

FE17906



ALLOY CATALYSTS WITH MONOLITH SUPPORTS FOR METHANATION OF COAL-DERIVED GASES. PHASE 2. QUARTERLY TECHNICAL PROGRESS REPORT, JULY 23, 1976--OCTOBER 22, 1976

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIV., PROVO, UTAH

06 NOV 1976



National Technical Information Service

One Source. One Search. One Solution.



Providing Permanent, Easy Access to U.S. Government Information

National Technical Information Service is the nation's largest repository and disseminator of governmentinitiated scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information. The NTIS collection includes almost 3,000,000 information products in a variety of formats: electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.





Search the NTIS Database from 1990 forward

NTIS has upgraded its bibliographic database system and has made all entries since 1990 searchable on **www.ntis.gov.** You now have access to information on more than 600,000 government research information products from this web site.

Link to Full Text Documents at Government Web Sites

Because many Government agencies have their most recent reports available on their own web site, we have added links directly to these reports. When available, you will see a link on the right side of the bibliographic screen.

Download Publications (1997 - Present)

NTIS can now provides the full text of reports as downloadable PDF files. This means that when an agency stops maintaining a report on the web, NTIS will offer a downloadable version. There is a nominal fee for each download for most publications.

For more information visit our website:

www.ntis.gov



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Technology Administration National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161



FE17906

ALLOY CATALYSTS WITH MONOLITH SUPPORTS FOR METHANATION OF COAL-DERIVED GASES

Phase 2

Quarterly Technical Progress Report For Period July 23, 1976 to Oct. 22, 1976

Calvin H. Bartholomew

Brigham Young University Provo, Utah 84602

Date Published--November 6, 1976

PREPARED FOR ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

Under Contract No. E(49-18)-1790

BIELIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. Report No.	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.
4. Title and Subtitle	<u> </u>		5. Report Date
ALLOY CATALYSTS W DERIVED GASES	ITH MONOLITH SUPPORTS	FOR METHANATION OF COA	AL- November 1976 6.
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organization Rept. No.
5. Performing Organization	Offiew Name and Address	<u> </u>	10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
Catalysis Laborat	ory ing Science		11. Contract/Grant No.
Brigham Young Uni Provo, Utah 8460	versity		E(49-18)-1790
12. Sponsoring Organization	Name and Address		13. Type of Report & Period
Energy Research a	nd Development Adminis	tration Dr. Baul Scott	23 July 1976-22 Oct. 1976
Fossil Energy	N W.	Assistant Director	14.
Washington, D.C.	20545	University Programs	
15. Supplementary Notes	<u> </u>		
14 Abounder			
This report pellet- and monol Alumina-supported samples (10 and 20 supported Co, Ni and for 2 fresh m conducted for pel three monolithic 30,000 hr-1. Con and cobalt cataly at 2 different sp ducted for 3% Ni/ <u>San Francisco and</u> 17. Key Words and Decume Coal Gasificatio Methanation Catalysts(s)	details accomplisments ithic-supported alloy Co (20 wt.% metal loa D%) were prepared. Hy -MoO ₃ , Ni-Rh, Ni-Pt, a onolithic-supported ni let-supported Co, Ni-M nickel catalysts at 22 version vs. temperatur sts in the absence and ace velocities. A tes Al ₂ O ₃ . The Principal <u>the ERDA Contractors</u> nt Analysis. 17c. Descriptors n	during the sixth quan catalysts for methanat ding), Ni-MoO ₃ (20%), drogen adsorption data nd Ni-Co before and a ckel catalysts. Diffe 10O ₃ , and Ni-Rh (before 5 and 250°C, 20.5 psid e studies were conduct presence of water an it to measure effects Investigator attended <u>Conference in Golden</u> , vited papers and a s tories, received fo publications and pro	rter of investigation of new tion of coal synthesis gas. and several Ni/Al ₂ O ₂ /monolith a were obtained for alumina- fter exposure to 10 ppm H ₂ S erential activity tests were e and after poisoning) and for a and a space velocity of ted on pellet-supported nickel d on monolithic nickel catalyst of carbon deposition was con- the Centennial ACS Meeting in <u>Colorado, presented two in-</u> eminar, visited three labora- ur vistors, and prepared sever posals.
17b. Identifiers/Open-Ende	d Terms		
17c. COSATI Field 'Group			
18. Availability Statement		19. Secu Rep	arity Class (This 21. No. of Pages ort) 12
		20. Sect	INCLASSIFIED 72 urity Class (This 22. Price
		Pag	INCLASSIFIED
FORM NTIS-35 IREV. 10-731	ENDORSED BY ANSI AND UNES	CO. THIS FORM MAY	BE REPRODUCED USCOMM-DC \$205-P74

Professor Kamil Klier Dept. of Chemistry and CSCR Lehigh University Bethlehem, Pennsylvania

Dr. Charles L. Kibby Pittsburgh Energy Research Center Energy Research & Develop. Admin. 4800 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Professor Sol W. Weller Chemical Engineering Department SUNY - Buffalo 3435 Main Street Buffalo, New York 14214

Dr. Michael Baird Pittsburgh Energy Research Center Energy Research and Dev. Admin. 4800 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Dr. Thomas Russell U. of Eastern New Mexico Portales, New Mexico

Dr. John N. Dew, Director Fuels Technology Development Research and Engineering Continental Oil Company P.O. Box 1267 Ponca City, Oklahoma 74601 Professor Alexis T. Bell Department of Chemical Engineering University of California-Berkeley Berkeley, California 94720

Professor Anthony L. Dent Department of Chemical Engineering Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Mr. A.L. Lee Institute of Gas Technology IIT Center Chicago, Illinois 60616

Dr. Larry G. Christener United Technologies Bldg. 140 P.O. Box 611 Middletown, CT 06457

Mr. Robert C. Wade Ventron Corporation Chemicals Division Beverly, Massachusetts 01915

Dr. Ruth Haines National Bureau of Standards Bldg. 223, Room A311 Washington, D.C. 20234

Mr. Blaine Barton 101 W. 31st Avenue Kennewick, Washington 99336

Technical Project Officer (Initial draft - 5 copies of Final Report):

Dr. Paul Scott Energy Research and Development Adminstration Fossil Energy, University Programs 20th Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20545

Patent Group (Initial draft):

Nevada Patent Group Nevada Operations Office ERDA P.C. Box 14100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

<u>Technical Information Center</u> (1 final reproducible copy):

U.S. ERDA - Technical Information Center P.C. Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37380

FOREWORD

This report summarizes technical progress during the sixth quarter period (July 23, 1976 to October 22, 1976) of a two-year study conducted for the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) under Contract No. E(49-18)-1790. The principal investigator for this work is Dr. Calvin H. Bartholomew; Dr. Paul Scott is the technical representative for ERDA.

