics and deactivation by sulfur poisoning. Seventeen new catalysts were prepared for use in kinetic studies and long term tests. A number of catalysts were characterized using H_2 and CO adsorption and transmission electron microscopy. Activity tests were conducted for a borohydride reduced catalyst and samples of crushed and uncrushed monolithic nickel catalyst. Extensive kinetic experiments were performed on two monolithic nickel catalysts over a range of temperatures and pressures from which kinetic parameters and a rate expression were obtained. Long term experiments of H_2S poisoning during reaction were also conducted and the data were fitted to a Levenspiel deactivation kinetics model. The principal investigator visited three other laboratories and presented a paper at the Catalysis Gordon Conference.

A. Background

Natural gas is a highly desirable fuel because of its high heating value and nonpolluting combustion products. In view of the expanding demand for and depletion of domestic supplies of clean fuels, economic production of synthetic natural gas (SNG) from coal ranks high on the list of national priorities.

Presently there are several gasification processes under development directed toward the production of SNG. Although catalytic methanation of coal synthesis gas is an important cost item in each process, basic technological and design principles for this step are not well advanced. Extensive research and development are needed before the process can realize economical, reliable operation. Specifically, there appear to be important economical advantages in the development of more efficient, stable catalysts.

From the literature (1,2), three major catalyst problems are apparent which relate to stability: (i) sulfur poisoning, (ii) carbon deposition with associated plugging, and (iii) sintering. Our understanding of these problems is at best sorely inadequate, and the need to develop new and better catalyst technology is obvious. Nevertheless, there has been very little research dealing with new catalyst concepts such as bimetallic (alloy) or monolithic-supported catalysts for methanation. This study deals specifically with sulfur poisoning, carbon deposition, and the effects of support (monolith and pellet) geometry on the performance of alloy methanation catalysts.

B. Objectives

The general objectives of this research program are (i) to study the kinetics of methanation for a few selected catalysts tested during the first two years, (ii) to investigate these catalysts for resistance to deactivation due to sulfur poisoning and thermal degradation. The work is divided into five tasks.

Task 1. Characterize the surface, bulk and phase compositions, surface areas, and metal crystallite sizes for alumina-supported Ni, Ni-Co, Ni-MoO₃, Ni-Pt, Ni-Ru and Ru catalysts.

<u>Task 2</u>. Continue activity testing and support geometry studies of Ni and Ni-bimetallic catalysts initiated during the first two years. The tests include (i) conversion vs. temperature runs at low and high pressures, (ii) steady-state carbon deposition tests, (iii) in situ H_2S tolerance tests, and (iv) support geometry comparisons.

Task 3. Perform kinetic studies to find intrinsic rate data for alumina-supported Ni, Ni-Co, Ni-MoO₃, Ni-Pt, Ni-Ru, and Ru catalysts over a range of pressures and feed compositions. Detailed rate expressions for each catalyst will be determined at low and high pressure.

Effectiveness factors for monolithic and pellet-supported nickel on alumina will be obtained by comparing specific rates to those of finely powdered nickel on alumina.

Task 4. Determine H_2S poisoning rates, thermal deactivation rates, and operating temperature limits for Ni, Ni-Co, Ni-MoO₃, Ni-Pt, Ni-Ru, and Ru catalysts.

Task 5. Continue laboratory visits and technical communications. Interact closely with industrial and governmental representatives to promote large scale testing and development of the two or three best monolithic or pelleted alloy catalysts from this study.

C. Technical Approach

The technical approach which will be used to accomplish the tasks outlined above is presented in the statement of work dated May 20, 1977. The main features of that approach are reviewed here along with more specific details and modifications which have evolved as a result of progress. It is expected that various other aspects of this approach will be modified and improved as the project develops and as new data are made available. Nevertheless, the objectives, tasks and principle features of the approach will remain the substantially the same.

Task 1: Catalyst Characterization

A comprehensive examination of alumina-supported Ni, Ni-Co, Ni-MoO₃, Ni-Pt, Ni-Ru, and Ru catalysts will be carried out to determine surface, bulk, and phase compositions, surface areas, and metal crystallite sizes using the following techniques: chemisorption, x-ray diffraction, chemical analysis, ESCA and SIMS spectroscopy, Auger spectroscopy and transmission electron microscopy.

Hydrogen chemisorption uptakes will be measured using a conventional volumetric apparatus before each reactor test and before and after deactivation tests. X-ray diffraction measurements will be carried out to determine the active metallic phases and metal crystallite size where possible. Selected "aged" samples from Task 4 will be analyzed (by x-ray, chemical analysis, and perhaps ESCA) to determine carbon content and possible changes in phase composition or particle size. Also, transmission electron micrographs will be made to determine particle size distributions for catalyst samples. A few samples will be analyzed by EDAX to determine composition.

Task 2: Activity Testing and Support Geometry Design

Methanation activity and sulfur tolerance measurements initiated during the previous two years of study (3) will be completed. Pellet and monolithic alumina-supported Ni, Ni-Co, Ni-MoO₃, Ni-Pt, Ni-Ru, and Ru catalysts, (both high and low metal loadings) will be activity tested over a range of temperatures, pressures, and H_2S concentrations. A comparison of steady state conversions for nickel on different pellet and monolith supports of varying geometry will be made. Low pressure activity and sulfur tolerance tests will also be made for pelleted Co/Al_2O_3 and unsupported Ni-Co and Ni-Mo alloys. A summary of the five test procedures and corresponding experimental conditions is listed in Table 1.

Task 3: Kinetic Studies

In order to make more extensive kinetic studies of the six catalyst metal combinations a new mixed flow reactor system will be constructed. This system will be capable of operation to 7500 kPa and 775 K and over a range of reactant compositions. The reactor for this system will be a "Berty" type constant volume mixed flow Autoclave reactor.

Intrinsic rate data will be obtained for alumina-supported Ni, Ni-Co, Ni-MoO₃, Ni-Pt, Ni-Ru, and Ru catalysts over a range of pressures and feed compositions in order to obtain detailed rate expressions at <u>low</u> and <u>high</u> pressures. To insure gradientless operation in the reaction-limited regime the rates will be measured at low conversions (0-5%) and low temperatures (525-600 K) for samples which have been crushed to obtain small particles.

Isothermal effectiveness factors for monolithic and pelletsupported nickel on alumina will be obtained by comparing their specific rates to those of finely powdered nickel on alumina using the same mixed flow reactor.

Task 4: Degradation Studies

 H_2S poisoning rates and thermal deactivation rates at low pressure will be studied using a new quartz reactor system. Quartz was selected as the material for the reactor because it must operate at high temperatures (750-1000 K) and in a corrosive (H_2S) environment. This reactor is also a constant volume mixed flow type reactor according to the design of Katzer (4). The quartz reactor system will be constructed during the early part of the contract period. Thermal deactivation at high pressures will be studied using a tubular stainless steel reactor previously discussed (3).