The following students contributed to the technical accomplishments and to this report: Graduates - Richard Turner, George Jarvi and Gordon Weatherbee and Undergraduates - Kevin Mayo, Kenneth Atwood, and Glen Witt. Elaine Alger and Scott Folster provided typing and drafting services.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																														Page
DISCI	LAIN	IER	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	ii
FORE	WORD).	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
LIST	0F	TA	BLE	s.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	v
LIST	0F	FI	GUR	ES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vi
ABST	RACT	Γ.	٠		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
	I	•	OBJ	ECT	IVE	S	AN	D	SC	:0F	ΡĒ	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
			A. B. RB	Ba Ob Ne	ickg jed chr	gro cti nic	oun ve al	d s A		oro	Dad	• •	•	•	•	•	• •		• • •	• •	•	• • •	• •	•	• •	•			•	2 0 3
	II	•	SUM	IMAR	Y ()F	PR	00	GRE	ESS	S	•		•			•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
	III	•	DET	AIL	.ED	DE	SC	RI	[P]	TI(лс	01	- 1	PR	DGF	RE	SS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
			A. B. C.	Ta Ta Ta	isk isk isk	1 : 2 : 3 :		Ca La Re	ata abi eac	aly ora cto	ys ate or	t I ory So	Pr y cr	ep Re ee	ar ac ni	at to ng	io r (o	n Co f	an ns Al	d (tri loj	Ch uc y	ar ti Ca	ac on ta Te	te ly	ri st	za s	ti an	on • d		7 10 10
			D. Е.	Ta	isk isk	5	;	Te	ec	D D hn	ys es ic	ig: al	n V	is	a it	5	an	e0 d		mm	y. un	ic	at	10	n.	9	•	•	•	18 31
	IV	•	CON	1CLI	JSI	ON	S	•	•	•		•		-		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	37
	۷	•	REF	FERI	ENC	ES		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	38
APPE	ENDI	CE	s.				•	•	•			•	•		•		•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	39
	A	۱.	Rej	por	t D	is	tr	ib	ut	io	n	Li	st		•	•	•		•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	40
	B	·	NT	IS	Bib	1 i	ogi	ra	ph	ic	D	at	a	Sh	ee	t.		•	•			•	•	•	•		•		•	42

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Hydrogen Chemisorptive Uptake for Alumina Pellet and Monolith Supported Catalysts	8
2	Reactor Screening Data	11
3	Reactor Screening Data	12
4	Apparent Activation Energies for Methanation Catalysts	16
5	Changes in H ₂ Uptake and Turnover Number Due to Poisoning	17
6	Description of Reactor Tests for Task 1	19
7	Experimental Grid for Reactor Testing Pelleted Catalysts .	20
8	Experimental Grid for Reaction Testing of Monolithic Catalysts	21
9	Summary of Integral Test Results	25
10	Turnover Numbers from Integral Tests	26

•

-

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Project Progress Summary	6
2	Hydrogen Chemisorption Isotherms for Co-A-100	9
3	The Effect of H_2^S on Methanation Activity at 250°C	13
4	The Effect of H_2^S on Turnover Number at 250°C	14
5	Conversion versus Temperature for Ni-A-116	23
6	Conversion versus Temperature for Co-A-100	24
7	Effects of Steam (15 vol.%) on Conversion versus Temperature for Ni-A-116	27
8	Effects of Steam (15 vol.%) on Conversion versus Temperature for Ni-A-112	28
9	Methane Production Before and After Steam Injection for Ni-A-112	29
10	Methane Production Before and After Steam Injection for Ni-A-116	30
11	Conversion versus Temperature for Ni-M-107	32
12	Conversion versus Temperature for Ni-M-113	33
13	Conversion versus Temperature for Ni-M-114	34

ABSTRACT

This report details accomplishments during the sixth quarter of investigation of new pellet- and monolithic-supported alloy catalysts for methanation of coal synthesis gas. Alumina-supported Co (20 wt.% metal loading), Ni-MoO3 (20%), and several Ni/Al₂O₃/monolith samples (10 and 20%) were prepared. Hydrogen adsorption data were obtained for alumina-supported Co, Ni-MoO3,Ni-Ru, Ni-Rh, Ni-Pt, and Ni-Co before and after exposure to 10 ppm H_2S and for 2 fresh monolithic-supported nickel catalysts. Differential activity tests were conducted for pellet-supported Co, Ni-McO₃, Ni-Ru and Ni-Rh (before and after poisoning) and for three monolithic nickel catalysts at 225 and 250°C, 20.5 psia, and a space velocity of 30,000 hr⁻¹. Conversion vs. temperature studies were conducted on pellet-supported nickel and cobalt catalysts in the absence and presence of water and on monolithic nickel catalysts at 2 different space velocities. A test to measure effects of carbon deposition was conducted for 3% Ni/Al203. The Principal Investigator attended the Centennial ACS Meeting in San Francisco and the ERDA Contractors Conference in Golden, Colorado, presented two invited papers and a seminar, visited three laboratories, received four visitors, and prepared several publications and proposals.

I. OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE

A. Background

Natural gas is a highly desirable fuel because of its high heating value and nonpolluting combustion products. In view of the expanding demand for and depletion of domestic supplies of clean fuels, economical production of synthetic natural gas (SNG) from coal ranks high on the list of national priorities.

Presently there are several gasification processes under development directed toward the production of methane of SNG. Although catalytic methanation of coal synthesis gas is an important cost item in the process, basic technological and design principles for this step are not well advanced. Extensive research and development are needed before the process can realize economical, reliable operation. Specifically, there appears to be important economical advantages in the development of more efficient, stable catalysts.

An extensive general review of the pertinent literature dealing with methanation catalysts was reported in the proposal, including reviews by Greyson (1) and Mills and Steffgen (2). From the literature, three major catalyst problems are apparent which relate to stability: (1) sulfur poisoning, (2) carbon deposition with associated plugging, and (3) sintering. These problems have received at best only modest attention. There has been very little research dealing with alloy catalysts for methanation, and there are no published investigations of the effects of catalyst support geometry on catalyst performance. This study deals specifically with sulfur poisoning, carbon deposition, and the effects of support (monolith and pellet) geometry on the performance of alloy methanation catalysts.

B. Objectives.

The general objectives of this research program are (1) to study nickel and ruthenium alloy catalysts in the search for catalysts resistant to poisoning and carbon deposition and (2) to investigate the effects on catalytic efficiency of suport (monolith and pellet) geometry. The work has been divided into five tasks to be completed over a period of two years:

Task 1. Prepare pellet- and monolithic-supported nickel and ruthenium alloy methanation catalysts by impregnation with metal salts of nickel, ruthenium, iron, platinum, etc. followed by reduction in hydrogen. Measure hydrogen and carbon monoxide chemisorption uptakes before and after exposure to hydrogen sulfide. Examine metallic phases of these catalysts by x-ray diffraction for chemical composition and particle size.

Task 2. Design and construct a continuous flow laboratory reactor system capable of 25-1000°C and 1-25 atm to be used for screening methanation catalysts and investigating effects of sulfur poisoning

on methanation activity.

Task 3. Screen catalysts prepared in Task 1 using a reactor system constructed in Task 2 to determine methanation catalyst activity before and after exposure to 10 ppm H_2S .

Task 4. Compare the most promising catalysts based on the results of Tasks 1 and 3 for steady-state catalytic activity on different pellet and monolith supports of different hole sizes and geometries under various operating conditions, i.e., temperature, pressure, H_2/CO ratio and H_2S level.

Task 5. Maintain close liaison with organizations doing similar research such as the Bureau of Mines, Bituminous Coal Research, Institute of Gas Technology, and others.

C. Technical Approach

The technical approach which will be used to accomplish the tasks outlined above is presented in the revised proposal dated May 17, 1974. The main features of that approach are reviewed here along with more specific details and modifications which have evolved as a result of progress in related research over the past year. It is expected that various other aspects of this approach will be modified and improved as the project develops and as new data are made available. Nevertheless, the objectives, tasks and principle features of the approach will remain the substantially the same.

Task 1: Catalyst preparation and characterization. Alumina pellets and extruded monolithic ceramic supports (provided by Corning Glass Works) coated with high surface area alumina will be impregnated with nickel nitrate and an alloying metal salt. Metals which will be alloyed with nickel include cobalt, iron, molybdenum, rhodium, ruthenium, platinum, and palladium. Ruthenium will be used in combination with nickel, cobalt and palladium. Approximately equimolar quantities of base metals will be used in combination with nickel or other base metals. Catalyst samples will be dried in vacuum at 70-100°C, reduced at 500°C in flowing hydrogen, and carefully passivated with 1% air in preparation for further testing. A dedicated reduction apparatus will be used to reduce and passivate large batches of pellets and monolithic catalysts. Alloy catalysts will be initially prepared in pellet form for chemisorption, x-ray diffraction, and reactor screening measurements. Only the more promising catalysts will be prepared in monolithic form.

Hydrogen and carbon monoxide chemisorption uptakes will be measured using a conventional volumetric apparatus before and after exposure of each catalyst to hydrogen sulfide. Catalysts will be exposed to 10 ppm H_2S over a period of several hours in a dedicated poisoning apparatus. X-ray diffraction measurements will be carried out to determine the active metallic phases and metal crystallite size where possible. Selected "aged" samples from Task 4 will be analyzed (by x-ray and perhaps ESCA) to determine carbon content and

possible changes in phase composition or particle size. More extensive study of catalyst sintering or thermal degradation will be undertaken as part of a separate study supported by NSF and perhaps as an extension of this work, but is not intended to be within the scope of this twoyear study.

<u>Task 2: Laboratory reactor construction</u>. It was initially proposed to construct a combination pulse-continous flow reactor system for catalyst screening and testing. This apparatus was in fact constructed during the previous year as part of a previous methanation study supported by Corning Glass Works and Brigham Young University. The combination was found to be unworkable--unsatisfactory for pulse operation because of pulse broadening in the reactor and for continuous-flow operation due to high flow resistance in the small diameter tubing and sample valves. The reactor system was later modified for continuous-flow operation and collection of steady-state activity data, which were found to be more useful, realistic indicators of catalyst performance than the unsteady-state pulse measurements. Our continuous-flow reactor system, presently capable of 0-60 psig, will be modified for operation to 400 psig and significantly upgraded to enable convenient study of activity as a function of temperature, pressure, and feed composition.

Task 3: Reactor screening of alloy catalysts. Catalyst samples will be screened on the basis of steady-state methanation activity (reaction rate based upon catalyst surface area) measured in a differential flow reactor at atmospheric pressure and 225 or 250°C at a fixed H_2/CO ratio of 3.5-4.0. Samples to be screened will include freshly-reduced catalysts and catalyst samples exposed in a separate poisoning system to 10 ppm H_2S over a period of 6-18 hours.

Task 4: Catalyst geometry testing and design. The most promising catalysts based on the results of screening will be tested for activity and conversion as a function of pressure, temperature, H_2/CO ratio, and H_2S concentration. The conversion of carbon monoxide to methane as a function of temperature will be determined for various pellet and monolith geometries at both high and low pressures. The effects or water addition to the feed stream will also be investigated. Conversion of carbon monoxide to methane during in situ exposure to low levels of hydrogen sulfide and at low H_2/CO ratios will be used as a measure of stability toward sulfur poisoning and carbon deposition. A comparison of steady-state conversions at given temperature and pressure conditions for monolithic supports of different hole sizes and geometries will be used to optimize the geometry of the catalyst support.