Operating temperature limits (and specific reaction rates within this range), thermal deactivation rates near the upper use temperature (in the presence and absence of steam), and H_2S poisoning rates (at 525 K in the presence of 1 and 10 ppm H_2S in H_2) will be determined for Ni, Ni-Co, Ni-MoO₃, Ni-Pt, Ni-Ru, and Ru catalysts. The extent of carbon-carbide deposited in the thermal deactivation runs will be determined by chemical analysis and x-ray diffraction.

Description of Reactor Tests for Task 2

Test Procedures

- Temperature-Conversion Test: Measure CO conversion and methane production as a function of temperature, with and without 1% (by vol.) of steam present in the reactant mixture.
- Temperature-Conversion Test (high pressure): Measure CO conversion and methane production as a function of temperature at 2500 kPa.
- 3. <u>Steady State (24 Hr.) Carbon Deposition</u> <u>Test:</u> Measure CO conversion and methane production at 500 and 525 K (250,000 hr) before and after an exposure of 24 hours at 675 K.
- 4. <u>In situ H₂S Tolerance Test</u>: Measure intermittently the production of methane and hydrocarbons (by FID) during 24 hours exposure to feed containing 1 or 10 ppm H₂S using a glass reactor.
- 5. <u>Support Geometry Tests</u>: Measure CO conversion and methane production as a function of temperature for the same Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst supported on monoliths and pellets of varying geometries.

Experimental Conditions 475-675 K 140 kPa 30,000 hr⁻¹ 1% CO, 4% H₂, 95% N₂ (dry basis) 475-675 K 2500 kPa 30,000 hr⁻¹ 1% CO, 4% H₂, 95% N₂ 675 K (24 hrs.) 140 kPa 200,000-250,000 hr⁻¹ $25\% CO, 50\% H_2, 25\% N_2 H_2/CO = 2$ 525 K 140 kPa 30,000 hr-1 1% CO, 4% H₂, 95% N₂ 1 or 10 ppm H2S 575-675 K 140 kPa 30,000 hr⁻¹ 1% CO, 4% H₂, 95% N₂

Task 5: Technical Interaction and Technology Transfer

The principal investigator will continue to communicate closely with other workers in methanation catalysis, continue distribution of quarterly reports to selected laboratories to stimulate interest and feedback, attend important coal and catalysis meetings, and visit other methanation laboratories.

He will also interact closely with Mr. A.L. Lee at the Institute of Gas Technology, with personnel at the Pittsburgh Energy Research Center and with other coal gasification representatives to promote large scale testing and development of the two or three best catalysts from this study.

.

A project progress summary is presented in Figure 1 and accomplishments during the past quarter are summarized below. Figure 1 shows that task accomplishments are on schedule.

Accomplishments and results from the past quarter are best summarized according to task:

<u>Task 1</u>. Fifteen monolithic supported and two pellet supported nickel catalysts were prepared for use in kinetic studies and long term tests. H₂ adsorption uptakes were measured for 7 different catalyst samples. Surface areas of several 3 wt.% Ni/monolithic catalysts were found to be comparable to those for 3% Ni/Al₂O₃ pellets. CO adsorption measurements were carried out at 273 and 298 K on fresh and presulfided samples of 3% Ni/Al₂O₃. CO uptake increased by factors of 3-8 after exposure to H₂S. Electron micrographs of 13.5% Ni/SiO₂ were obtained for freshly reduced and sintered samples. The average metal crystallite diameter determined by this technique is in very good agreement with that estimated from H₂ chemisorption.

Task 2. Initial specific intrinsic activity tests were performed on a borohydride reduced Ni₂B/Al₂O₃, the results showing its methane production rate (mass basis) to be slightly higher and its turnover number somewhat lower than 14% Ni/Al₂O₃. Activity tests performed on two samples of a monolithic catalyst (whole monolith and monolith crushed to a powder) resulted in the same turnover number within experiment error. These latter results suggest that differences observed previously for monolithic and pellet catalysts in similar tests were due to differences in intrinsic activity -- not in flow or diffusional characteristics.

Task 3. Extensive kinetic experiments were conducted with two monolithic nickel catalysts over a range of temperatures (200-275 K) and pressure (8-75 atm). From the data activation energies and concentration dependencies were obtained. These kinetic parameters are generally in good agreement with values obtained at ambient pressure, although the reaction orders for CO and H₂ vary with pressure and temperature conditions.

Task 4. Long term experiments of H_2S poisoning during reaction were conducted on samples of 3% Ni/Al₂O₃. Activity versus time curves were fitted to a Levenspiel deactivation kinetics model with varying degrees of success. After partial <u>in situ</u> poisoning the kinetics for methanation were not significantly altered.

Task 5. The principal investigator provided technical assistance to DOE in connection with the Three-Mile Nuclear Reactor accident. He visited IGT, the Ventron Corporation and The University of Delaware and presented a paper at the Catalysis Gordon Conference. Our Laboratory received two requests from companies for samples of our catalysts to be tested in methanation processes. A paper on sulfur poisoning was accepted for publication.

7





III. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF TECHNICAL PROGRESS

Task 1: Catalyst Characterization

1. Catalyst Preparation

During the past quarter the following catalysts were prepared: Ni-M-182 to 192, Ni-NAL-M-100 to 103, Ni-A-123 and Ni-NAL-101. Nominal compositions are listed in Table 2. The catalysts numbered Ni-M-182 to 187 are 3% nickel and 10% alumina on Corning monolithic cordierite supports. The series Ni-M-188 to 191 are a similar preparation but with only 0.5% nickel. The use of the 0.5% nickel monolithic should allow testing at higher temperatures. The Ni-A-123 catalyst is 4.3% nickel on Kaiser alumina pellets. This catalyst was prepared to compare metal/volume ratios with monoliths in high pressure kinetic studies.

The Ni-NAL-M-100-103 and the Ni-NAL-101 catalysts were prepared for Battelle Northwest. The Ni-NAL-M-100-103 are cordierite monoliths with 20% alumina and approximately 30% nickel. The Ni-NAL-101 is 30% nickel on Conoco's Catapal extrudates. In the preparation of all four of these catalysts approximately one-half of the Ni was reacted with alumina by means of calcination at 600°C to form a Ni Al $_{2}0_{4}$ support, which was subsequently impregnated with the remaining nickel.

2. Hydrogen Chemisorption Measurements

Hydrogen chemisorption measurements were performed on several catalyst samples. Data for these samples is listed in Table 3. Three samples of Ni-A-120 (3% Ni) pellets, +60 mesh powder, and -60 mesh powder are shown. While the H₂ uptakes vary by as much as 20%, this difference is within the experimental accuracy of the chemisorption technique (+ 10%). H₂ uptake values for several 3% Ni/monolith catalyst used in Task 3 are significantly higher than values obtained for the pellets. In other words, it is possible to obtain equivalent or even higher metal dispersions using coated monoliths.