Task 5: Technical visits and communication. Visits to other methanation laboratories such as the Pittsburgh Energy Research Center and the Institute of Gas Technology are planned. Close communication with other researchers working in methanation catlaysis both in industrial and academic locations is also planned. The principal investigator will attend coal and catalysis meetings regularly to communicate with other workers regarding methanation catalysis.

II. SUMMARY OF PROGRESS

A project progress summary is presented in Figure 1 and accomplishments during the past quarter are summarized below. Figure 1 shows that task accomplishments are either on or ahead of schedule. Particularly Task 4, Catalyst Testing and Design, is well ahead of schedule. Tasks 2 and 3 have been essentially completed.

Accomplishments during the last quarter are best summarized according to task:

<u>Task 1</u>. Two pellet-supported catalysts were prepared: 20% Co/Al_2O_3 and 20% Ni-MOO_3/Al_2O_3. Several Ni/Al_2O_3/monolith catalysts were also prepared. Hydrogen chemisorptive uptakes were measured before and after exposure to 10 ppm H₂S (12 or more hours) for pellet-supported Co, Ni-MOO_3, Ni-Rh, and Ni-Co. Hydrogen uptakes were also determined for two monolithic-supported nickel catalysts.

Task 2. Reactor construction was completed during the fourth quarter. However, several minor additions and modifications were made during the past quarter.

<u>Task 3</u>. Measurements of methanation activity were carried out before and after exposure to 10 ppm H_2S for Co, Ni-MoO₃, Ni-Ru and Ni-Rh catalysts (pellet form) at 225 and 250°C, 20.5 psia, and a space velocity of 30,000 hr⁻¹. The fresh activity of three monolithicsupported nickel catalysts was measured under the same conditions.

Task 4. Conversion versus temperature measurements were carried out at 20.5 psia, $175-400^{\circ}$ C, and a space velocity of 15,000 hr⁻¹ for 20% Co/Al₂O₃, 3% Ni/Al₂O₃, and 14% Ni/Al₂O₃. Effects of 15 Vol.% water vapor on activity and selectively were determined for the two nickel catalysts. Conversion-temperature measurements were also made for three monolithic catalysts under similar conditions but at space velocities of 15,000 hr⁻¹.

Task 5. The principal investigator presented two invited papers at the Centennial ACS Meeting in San Francisco and a seminar at the University of Utah. He also visited catalysis laboratories at SRI, Stanford University, and the University of Utah and participated in the ERDA University Contractors' Conference in Golden, Colorado. Visitors to BYU included Professor Michel Boudart of Stanford University, Mr. Tony Lee of IGT, Mr. Bill Boyer of Corning Glass Works, and Mr. Robert Wade of the Ventron Corporation. A paper was published in the preprints of the ACS Fuel Chemistry Division and a proposal to continue this project an additional year was completed and submitted.

Miscellaneous. Mr. Scott Engstrom entered MIT for graduate work: Mr. Richard Turner initiated study at the University Utah Medical School. Mr. Gordon Weatherbee (Graduate - M.S.), Mr. Ken Atwood (Senior), and Mr. Glen Witt (Freshman) joined the research group in September.



Figure 1. Project Progress Summary.

III. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF TECHNICAL PROGRESS

A. Task 1: Catalyst Preparation and Characterization

1. Catalyst Preparation: An alumina pellet-supported cobalt catalyst (20% Co/Al₂O₃) was prepared to provide a comparison with the Ni and Ni-Co catalysts previously tested. A 20% NiMoO3 catalyst was prepared to compare against our 6% Ni-MoO2 catalyst and to be used in sintering experiments as part of the companion NSF study. In addition several of the research size support monoliths from Corning Glass Works, 1" OD x 1.2", $200\Box/in^2$, were prepared with Kaiser SA Medium alumina, calcined at 600°C, and coated with nickel nitrate Various procedures for applying the alumina coating and solution. impregnating with nickel nitrate were followed with varying degrees of success. The impregnation technique judged to be most useful involved dipping the coated monolith in Ni nitrate solution, blowing off the excess, drying with heat gun and heating at 200°C for no more than 90 minutes. More severe drying tended to cause nitrate decomposition in air. After the water of hydration was driven off, the monoliths were reduced in hydrogen using a previously described temperature schedule (3) to decompose the nitrate. A small temperature ramp prevents exothermic NH2 formation and the associated temperature excursion, such as ocurred for Ni-M-107. Ni-M-113, -114, and -115 contain the desired 20% nickel metal and 20% alumina substrate. Various other samples were damaged in temperature excursions or in handling miscues. These production problems have been largely solved so that preparation of nickel alloys on monolith supports during the next quarter should proceed quite smoothly.

2. <u>Characterization</u>: Hydrogen chemisorption uptakes measured for six different pellet-type catalysts and two monolithic-types are summarized in Table 1. Two of the pellet type catalysts were poisoned with 10 ppm H_2S/H_2 for 12 hours and another four were poisoned with sufficient H_2S to cover 40% of all available metal sites. In all cases the uptake decreased after poisoning. Uptakes for two previously reduced monolithic nickel catalysts were measured after two hours of rereduction at 450°C and a GHSV of 2,000 hr⁻¹. Work is currently underway to determine if this time is sufficient for complete rereduction.

Hydrogen chemisorption isotherms before and after exposure to 10 ppm H_2S/H_2 (12 hours) are shown in Figure 2 for Co-A-100 (20% Co/Al₂O₃). The hydrogen uptake for the fresh catalyst of 43.4 moles/g is a factor of 4-5 less than typical values of 150-200 moles/g measured for 15-20% Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts. The stoichiometry of hydrogen adsorption on cobalt and the extent of reduction to cobalt metal for these conditions is not known. However, experiments will be performed during the next quarter to determine the extent of reduction to the metal and the stoichiometry of H₂ adsorption on cobalt.

Hydrogen Chemisorptive Uptake Data for Alumina Pellet and Monolith Supported Catalysts

Catalyst	Nominal Composition	H_2 Uptake (μ mole/gram)				
	(wt.%)	Before Phisoning	After Poisoning			
Pellets:						
Co-A-100 +	20% Co	43.4	34.5			
Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102 +	10% Ni, 10% MoO ₃	136.5	98.2			
Ni-Rh-A-101 *	16.6% Ni, 3.4% Rh	168.8	113.1			
Ni-Ru-A-106*	16.6% Ni, 3.4% Ru	185.4	109.3			
Ni-Pt-A-100 *	15% Ni, 0.5% Pt	112.9	94.1			
Ni-Co-A-100 *	10% Ni, 10% Co	116.3	65.0			
Monoliths:						
Ni-M-107	8-9% Ni	25.6				
Ni-M-113	20% Ni	75.1				
Ni-M-114	20% Ni	65.4				

* 40% of metal sites were poisoned with $\rm H_2S$

+ poisoned for 12 hours with 10 ppm H_2S at a GHSV of 2,000 hr⁻¹



Figure 2. Hydrogen Chemisorption Isothermsfor Co-A-100 (20% Co/A1₂0₃) Before and After Exposure to H₂S.

B. Task 2: Laboratory Reactor Construction.

Reactor construction was essentially completed during the fourth quarter. During the past quarter only minor modifications to the reactor system were made. In anticipation of running at high pressures and due to the initiation of the water addition studies, the sampling point for products was moved from just downstream of the reactor to downstream of both the condenser unit and the back pressure regulator. This was done to insure that the sampling line to the gas chromatograph would not be at high pressure, and also to eliminate water from the product sample line.

C. Task 3: Reactor Screening of Alloy Catalyst.

During this past quarter four pellet-supported catalysts and three monolithic-supported catalysts were screened in differential activity tests. The four pellet supported catalysts, Co-A-100, Ni-MoO₃-A-102, Ni-Ru-A-106 and Ni-Rh-A-101, each had an active metal loading of 20 wt.%. Two of the monolithic supported catalysts, Ni-M-113 and Ni-M-114, were also 20 wt. % active metal while the other monolithic suported catalyst, Ni-M-107, was about 8-10 wt.% active metal (part of this catalyst crumbled away leaving some uncertainty as to the metal loading). The catalyst samples of 1/8 inch pellets were approximately 4 to 6 ml in volume, giving a maximum bed depth of one centimeter. The monolithic catalysts were circular, one inch in diameter, approximately 1/2 inch in depth with 200 square channels per square inch. After the initial test the pellet supported catalysts were poisoned with 10 ppm H₂S in H₂ (GHSV = 2,000 hr⁻¹) for 12 hours at a temperature of 450°C, after which they were tested again.

Measurements were made of the CO conversion, and CH_4 and CO_2 production; methane and CO_2 production were based on the amount of CO converted to these products. From these data the selectivities of each catalyst to methane and carbon dioxide were calculated. In addition, reaction rates per gram of catalyst and turnover numbers based on both CO conversion and CH_4 production were calculated. These results are shown in Tables 2 and 3 for temperatures of 225 and 250°C respectively, a pressure of 20.5 psia and a space velocity of 30,000 hr⁻¹, using a reactant gas mixture containing 1% CO, 4% H₂, and 95% N₂. Rates (per gram of catalyst) and turnover numbers at 250°C are shown graphically in Figures 3 and 4.

Comparison of rates on a mass basis (Tables 2 and 3 and Figure 3) shows Ni-MoO₃-A-102 (20% Ni-MoO₃/Al₂O₃) to have the highest activity. This high activity is a result of both a reasonably high surface area (see Table 1) and a very high turnover number, which is in very close agreement with the turnover number reported previously for 6% Ni-MoO₃ Al₂O₃ (4). Nevertheless, the turnover number for Co-A-100 (20% Co/al₂O₃) is higher, in fact the highest of any catalyst tested to date. Comparison of selectivities in Table 2 shows the nickel molybdate catalyst to be most selective at 250°C. All nickel containing-catalysts show higher selectivities to CH₄ at 250°C than at 225°C, in agreement with previously reported data (3,4). The cobalt catalyst, however

Reactor Screening Data 225°C, GHSV = 30,000 hr⁻¹; 20.5 PSIA

Catalyst	% Conversion CO	% Production CH_{Δ} CO2		% Selectivity <u>CH4</u> <u>CÖ</u> 2	
Pellet Catalysts					
Co-A-100 Co-A-100 Poisoned	5.17 4.28	4.32 3.18	0.12 0.22	84.3 75.9	2.3 5.4
Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102 Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102 Poisoned	15.0 13.38	11.2 9.63	1.05 0.94	74.2 72.1	7.0 7.1
Ni-Rh-A-101 Ni-Rh-A-101 Poisoned	6.33 2.21	4.49 1.95	0.00 0.00	71.1 89.7	0.0 0.0
Ni-Ru-A-106 Ni-Ru-A-106 Poisoned	7.12 5.99	5.07 4.81	0.02 0.0	74.0 80.7	0.5 0.0
Monolithic catalysts					
Ni-M-107	6.61	4.98	0.00	75.6	0.0
Ni-M-113	11.57	8.72	0.05	75.4	0.4
Ni-M-114	13.52	10.2	0.14	75.4	1.1

Catalyst	Rate x 10 gMoles/gcat <u>CO</u>	7 -sec <u>CH4</u>	T Based on H ₂ Upt <u>CO</u>	urnover Num Fresh ake <u>CH4</u>	ber x 10 ³ Based on Po H ₂ Upta <u>CO</u>	isoned ke <u>CH</u> A
Pellet Catalysts						
Co-A-100 Co-A-100 Poisoned	3.2 2.7	2.7 2.0	3.7 3.1	3.1 2.3	3.9	2.9
Ni-McO ₃ -A-102 Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102 Poisoned	9.3 7.9	6.9 5.7	2.9 2.9	2.1 2.1	4.1	2.9
Ni-Rh-A-101 Ni-Rh-A-101 Poisoned	4.0 1.5	2.8 1.3	1.3 0.44	0.93 0.39	0.65	0.58
Ni-Ru-A-106 Ni-Ru-A-106 Poisoned	4.6 3.8	3.3 3.1	1.2 1.0	0.87 0.83	 1.75	 1.4
Monolithic Catalys	ts					
Ni-M-107	3.8	2.9	7.4	5.5		
Ni-M-113	6.3	4.8	4.2	3.2		
N1-M-114	7.5	5.7	4.7	3.5		