3. Carbon Monoxide Chemisorption Measurements

During the past quarter, investigation of the formation of nickel carbonyl during CO chemisorption continued with the first testing of presulfided catalysts.

The experiments are performed using a standard powder catalyst reactor cell developed earlier (3). The catalyst is loaded into this cell and reduced for two hours in flowing hydrogen at 723 K and subsequently evacuated to a pressure of 7×10^{-6} kPa. The catalyst is then titrated with carbon monoxide as described in earlier reports (3,5), except that after each isotherm, the titration gas is evacuated through a coil of pyrex glass immersed in liquid nitrogen. In this way any Ni(CO)₄ or COS formed is frozen out on the inside of the coil. After the completion of the evacuation procedures, the coil is warmed to about 323 K volatilizing the carbonyls. These gases are carried out by a stream of high purity helium first through a small section of glass tubing heated to 500 K and are then allowed to bubble through a solution of cadmium acetate. The amount of nickel carbonyl formed

Catalyst Composition Data

Catalyst	Nominal C (wt% nickel)	omposition (wt% alumina)	Support		
Ni-M-182 thru 187	3.0	10	Cordierite Monolith		
Ni-M-188 thru 191	0.5	10	Cordierite Monolith		
Ni-NAL-M-100 thru 103	30	20	Cordierite Monolith		
Ni-A-123	4.3	95+	Kaiser Alumina Pellets		
Ni-NAL-101	30	70	Catapal Extrudates		

H₂ Chemisorption Uptake Data

Cataly	vst	Nominal Composition (wt.% nickel)	^H 2 Uptake (µmoles/gram)
Pellets			
Ni-A-120		3	31.0 ^a
Powders			
Ni-A-120	-60 mesh	3	24.9
Ni-A-120	+60 mesh	3	29.0
Monoliths			
Ni-M-157		3	38.2
Ni-M-159		3	41.6
Ni-M-183		3	39.6
Ni-M-184		3	39.0

a previously reported.

-

.

is determined by the increase in weight of the glass tube or alternately by means of atomic absorption analysis. The solution of cadmium acetate is titrated with an amine as described in earlier sulfur work (5) to determine the amount of COS formed (6).

Table 4 lists the results of tests conducted for samples of a $3\% \text{ Ni/Al}_20_3$ during the last quarter in the chronological order in which the experiments were performed. The alphabetic suffix indicates test performed on a given catalyst sample. These runs were all performed using the new all glass apparatus described in an earlier report (7). The differences evident in the data collected from different catalyst samples are thought to represent small variations in catalyst properties from sample to sample. These differences may be attributed to such factors as reduction time, heating rate during reduction, the number of times the catalyst was passivated in air and other factors. Each of these factors was carefully watched to insure uniformity, but some small differences are inevitable due to equipment limitations and experimental error.

These results substantiate those of earlier reports, that $Ni(CO)_4$ is formed at 298 K on unpoisoned catalysts in agreement with earlier work by Milliams et al. (8).

A marked increase in CO uptake after poisoning is evident. Indeed, it is apparently a factor of 4 to 10 larger than the uptake of the same sample before poisoning. At the same time the hydrogen uptake for these same samples is seen to decrease upon poisoning. These results agree qualitatively with those obtained earlier with nickel and nickel bimetallic catalysts at 190 K (3). This behavior suggests several possibilities. Sulfur has been shown to act as a catalyst in the formation of $Ni(CO)_4$ (8). However, a decreased amount of material (presumably nickel or some nickel sulfide or carbide) was collected in the heated tube after CO adsorption on the presulfided sample. Presumably more not less nickel would be collected if the formation of $Ni(CO)_4$ were increased by sulfur. Nevertheless, the large values of CO/H (for irreversibly adsorbed CO) could only be explained by a migration of $Ni(CO)_4$ to the support where it decomposes to form strongly bound subcarbonyl nickel species. Another possibility is that the sulfur alters the chemisorptive properties of the CO, causing a greater uptake per surface site in accordance with previous IR studies (9,10). A third possibility is the formation of (CO) S species, although no COS was obtained via sulfur analysis. More testing will be needed to explain this phenomenon.

The data in Table 4 suggest that CO adsorption is very sensitive to pretreatment and adsorption temperature. For example, comparison between Runs 26G and 27G shows that a second CO adsorption measurement on the presulfided catalyst at the same temperature was lower than the first. Between runs, the sample was rereduced 1 hour, evacuated and titrated. The difference in the uptake is presumably a result of surface modifications during the first adsorption. Furthermore, on comparing samples 32H and 33H a much greater decrease is revealed when the second measurement is performed at 273 K. In the latter case, the intermediate treatment was nearly the same as for runs 26G and 27G with the difference being that after run 32H, the sample was.

Comparison	of Carbo	n Monoxide	Chemisor	ption	Measurements
versus	; Tempera	ture of Ad	sorption	(3% N	i/A1 ₂ 0 ₃)

Run #	Temp K	Absorbate <u>Gas</u>	Uptake	<u>C0/H</u>	Sulfur poisoned ^a	Ni from Ni(CO)4 Decomposition
22 G 23 G 24 G	300 299	H2 CO	50 172	1.7		yes
25 G 26 G 27 G	298 189 189 298	H2 C0 C0	26 601 500 49	11.8 ^b 9.8 ^b	yes yes yes	c d
20 H 29 H 30 H	299	ĊÔ	133	1.4		yes
31 H 32 H 33 H	298 298 273	H2 C0 C0	23 796 253	17.4 ^b 5.6 ^b	yes yes yes	d e

^a Sample poisoned at around 725 \pm 5 K in 10 ppm H₂S in H₂ until approximately 1/2 of the sites were covered. The flow rate of the 10 ppm H₂S mix-was 280-300 cm³/min.

- ^b The ratios are calculated on the basis of the H₂ uptake for the poisoned catalyst.
- ^C No Ni or sulfur data obtained.
- d Uncertain residue in heated tube--not readily apparent as to identity -- presently being analyzed.
- e This sample was passivated between runs due to a problem with the sample cell.

passivated and then rereduced 2 hours. The latter decrease in CO uptake may indicate that less $Ni(CO)_4$ is formed on the sulfided nickel at the lower temperature, again consistent with Milliams et al. (8).

Work in the next quarter in this area will further focus on the differences in chemisorption behavior between fresh and sulfided catalyst.

4. Electron Microscopy

Electron micrographs were taken of Ni-S-101 $(13.5\% \text{ Ni/SiO}_2)$ before and after sintering at 973 K for 54 h and of the silica support. Figure 2 shows a micrograph of fresh Ni-S-101, Figure 3 a micrograph of sintered Ni-S-101, and Figure 4 a micrograph of the silica support.

The Ni crystallites were easily identified and a crystallite size distribution was obtained for both the fresh and sintered samples. For each sample 1200-1300 particles were counted to determine the average crystallite size. Crystallite size distributions are listed in Table 5.

The particle size distribution of the fresh Ni-S-101 sample was quite narrow with an average crystallite diameter of 2.9 nm in very good agreement with estimates from H_2 adsorption. Upon sintering, the distribution became more random with two main peaks. The first occurred at the original particle size and a second at 5.1 to 6.0 nm. This result is reasonable, as the catalyst after sintering for this period of time was not completely deactivated for methanation (11). The average particle size increased by a factor of two, from 2.9 nm to 6.4 nm.

Particle size distributions were much more easily obtained with the Ni-silica samples than with the Ni-alumina samples because in the former case the Ni was much more easily differentiated from the support.

Task 2: Activity and Support Geometry Tests

An activity test of a supported, borohydride reduced Ni catalyst was performed during the past quarter. Data for the Ni boride/Al₂O₃ are compared with those for $14\% \text{ Ni}/\text{Al}_2O_3$ in Table 6. The results indicate that the nickel boride is more active on a mass basis but slightly less active on a turnover number basis. Methane yields are quite comparable. Further details concerning activity/selectivity and physical properties of metal boride catalysts will soon be available in an M.S. Thesis currently in preparation by Mr. Arthur Uken.

A Ni-impregnated alumina monolith (Ni-AM-203) was activity tested before and after powdering to determine if support geometry (i.e. mass and heat transfer effects) influenced rates and turnover numbers The conditions for the test were 140 kPa, 500 or 525 K, and GHSV = 50,000 h⁻¹ with a reactant gas containing 95% N₂, 4% H₂, and 1% CO. Data for these tests reported in Table 6 suggest that support







Figure 3. Electron Micrograph of Ni-S-101 (13.5% Ni/SiO₂) After Sintering in H₂ at 973 K for 54 h.



Figure 4. Electron Micrograph of Silica Support.

% Particles in each size range (n.m.)

Average Particle Size: 6.4 n.m.

Activity	Test	of Bo	rohydri	de R	educed	Nickel	Catalyst
and	Mond	olith	Before	and	After	Powderi	ng

Catalyst	^R CH4 (x10 ⁶) ^a	$^{\rm N}$ CH ₄ (x10 ³) ^b	<u>% CH₄ Yield^C</u>
Temperature 523 K			
Ni-B-A-105 ^d Ni-A-116 ^e	26.6 20.5	4.1 6.3	82 77
Temperature 500 K	-		
Ni-AM-203 (whole) Ni-AM-203	1.1	3.0	79
(Powdered) Temperature 525 K	0.91	2.5	72
Ni-AM-203 (whole) Ni-AM-203	2.7	7.4	89
(Powdered)	2.6	7.4	85

^aRate of methane production per gram catalyst per second.

 $^{\rm b}$ Methane turnover number based on $\rm H_2$ adsorption at 300 K in units of molecules $\rm CH_4$ per site per second.

^CFraction of converted CO which becomes methane.

^dBorohydride reduced; dried in flow H₂.

^ePreviously reported.

^fAfter testing the monolith whole it was crushed to a powder and tested again.

geometry does not influence rates and turnover numbers at low conversions, since at 500 and 525 K there is little difference in rates/g and turnover number for the monolith and its powder. Thus, the higher turnover numbers and rates observed previously (3) for monolith compared to pelleted catalyst are probably due to particle size effects, preparation techniques, or support-metal interactions.

Task 3: Kinetic Studies

It was also found that our mass flows are more pressure sensitive than previously thought. Calibrations of the flow meter were made for the three pressures mentioned above. Changes were also made in the plumbing to minimize the total volume of the system in order to reduce the time required to reach steady state at high pressures.

Kinetic tests were made on four 3% nickel.monolithic catalysts. The first two tests were made at 473 K and 3 pressures, 690, 3450, 6900 kPa on Ni-M-157 and Ni-M-158. At this low temperature, both catalysts deactivated. Further studies will attempt to discern the reason for this deactivation.

Ni-M-184 was studied extensively during this guarter. In fact over 100 hours of reactor experiments were performed at 70 different conditions of temperature, pressure and feed gas composition. Tables 7, 8, and 9 show some of the data and results of this test. Since reaction rates in a stirred tank reactor are dependent on product composition, product mole fractions are reported instead of feed composition. In these tests, space velocities were generally held constant while conversions were allowed to vary. At these high pressures and low temperatures. the expected increase in reaction rate with increased pressure does not appear. The effect of increasing pressure on the methanation reaction rates changes with temperature. At 498 K, the rate decreases; at 523 K no significant change occurs; and at 548 K, the rate increases. The methane yield also increases with increasing temperature and decreases with increasing pressure. Extrapolation of methane yield with pressure gives yields comparable with those measured at atmospheric pressure. At lower temperatures, higher pressures apparently favor wax or higher hydrocarbon formation. Some deactivation occurred during the test. This is attributed to a failure in the mole sieve trap allowing mole sieve dust into the reactor and on the catalyst. Wax or carbide formation may have also contributed to the deactivation.

A fourth test was made on Ni-M-183. Nitrogen, for economical reasons, replaced argon as the diluent. Kinetics were measured at 498 and 523 K. Since reaction rates in a stirred tank reactor are dependent on product composition, efforts were made in this test to control product compositions by varying the space velocity. This enabled us to hold one of reactant's concentration constant while varying the other reactant. The results for this test are reported in Tables 10 and 11. While the data in this test seem more consistent, the measured reaction rates are comparable to those measured for Ni-M-184. The effects of temperature and pressures on the reaction rates

Pressure	Prod Mole F x 1 <u>CO</u>	uct raction 00 <u>H</u> 2	Space Velocity x 10 ⁻³	× _{C0} _%	R a CO µmole g-se	es/	Yield CH ₄ C %
690	1.275	5.70	15	15.0	0.62	0.27	43.7
	0.829	3.75	15	17.1	0.47	0.23	49.5
	0.878	1.86	15	12.2	0.34	0.13	37.9
	2.622	5.81	15	6.3	0.52	0.17	33.0
	1.831	5.77	15	8.5	0.46	0.21	44.3
	0.870	2.82	15	13.0	0.36	0.17	47.2
3450	0.528	2.73	15	29.7	0.61	0.25	40.2
	0.771	3.67	15	22.9	0.63	0.28	47.3
	0.807	2.78	15	19.3	0.53	0.10	38.3
	0.840	1.85	15	16.0	0.44	0.14	30.6
	1.330	2.24	15	11.3	0.47	0.15	31.1
6900	0.559	2.75	15	25.4	0.56	0.23	43.1
	0.810	3.75	15	19.0	0.52	0.23	43.4
	0.841	2.82	15	15.9	0.44	0.16	36.8
	0.883	1.85	15	11.7	0.32	0.13	40.6
	1.306	2.82	15	12.9	0.53	0.16	30.5

Kinetic Data for Ni-M-184 at 498 K

^a Rate of CO conversion per gram catalyst per second. c Rate of CH₄ production per gram catalyst per second. Percent of converted CO appearing as CH_4 .