Reactor Screening Data 250°C; GHSV = 30,000 hr⁻¹; 20.5 PSIA

Catalyst	% Conversion	% Prod	uction	<pre>% Selectivity</pre>		
	<u>co</u>	CH4	<u>C02</u>	CH4	<u>C02</u>	
Pellet Catalysts						
Co-A-100 Co-A-100 Poisoned	19.84 12,59	15.11 9.3	3.13 1.0	76.3 73.9	15.8 8.1	
Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102 Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102 Poisoned	34.38 34.0	27.64 28.23	3.01 2.99	80.4 83.1	8.8 8.8	
Ni-Rh-A-101 Ni-Rh-A-101 Poisoned	11.28 4.06	8.31 3.15	0.00 0.00	73.7 78.0	0.0 0.0	
Ni-Ru-A-106 Ni-Ru-A-106 Poisoned	12.95 11.58	9.90 9.52	0.02 0.03	76.5 82.3	0.2 0.3	
Monolithic Catalysts	5					
Ni-M-107	14.62	11.38	0.17	77.9	1.2	
Ni-M-113	27.95	24.60	0.49	88.0	1.8	
Ni-M-114	31.7	27.82	0.55	87.8	1.7	

Catalyst	Rate (Moles, <u>CO</u>	e x 10 ⁷ /gcat-sec) <u>CH4</u>	Based on H ₂ CO	Turnover n Fresh Uptake <u>CH4</u>	Number x 10^3 Based on H ₂ Upt	Poisoned take <u>CH4</u>
Pellet Cataly Co-A-100 Co-A-100 Poisoned	/sts 12.4 8.0	9.4 5.9	14.3 9.2	10.9 6.8	11.6	8.6
Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102 Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102 Poisoned	21.3 20.2	17.1 16.8	7.8 7.4	6.3 6.1	 10.3	 8.5
Ni-Rh-A-101 Ni-Rh-A-101 Poisoned	7.1 2.75	5.3 2.10	2.3 0.81	1.7 0.63	1.2	 0.93
Ni-Ru-A-106 Ni-Ru-A-106 Poisoned	8.3 7.2	6.3 6.4	2.25 1.95	1.70 1.70	 3.3	2.9
Monolithic Ca	atalysts					
Ni-M-107	8.4	6.5	16.3	12.7		
Ni-M-113	15.4	13.5	10.2	9.0		
Ni-M-114	17.6	15.5	11.0	9.6		



Figure 3. The Effect of H_2S on Methanation Activity at 250°C (GHSV = 30,000 hr⁻¹). The first bar of each pair represents the activity of the fresh catalyst; the second indicates the activity after exposure to 10 ppm (molar basis) H_2S in H_2 for 12 hours at a space velocity of 2,000 hr⁻¹ and 450°C² The upper bar represents CO conversion while the lower bar represents methane production. The catalysts were reduced for 2 hours in flowing H_2 at 450°C.



Co-A-100 Ni-Mo03-A-102 Ni-Rh-A-101 Ni-Ru-A=106

Figure 4. The Effect of H₂S on Turnover Number at 250°C (GHSV = 30,000 hr⁻¹). For explanation² of the bars see Figure 2. The cataysts were reduced for 2 hours in flowing H₂ at 450°C. shows a slightly lower selectivity to CH_4 at 250°C than at 225°C similar to the nickel-cobalt alloy for which data were reported in the last quarterly report (4). Moreover the cobalt catalyst shows a lower selectivity than did the nickel-cobalt alloy and a decrease in selectivity after exposure to H_2S poisoning, which effect was also seen for the nickel-cobalt alloy. These trends observed for the cobalt-containing catalysts were also evident for ruthenium catalysts discussed in the previous quarterly report (4).

Selectivity data in Tables 2 and 3 also show that significant amounts of carbon dioxide (2-16%) are formed by the cobalt and Ni-MoO₃ catalysts. Presumably the remainder of the products besides CH_4 include 10-20% C_{2+} hydrocarbons. The Ni-Ru and Ni-Rh alloys apparently form no CO_2 but presumably 20-25% C_{2+} hydrocarbons.

Table 4 shows the apparent activation energies, for the catalysts, calculated from the data in Tables 2 and 3. The value of 26.0 kcal/mole for the fresh cobalt is the highest of any catalysts tested thus far but is in excellent agreement with the value of 27.0 reported by Vannice (5). The value of 22.8 for the 20% Ni-MoO₃/Al₂O₃ is low relative to the value of 26.2 reported earlier (3) for the 6% Ni-MoO₃/Al₂O₃, presumably due to effects of pore diffusion because of the high conversions obtained for the higher loading catalyst. The values of 12.5 and 13.4 for the 20% Ni-Rh and Ni-Ru catalysts are approximately half the values of 20.7 and 25.3 observed previously (3) for the 3% catalysts. The larger conversions for the 20% catalysts might explain at least part of these differences.

In comparing the data for the monolithic supported catalysts with those previously reported for pellet-supported nickel catalysts (3.4), the monolithic catalysts have larger activation energies, even though the turnover numbers and conversions are higher for the monolithic catalysts. These larger activation energies are very likely a result of greater effectiveness (less pore resistance) for the monolithic catalysts. This hypothesis is reasonable since the average pore lengths are smaller for the Al_2O_3 monolith coating than for the 1/8 inch beads.