Kinetic Data for Ni-M-184 at 523 K

Proceuro	Prod Mole Fi x 10	duct raction DO	Space Velocity	× ço	R a CO µmo	RCH4	Yield CH ₄ C
Flessure	<u></u>	<u>2</u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	ec	~ <u>~</u>
690	0.572	2.64	30	23.8	0.98	0.66	66.9
	0.803	3.62	30	19.7	1.09	0.70	64.5
	0.834	2.68	30	16.6	0.91	0.59	64.7
	0.870	1.76	30	13.0	0.72	0.45	62.3
	1.338	2.74	30	10.8	0.89	0.48	53.6
3450	0.483	2.58	30	35.6	1.47	0.78	53.0
	0.767	3.52	30	29.6	1.63	0.87	53.6
	0.730	2.61	30	27.1	1.49	0.72	48.5
	0.777	1.73	30	22.3	1.23	0.49	39.9
	1.237	2.69	30	17.5	1.45	0.56	38.9
6900	0.466	2.56	30	37.9	1.57	0.81	52.0
	0.695	3.51	30	30.5	1.68	0.89	53.3
	0.742	2.64	30	25.9	1.43	0.67	46.8
	0.787	1.75	30	21.3	1.18	0.46	38.8
	1.251	2.74	30	16.6	1.37	0.48	34.8

^aRate of CO conversion per gram catalyst per second. ^bRate of CH₄ production per gram catalyst per second. ^cPercent of converted CO appearing as CH₄.

Pressure	Pro Mole Fi x 2 <u>CO</u>	duct raction 100 <u>H</u> 2	Space Velocity _x 10 ⁻³	X CO %	a CO μmol g_s	R b CH ₄ es/ ec	Yield CH ₄ C
690	0.593	2.71	100	20.9	2.88	1.77	61.5
	0.868	3.70	100	13.2	2.42	1.82	75.3
	0.876	2.73	100	12.4	2.28	1.65	72.5
	0.897	1.80	100	10.3	1.89	1.19	63.0
	1.354	2.69	75	9.71	2.00	1.41	70.3
3450	0.577	2.67	100	22.9	3.15	2.01	63.8
	0.808	3.63	100	19.2	3.53	2.26	63.9
	0.835	2.66	100	16.5	3.03	1.92	63.4
	0.873	1.78	100	12.8	2.34	1.34	57.3
	1.276	2.64	75	14.9	3.09	1.65	53.5
6900	0.427	2.35	75	43.1	4.44	2.99	67.3
	0.601	3.21	75	39.9	5.50	3.67	66.0
	0.686	2.43	75	31.4	4.33	2.60	52.6
	0.693	1.52	60	30.7	3.38	1.78	52.6
	1.125	2.47	60	25.0	4.13	1.96	47.4

Kinetic Tests for Ni-M-184 at 548 K

 $^{\rm a}_{\rm b}{\rm Rate}$ of CO conversion per gram catalyst per second. cRate of CH_4 production per gram catalyst per second. Percent of converted CO appearing as CH_4.

Pressure	Pr Mole x <u>CO</u>	oduct Fraction 100 <u>H</u> 2	Space Velocity <u>x 10⁻³</u>	Х СО <u>ж</u>	R a CO µmc g-	RCH4 Dles/	Yield CH ₄ C
690	0.641	2.73	16	17.9	0.40	0.26	65.2
	0.855	3.67	18	13.5	0.45	0.24	58.7
	0.894	2.64	15	12.6	0.35	0.18	51.4
	0.878	1.82	12	9.8	0.22	0.12	53.5
	1.364	2.74	13	8.4	0.30	0.12	38.0
3450	0.618	2.73	22	14.9	0.45	0.21	47.0
	0.868	3.76	26	14.7	0.71	0.23	32.7
	0.877	2.71	22	11.8	0.29	0.17	37.7
	0.904	1.80	18	12.4	0.41	0.11	26.9
	1.378	2.74	19	9.4	0.50	0.14	28.0
6900	0.644	2.78	19	14.4	0.38	0.20	53.0
	0.877	3.72	21	12.6	0.49	0.24	49.0
	0.895	2.79	18	10.8	0.36	0.14	39.9
	0.915	1.88	15	8.7	0.24	0.08	31.6
	1.383	2.67	15	8.2	0.34	0.10	28.1

Kinetic Data for Ni-M-183 at 498 K

 $^{\rm a}_{\rm b} {\rm Rate}$ of CO conversion per gram catalyst per second. $^{\rm b}_{\rm b} {\rm Rate}$ of CH_4 production per gram catalyst per second. $^{\rm c}_{\rm Percent}$ of converted CO appearing as CH_4.

Pressure	Pro Mole F X <u>CO</u>	duct raction 100 <u>H</u> 2	Space Velocity _x_10 ⁻³	Х _{СО} %	R _{CO} µmo g-s	R _{CH4} les/	Yield CH ₄ C
690	0.629	2.66	53	16.4	1.21	0.97	80.2
	0.888	3.70	68	11.5	1.45	1.03	71.0
	0.879	2.65	50	12.4	1.15	0.85	74.1
	0.884	1.73	40	11.9	0.88	0.65	73.7
	1.356	2.68	40	8.97	1.00	0.66	66.3
3450	0.621	2.61	75	17.4	1.82	1.21	66.3
	0.875	3.66	92	12.9	2.19	1.36	62.2
	0.864	2.55	70	14.1	1.83	1.02	56.1
	0.873	1.69	57	13.0	1.38	0.70	51.0
	1.351	2.69	57	10.3	1.63	0.74	45.7
6900	0.642	2.73	90	14.7	1.83	1.06	57.8
	0.893	3.86	105	10.9	2.12	1.16	54.6
	0.893	2.70	85	11.0	1.74	0.88	50.9
	0.885	1.62	68	11.9	1.50	0.68	45.4
	1.372	2.62	71	8.94	1.86	0.76	43.5

Kinetic Data for Ni-M-183 at 523 K

 $_{\rm b}^{\rm a}{\rm Rate}$ of CO conversion per gram catalyst per second. $_{\rm c}^{\rm Rate}$ of CH_4 production per gram catalyst per second. Percent of converted CO appearing as CH_4.

۰,

found in the testing of Ni-M-184 are confirmed. A power law rate equation of the form $r = (A) \exp[-E/R] (CO)^{X} (H_{2})^{Y}$ was used to fit the data. The activation energy is approximately 114 kJ while the values for X and Y vary from -1 to -0.4 and 1 to 0.6 respectively, the results of the rate equation calculation are compiled in Table 12.

Tests in the next quarter will include a high pressure, low temperature deactivation test, kinetic studies at higher temperatures and lower metal loadings, and a comparison of pellets with monoliths.

During the past quarter several kinetic experiments were performed in the Quartz mixed flow reactor. The conditions for the low pressure kinetics were 103 kPa, 550 K and GHSV = 40,000 h⁻¹. Concentration of CO was varied from 1 to 3 mole % and concentration of H₂ was varied from 2 to 20 mole %. The balance of the reactant gas was argon. As pointed out by Berty (12) the impeller in the quartz reactor designed by Katzer and Fitzharris (4) produces very little pressure head. Thus, only a thin layer of catalyst can be studied without non-idealities arising. For the kinetics tests and subsequent H₂S poisoning tests 0.1 g of catalyst sample was spread in a thin layer in the catalyst basket. The catalyst sample was ground and sieved to -60 + 120 mesh.

In a mixed flow reactor the reaction rate is measured at the outlet concentration. Thus, inlet H_2/CO ratios and concentrations may vary if conversions are high. Table 13 shows the orders of reaction obtained for CO and H_2 . For these kinetic tests efforts were made to keep conversions low (below 10%). For the tests measuring the exponent of P_{CO} this was possible. However, for the tests measuring the exponent of P_{H_2} , carbon monoxide conversions as high as 30% were obtained. So, there is less confidence in the value of Y. However, our data compare well with those of Vannice for Ni on Al₂O₃ (13).

After partial in situ poisoning with H_2S , kinetics for methanation were remeasured for a Ni-A-120 sample. While X and Y are slightly lower than in the unpoisoned case, there appears to be no significant change in the orders of reaction. This supports the idea that sulfur poisoning is mainly a blockage or covering of the active nickel surface.

Task 4: Degradation Studies

Long term H_2S poisoning tests were performed on Ni-A-120 (3% Ni) samples in the Quartz CFSTR described previously (4,6). For all of these tests the conditions were 103 kPa, 550 or 575 K, GHSV = 40,000 h⁻¹ and reactant gas mixture containing 79% Ar, 20% H₂ and 1% CO with 1 ppm H₂S. The H₂/CO ratio was 20/1 and P_{H 2}S/P_{H2} ratio was 5x10⁻⁶. Thus, the partial pressure of H₂S in these experiments should be well below the bulk sulfide forming region (14). Activity versus time curves are shown in Figure 5 for two samples. The first one run is the -60 mesh powder. The activity dropped off rapidly and somewhat erratically within the first five hours. This rapid decrease may be due to switching the reactant gas tanks at time zero. This procedure may have allowed air to contact the sample if the regulator and line were not sufficiently purged. This problem was eliminated

Orders of Methanation^a for Ni-M-183

Pressure	<u>X</u>	<u>Y</u>
T = 498 K		
690 3450 6900	-1.07 -1.03 -1.02	1.11 1.13 0.97
T = 523 K		
690 3450 6900	-0.44 -0.57 -0.67	0.60 0.86 0.77

Activation Energies

Pressure	E (kJ/mole)
690	-114
3450	-110
6900	-118

$$r = A e^{-E/RT} P_{CO}^{X} P_{H_2}^{Y}$$

Methanation Kinetics at Low Pressure

Catalyst	<u>X</u> a	<u>Y</u> a
Ni-A-120 Before Poisoning	- 0.28	0.91
Ni-A-120 After Poisoning	-0.38	0.79
N1/A1203b	-0.3	0.8

^aAccording to the rate expression $R_{CH_4} = k P_{CO} P_{H_2}^{X}$ ^bReported by Vannice (13).



Figure 5: Activity versus Time plot of H₂S Poisoning <u>In situ</u> of Ni-A-120 Powders in a Quartz CFSTR at 550 K, 103 kPa, and reactant gas containing 79% Ar, 20% H₂, ½ CO and 1 ppm H₂S.

in subsequent tests. Instead, 79% Ar, 10% H₂ and 1% CO gas tank mixture was added with a pure H₂ stream to make a 20/1 H₂/CO reactant mixture. At time zero the pure H₂ stream was replaced with H₂S in H₂ to make a 20/1 H₂/CO reactant mixture with 1 ppm H₂S. For the second set of data shown in Figure 5, the latter procedure was used and the initial deactivation was more gradual. The deactivation is almost straight line even though this sample is a coarse powder (+60 mesh). There is some tailing off near the end of the run.

In Appendix A we derive a kinetic model for linearizing H_2S deactivation data to find k_d , the deactivation rate constant. A plot of ln (P - P / P $^{-03}$) versus time should yield a straight line. In Figure 6 the data for the +60 mesh and the -60 + 120 mesh powdered catalysts are shown. Both powders are nickel supported on a high surface area alumina. The finer powder gave a good fit to a straight line. However, for the coarser powder the fit was not as good. This may be because sulfur poisoning is pore diffusion limited and gives different rates for different catalyst powder sizes. Also, during the test with the +60 mesh powder carbon deposit on the inlet tube to the reactor appeared. Since the tube is made of quartz, the carbon deposit was most likely due to decomposition of Fe(CO)₅. This may also have caused the more rapid deactivation of this catalyst. The mole sieve filter was baked out after this run to remove impurities. For the subsequent tests no carbon buildup was found on the inlet tube.

Further H_2S long term poisoning runs were performed on Ni-A-120, -60 + 120 mesh powder. A graph of ln (P_{C0} - P_{C0} /P_{C0} ^{O3}) versus time is shown in Figure 7. These tests were performed at 550 and 575 K. In this case the data do not fit a straight line very well, suggesting that the linearization derived in Appendix A is not the proper model for H_2S poisoning. In Figure 8, plots of activity versus time are shown. These plots give a better fit to a straight line. This may be explained if H_2S poisoning is essentially zero order in activity and H_2S merely blocks nickel surface sites.

At 1 ppm H_2S it would take about 13 to 16 hours for H_2S to completely cover the nickel surface assuming a S/H adsorption ratio of 0.8 (7) and all the sulfur adsorbs. Both tests were terminated before 16 hours. However, in each case the catalysts retained some of their original activity, suggesting that all the H_2S was not adsorbed on the catalyst. Because the teflon sampling valve for H_2S detection by FPD was not working, no data on the outlet concentration of H_2S is shown. The valve will be fixed so that outlet H_2S data can be correlated with activity data in future runs.