Table 5 shows the fractional changes in hydrogen uptake and turnover number with poisoning of the catalyst together with the ratio of these two numbers called the poisoning site activity ratio (PSAR). The PSAR is a measure of the change in activity of the the methanation sites as a result of partial poisoning. A value less than 1.0 indicates that either the most active sites are poisoned first or that H_2S interacts strongly with the remaining sites to decrease their activity. Conversely, a PSAR value greater than 1.0 indicates either the least active sites are poisoned first or that H_2S interacts with the remaining sites to enhance their activity. Thus the Ni-MoO₃ and Ni-Ru catalysts appear to be more resistant to low concentrations of H_2S than are the Ni-Rh and cobalt catalysts and in fact more resistant than any of the catalysts tested to date (4). These results are most encouraging. Nevertheless, it is not yet understood why the 20% Ni-MoO₃ catalyst is more resistant than the 6% Ni-MoO₃.

Apparent Activation Energies for Methanation Catalysts Based on Measurements at 225-250°C and a Space Velocity of 30,000 hr.-1

Catalyst	CO Conversion (Kcal/mole)	CH ₄ Production (Kcal/mole)
Pellet Catalysts		
Co-A-100 Co-A-100 Poisoned	28.0 22.5	26.0 22.5
Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102 Ni-MoO ₃ A 102 Poisoned	20.5 19.4	22.8 22.1
Ni-Rh-A-101 Ni-Rh-A-101 Poisoned	11.8 12.5	12.5 9.9
Ni-Ru-A-106 Ni-Ru-A-106 Poisoned	12.2 13.2	13.4 15.0
Ni-A-112 (3% Ni/A1 ₂ 0 ₃)*	15.5	19.2
Ni-A-116 (14% Ni/A1 ₂ 0 ₃)*	8.8	10.2
G-87 (32% Ni/A1 ₂ 0 ₃)*	13.7	14.2
Monolithic Catalysts		
Ni-M-107	16.4	17.1
Ni-M-113	18.3	21.5
Ni-M-114	17,6	20.8

* Data determined for these catalysts during 4th and 5th quarters.

Changes in H₂ Uptake and Turnover Number Due to Poisoning 250°C; GHSV = 30,000

Catalyst	$R_1 = H_2 Uptake^{f}/H_2 Uptake$	$\underline{e^{I}} R_{2} = N_{CH_{4}}^{f} / N_{CH_{4}}^{L}$	Poisoned Site Activity <u>Ratio = R₂/R₁</u>
Co-A-100	0.795	0.624	0.785
Ni-MoO ₃ -A-102	0.719	0.968	1.350
Ni-Rh-A-101	0.670	0.371	0.554
Ni-Ru-A-106	0.590	1.000	1.695
Supersci	ripts I = before poisoning f = after poisoning		

Forecast for Further Work. During the next quarter the recently poisoned Ni-Co catalysts will be tested. Otherwise, this task is essentially completed.

D. Task 4: Catalyst Life and Geometry: Testing and Design.

<u>Planning of Experiments</u>. This task involves a series of laboratory reactor tests of pellet and monolithic-supported Ni, Ni-Co, Ni-Ru, Ni-Rh, Ni-Pt, and Ni-MoO₃ catalysts as a function of temperature, pressure, H_2S concentration and geometry using the newly constructed reactor described previously (3). These particular catalysts have been chosen for further testing on the basis of promising results obtained in the screening tests (Task 3). This extensive program of testing was begun ahead of schedule during late Summer 1976 and is scheduled for completion by (earliest) October 1977; thus approximately half of this testing program will be completed during the first contract period (ending April 22, 1977).

During the past quarter preliminary experiments, discussions and planning efforts by the principal investigator and students associated with the project resulted in the development of a detailed experimental program for the testing of the methanation catalysts listed above. Altogether there are five different kinds of tests: (1) temperature versus conversion measurements at low pressure with and without steam in the feed gas, (2) temperature versus conversion measurements at high pressure, (3) 24 hour runs at 400°C and different H_2/CO ratios to determine resistance to carbon deposition, (4) measurement of activity at 250°C during in situ exposure to 1 and 10 ppm H_2S , and (5) high conversion measurements at low pressure for the same catalyst supported on monoliths of varying geometry. The detailed experimental conditions and basic procedures used in each of these tests are listed in Table 6. Experimental grids of the tests which are to be performed for each catalyst are shown in Tables 7 and 8 for pellet and monolithicsupported samples respectively.

The integral tests (Test 1 and 2) at high and low pressures will provide rate data over the range of conversion from 0 to 100% and conversion and selectivity data over the important range of temperature. From these data, turnover numbers, selectivities, conversion versus temperature curves, catalyst selectivities, and the effects of water on these parameters can be obtained. In order to determine turnover numbers, the metal surface area will be measured after each set of integral runs. From the steady state, 24-hr. runs (Test 3) the effect of carbon deposition on rate will be determined. Following these runs selected catalysts will be analyzed for carbon content to determine the extent of deposition; metal surface areas will be measured for each samples before and after the run to determine the effects of carbon deposition on hydrogen adsorption. Test 4 will provide data regarding the relative resistances to H₂S of nickel and nickel alloy catalysts (monolith and pellet supports), the rates of poisoning and the effects of H_2S concentration on the rate of poisoning. From the support geometry tests (Test 5) the effects of monolith and pellet geometry on CO conversion and selectivity to methane will be

Description of Reactor Tests for Task 1

Test and Procedures

- Integral low pressure test: Measure CO conversion and methane production as a function of temperature, with and without 1 % (by vol.) of steam present in the reactants.
- <u>Integral high pressure test</u>: Measure CO conversion and methane production as a function of temperature.
- 3. <u>Steady state (24 hr.) test</u>: Measure intermittently CO conversion and methane production over a period of 24 hours for each value of H₂/CO while increasing temperature gradually to 400°C so that catalysts doesn't overheat.
- In situ H₂S poisoning test: Measure intermittently the production of methane and hydrocarbons (by FID) during 24 hours exposure to feed containing 1 or 10 ppm H₂S using a guartz reactor.
- 5. <u>Support geometry tests</u>: Measure CO conversion and methane production as a function of temperature for the same catalyst supported on monoliths and pellets of varying geometries.