Deactivation rate constants for the H_2S poisoning runs were calculated using both the linearizing formula from Appendix A and the activity versus time plots. These are shown in Table 14. Data from runs A and B are suspect because of problems with reactant gas flow and/or carbon deposition. However, the data in Runs C and D are believed to be representative and hence apparent activation energies were calculated for Runs C and D. Further work will be done during the next quarter to try to determine a more general, quantitative



Figure 6: Linearized Plot of H_2S Poisoning In situ of Ni-A-120 Powders in a Quartz CFSTR at 550 K, 103 kPa, and reactant gas containing 79% Ar, 20% H₂, 1% GO and 1 ppm H_2S .

 $\underline{\omega}$



Figure 7: Linearized Plot of H₂S poisoning <u>In situ</u> of Ni-A-120 -60+120 mesh Powder at 550 and 575 K, 103 kPa, and reactant gas containing 79% Ar, 20% H₂, 1% CO and 1 ppm H₂S.

32



.

Figure 8: Activity versus Time Plot of H₂S Poisoning <u>In</u> <u>situ</u> of Ni-A-120 -60+120 mesh powder at 550 and 575K, 103 kPa, and reactant gas containing 79% Ar, 20% H₂, 1% CO and 1 ppm H₂S.

ယ္ထ

H₂S Deactivation Rate Constants for Ni-A-120 Powder

Catalyst	Run	Temperature of deactivation	<u>k</u> d	<u>k</u> d b
-60 + 120 mesh	А	550 K	0.016 ^a	0.018 ^C
+60 mesh	В	550 K	0.069 ^d	0.025 ^d
-60 + 120 mesh	С	550 K	0.072	0.040
-60 + 120 mesh	D	575 K	0.15	0.055

Apparent Activation Energies from runs C and D

16,100 cal/gmole

8,300 cal/gmole

^a Deactivation rate constant calculated from formula in Appendix A.

^bDeactivation rate constant assuming zero order deactivation.

^C These data are suspect because of problems with the reactant gas flow.

^eThese data are suspect since carbon deposits appeared on the inlet tube.

model for H_2S poisoning and the effect of H_2S concentration on deactivation.

Task 5: Technical Interaction and Technology Transfer

This quarter included numerous technical communications by phone, mail, and visits with other workers in catalysis.

During the first week in April, the principal investigator was asked by Mr. Roger Billings of Billings Energy Corp. to join with a group of local engineers and scientists in a round-the-clock effort to provide assistance to DOE in removing the H_2 bubble from the Three-Mile Nuclear Reactor. Dr. Bartholomew was assigned to find colloidal catalysts which would catalyze the reaction of H_2 with O_2 or other oxidizing agents in aqueous solution. It was determined that borohydride reduced Pt worked whereas Ni didn't.

During the quarter our laboratory received requests from Conoco and Northwest Battelle to supply methanation catalysts for long term testing. Catalysts were sent to Battelle to be tested in their process which converts cellulose to methane. We are making arrangements to supply Conoco with the appropriate catalysts.

Dr. Bartholomew recently interacted closely with other workers at SRI International, IGT and the University of Delaware in regard to sulfur poisoning of methanation catalysts. During a visit to IGT on June 13th, he discussed industrial requirements for sulfur tolerant catalysts with Mr. Tony Lee.

On June 22, the PI visited the Chemicals Division of Ventron in Beverly, Mass. where he presented a seminar on "Borohydride Reduced Catalysts in Hydrogenation of CO."

The following week (June 24-28) he attended the Gordon Conference on Catalysis in New London, New Hampshire where he presented a similar talk on Metal Boride Methanation Catalysts. Since, the conference emphasized Fischer-Tropsch and Methanation studies, it was quite pertinent to the work in our laboratory. A number of speakers presented evidence for an active carbon intermediate in methanation and Fischer-Tropsch synthesis, in accord with some of our own studies supported by NSF.

On June 29-30, Dr. Bartholomew visited Professor James Katzer at the University of Delaware where he toured facilities in the new Center for Catalytic Science and Technology and discussed methanation and sulfur poisoning work.

During the quarter a publication dealing with sulfur poisoning of nickel based on work supported by this contract was accepted for publication by the Journal of Catalysis. Several other publications including three directly related to this contract are in various stages of preparation. Mr. Paul Moote joined our research group in June and is assisting Monsieurs Erekson and Sughrue in their kinetic and poisoning studies.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

- Monolithic catalysts can be prepared with metal dispersions equivalent to those obtained with pellets in the low metal loading regime (0.5-5 wt. metal).
- 2. CO adsorption on Ni/Al₂O₃ at 273 and 298 K increases by 300-800% after partial presulfiding with 10 ppm H_2S just as it did for CO adsorption on Ni/Al₂O₃ at 190 K.
- 3. Very good agreement is observed for the metal crystallite diameters in a 13.5% Ni/SiO₂ measured by Electron Microscopy and H₂ adsorption (assuming H/Ni_s = 1).
- 4. A borohydride reduced Ni_2B/AI_2O_3 appears to have approximately the same methanation activity as Ni/AI_2O_3 of equivalent loading and surface area.
- 5. A crushed and uncrushed sample of the same monolithic nickel catalyst show the same intrinsic specific activity within experimental error. Hence the geometry of the catalyst doesn't appear to influence our determination of intrinsic catalytic activity.
- 6. Kinetic measurements over a range of temperatures (200-275 K) and pressure (8-75 atm) result in kinetic parameters very similar to those determined at 1 atm and 200-250 K. However, reaction orders of H_2 and CO vary significantly with temperature and pressure.
- 7. The kinetics of deactivation of nickel catalysts by H_2S during methanation reaction can be modelled fairly well by a Lévenspiel type deactivation model.

V. REFERENCES

- 1. M. Greyson, "Methanation" in "Catalysts" Vol. IV., ed. P.H. Emmett, Rheinhold Pub. Corp., New York (1956).
- G.A. Mills and F.W. Steffgen, "Catalytic Methanation," Catalysis Reviews <u>8</u>, 159 (1973).
- 3. C.H. Bartholomew, "Alloy Catalysts with Monolith Supports for Methanation of Coal-Derived Gases," Final Technical Progress Report FE-1790-9 (DOE), (Sept. 6, 1977).
- W.D. Fitzharris and J.R. Katzer, Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. <u>17</u>, 130 (1978).
- 5. J.L. Oliphant, R.W. Fowler, R.B. Pannell and C.H. Bartholomew, "Chemisorption of Hydrogen Sulfide on Nickel and Ruthenium Catalysts, I. Desorption Isotherms," J. Catal. 51, 229 (1978).
- C.H. Bartholomew, "Alloy Catalysts with Monolith Supports for Methanation of Coal-Derived Gases," Annual Technical Progress Report FE-2729-4, (DOE), (October 5, 1978).
- C.H. Bartholomew, "Alloy Catalysts with Monolith Supports for Methanation of Coal-Derived Gases," Quarterly Technical Progress Report FE-2729-5 (DOE), (January 5, 1979).
- 8. D.E. Milliams, J. Pritchard, and K.W. Sykes, Proceedings of the 6th International Congress on Catalysis, ed. G.C. Bond, P.B. Wells, and F.C. Tompkins, Vol. 1, p. 417 (1977).
- 9. C.H. Rochester and R.J. Terrell, JCS Faraday I, 73, 609 (1977).
- 10. R.T. Rewick and H. Wise, J. Phys. Chem. 82, 752 (1978).
- C.H. Bartholomew, "Kinetic, Adsorption, and Poisoning Studies of Alloy Methanation Catalysts," Annual Report ENG 76-81869 (NSF), (August 15, 1978).
- 12. J.M. Berty, Ind. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. 18, 193 (1979).
- 13. M.A. Vannice, J. Catal. 37, 449 (1975).
- 14. T. Rosenquist, J. of Iron and Steel Inst. 176, 37 (1954).

Model for finding k_d , the deactivation rate constant: Stirred tank performance equation:

1)
$$W/F_0 = X_{C0}/(-r_{C0})a$$

First order deactivation:

2) $-da/dt = k_d^a$ (Assume P_{H_2S} is constant and included in k_d .) Integrating 2.

3)
$$a = a_0 e^{-k} d^t$$
 (let $a_0 = 1.0$)

The kinetic expression for methanation from Table 13. 4) $-r_{C0} = kP_{C0}^{-.28} P_{H_2}^{-.91}$ $P_{H_2} = 20 P_{C0}^{-.76}$. This expression holds for small conversions in a stirred tank reactor. Substituting:

5)
$$-r_{CO} = k \ 20^{.91} P_{CO}^{.63}$$

Conversion equation 6) $X_{CO} = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} P_{CO}^{0} - P_{CO} \end{pmatrix}}{P_{CO}^{0}}$ (Assume conversions are small. Therefore, the expansion factor is negligible.)

Substituting 3,5 and 6 into 1.

7) W/F₀ =
$$\frac{(P_{C0}^{o} - P_{C0})/P_{C0}^{o}}{k \ 20^{.91}P_{C0}^{.63} e^{-k_{d}t}}$$

Rearranging

8)
$$e^{-k_{d}t} = \left(\frac{F_{0}}{WP_{C0}^{0} k 20.91}\right) \left(\frac{P_{C0}^{-P}C0}{P_{C0}^{-63}}\right)$$

Taking the ln of both sides

9)
$$\ln \left(\frac{P_{CO}^{0} - P_{CO}}{P_{CO}}\right) = -\ln \left(\frac{F_{O}}{W P_{CO}^{0} 20^{.9}}\right)^{-k} d^{t}$$

Thus, plotting $\ln \left[\frac{P_{CO}^{0} - P_{CO}}{P_{CO}^{0} - P_{CO}^{0}}\right]$ versus time should give a straight line. The slope will be $-k_{d}$.

Nomenclature for Appendix A

a	activity (rate at any time/rate at initial time)
a 	activity at initial time, equals 1.0
Fo	molar feed rate of CO
ĸ	methanation rate constant
kd	deactivation rate constant
Pco	partial pressure of CO
PH2	partial pressure of H ₂
PH2S	partial pressure of H ₂ S
-rco	rate of disappearance of CO
t	time
W	weight of catalyst

.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. Report No. FE-2729-7	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.		
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date		
ALLOY CATALYSTS COAL-DERIVED GAS	WITH MONOLITH SUPPORTS SES	FOR METHANATION OF	July 5, 1979 6.		
7. Author(s) Calvin H. Bartho	olomew		8. Performing Organization Rept. No.		
9. Performing Organization I Catalysis Labora	Name and Address Itory		10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.		
Chemical Enginee Brigham Young Ur	ring Department		11. Contract/Grant No.		
Provo, Utah 846	502	<u></u>	EF-77-S-01-2729		
12. Sponsoring Organization	Name and Address	Du Davi Seath	Covered		
Eossil Energy	iergy Alin:	Office of Universit	v 3/21/79 to 6/20/79		
20 Mass. Avenue Washington, D.C.	N.W. 20545	Activities	14.		
15. Supplementary Notes	-				
16. Abstracts					
During the but especially i sulfur poisoning long term tests. and transmission reduced catalyst Extensive kineti a range of tempe sion were obtain conducted and th principal invest Catalysis Gordon 17. Key Words and Documen Coal gasification Catalysts Sulfur poisoning	seventh quarter signifi in the investigations of J. Seventeen new cataly A number of catalysts i electron microscopy. t and samples of crushed ic experiments were perf eratures and pressures f ned. Long term experime ie data were fitted to a tigator visited three of Conference.	cant progress was ma high pressure kinet ysts were prepared for were characterized Activity tests were and uncrushed monol formed on two monolit from which kinetic pa ents of H ₂ S poisoning Levenspiel deactive ther laboratories and	de in several task areas, ics and deactivation by r use in kinetic studies and using H ₂ and CO adsorption conducted for a borohydride ithic nickel catalyst. hic nickel catalysts over rameters and a rate expres- during reaction were also tion kinetics model. The presented a paper at the		
175. Identifiers/Open-Eaded	! Terms				
17c. COSATI Field 'Group					
18. Availability Statement		19. Securi Report	ty Class (This 21. No. of Pages		
		20. Securi	CLASSIFIED ty Class (This 22 Price		
		Page	ICLASSIFIED		
FORM NT(5-35 (REV. 10-73)	ENDORSED BY ANSLAND UNESCO	THIS FORM MAY BE	REPRODUCED USCOMM-DC 5265-74		

Page Intentionally Left Blank

SATISFACTION GUARANTEED

NTIS strives to provide quality products, reliable service, and fast delivery. Please contact us for a replacement within 30 days if the item you receive if we have made an error in filling your order. s defective or

E-mail: info@ntis.gov
Phone: 1-888-584-8332 or (703)605-6050

Reproduced by NT2S

National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161

This report was printed specifically for your order from nearly 3 million titles available in our collection.

For economy and efficiency, NTIS does not maintain stock of its vast collection of technical reports. Rather, most documents are custom reproduced for each order. Documents that are not in electronic format are reproduced from master archival copies and are the best possible reproductions available.

Occasionally, older master materials may reproduce portions of documents that are not fully legible. If you have questions concerning this document or any order you have placed with NTIS, please call our Customer Service Department at (703) 605-6050.

About NTIS

NTIS collects scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information – then organizes, maintains, and disseminates that information in a variety of formats – including electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.

The NTIS collection of nearly 3 million titles includes reports describing research conducted or sponsored by federal agencies and their contractors; statistical and business information; U.S. military publications; multimedia training products; computer software and electronic databases developed by federal agencies; and technical reports prepared by research organizations worldwide.

For more information about NTIS, visit our Web site at <u>http://www.ntis.gov</u>.



Ensuring Permanent, Easy Access to U.S. Government Information Assets



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Technology Administration National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 605-6000