200-400°C 8 psig_1 30,000 hr⁻¹ 1% CO, 4% H, 95% N₂ (dry basis) 200-400°C 350 psig 30,000 hr-1 1% CO, 4% H₂, 95% N₂ Increase gradually from 250-400°C 8 psig 30,000-60,000 hr⁻¹ 25-50% CO, 50-75% H₂ $H_2/CO = 2, 3$ 250°C 8 psig-1 30,000 hr-1 $1\% CO. 4\% H_2, 95\% N_2$ l or 10 ppm H_2S 300-400°C 8 psig_1 30,000 hr 1% CO, 4% H₂, 95% N₂

Experimental Conditions

Experimental Grid for Reactor Testing Pelleted Catalysts (Task 1)

<u>Catalyst</u>	Inte 8 200- <u>30,00</u> <u>No H2</u> 0	gral psig 400°C <u>00 hr</u> -1 <u>1% H₂0</u>	Integral 350 psig 200-400°C ₋₁ 30,000 hr ⁻¹	2 SS 8 ps 30, <u>H2</u>	4 hr. Run ig, 400 <u>1</u> C 000 hr /C0 = <u>3</u>] 2 -	In Situ Glass 250°C, 30,000 ID det ppm	H ₂ S Reactor 8 psig hr-1 cector 10 ppm
3% Ni/A1 ₂ 0 ₃	X	x	X		х			X
15% Ni/A1 ₂ 0 ₃	Х	x	X	X	Х		X	X
3% Ni-Co/A1 ₂ 0 ₃	Х	x					X	X
20% Ni-Co/A1 ₂ 0 ₃	Х	X	X	Х	X			Х
6% Ni-Mo0 ₃ /A1 ₂ 0 ₃	x	X	X		X		x	Х
16% Ni-Pt/Al ₂ 0 ₃	Х	x	X		X			Х
3% Ni-Ru/A1 ₂ 0 ₃	x	x	x	X	X			Х
3% Ni-Rh/A1 ₂ 0 ₃	X	X	X		X			X

Experimental Grid for Reaction Testing of Monolithic Catalysts (Task 1)

<u>Catalyst*</u>	Integ 8 p: 200-4 <u>30,000</u> <u>No H₂0</u>	ra1 sig 400°C ₁ <u>) hr</u> 1 <u>% H</u> 20	Integral 350 psig 200-400°C ₁ 30,000 hr	24 hr. SS Runs 8 psig, 400°C 30,000 hr <u>H₂/C0 = 3</u>	In Situ H ₂ S Glass Reaction 250°C, 8 psig 30,000 hr	Geometry [#] 8 psig 300-400°C_1 <u>30,000 hr</u>
15% Ni (3 inches long)	х					
15% Ni (200¤/in ²) (300¤/in ²) (236△/in ²)	Х	Х	X	. X	X	X X X
15% Ni/A1 ₂ 0 ₃ Monolith	X	X.	X	X	Х	
Raney Ni Grid	Х	Х	X	X	х	
15% Ni-Co	Х	X	X	X	x	
15% Ni-MoO ₃	Х	Х	X	X	X	
15% Ni-Pt	Х	Х	X	Х	X	
15% Ni-Ru *All catalysts (e	X except the	X Raney Ni gr	X rid) will be support	X ed on Al ₂ O ₃ -coated cor	X dierite monoliths (2	:00 squares/in ²)

unless otherwise designated. Test samples are usually 1 inch 0.0.⁵by 1/2 inch thick. *Three samples of each catalyst, 3-4 runs for each sample

determined. Since the methanation reaction is limited by mass transfer to the catalyst exterior at high conversions, the effects of different exterior surface areas should be moderately important in affecting conversion. It will also be important to measure metal surface areas before and after Test 4 and after Test 5.

Accomplishments - Pellet Supported Catalysts. During the last quarter, activity versus temperature tests were conducted on high loading nickel and cobalt catalysts. The results of these tests are shown in Figures 5 and 6. Important conversions parameters for these and other previously tested catalysts are given in Table 9. The Ni, Ni-Co, and Co catalysts have the highest conversions of the catalysts tested. The Ni catalyst has the highest conversion and reaches its maximum at the lowest temperature. The conversion for the Ni-Co catalyst is approxmately the same as the Ni catalyst but reaches its maximum at a slightly higher temperature. Conversion for the Co catalyst is not quite as high as the Ni or Ni-Co catalysts but does reach its maximum at the same temperature as the Ni catalyst. The Co catalyst has the highest CO₂ production of any of the catalysts tested, followed by the Ni-Co catalyst.

Table 10 shows the turnover numbers at high conversion for the Ni, Ni-Co, and Co catalysts along with other previously tested catalysts. The low metal content catalysts tend to have much higher activities on this basis than do the high loading catalysts. However the high loading Co catalyst has a much higher turnover number than the other catalysts in the latter group.

Activity versus temperature tests with steam injection in the feed were initiated for the same group of catalysts. Results for two Ni catalysts (Figures 7 and 8) show that water vapor has a large, detrimental effect on methane production. The overall conversion of CO is increased significantly at a given temperature by the presence of the water vapor. However, the methane production is reduced from 70-90% (no water) to 5-20% (with 15 vol.% water vapor); CO₂ is correspondingly increased by water. This undoubtedly results from an increase in the rate of the water gas shift reaction. The conversion to methane (in the presence of steam) is also found to decrease significantly with increases in temperature, as can be seen clearly in Figure 8. Consideration of the large observed effects of 15 vol.% water and the fact that industrial methanators involve much lower values of H_2O/CO suggests that realistically a lower concentration of steam should be used. Future tests will be carried out using 1-2% water vapor.

Preliminary runs indicate a slight decrease in the activity of the low loading Ni catalyst following the steam injection tests. This is illustrated in Figure 9 in which conversion versus temperature tests at 250-300°C without water were made immediately before and after the steam tests. Data for the high loading nickel ($14\% Ni/Al_2O_3$) catalysts did not evidence measureable deactivation after testing with steam, except for a slight increase in selectivity to CO_2 production (Figure 10). Further tests are being carried out to determine the effects of the water vapor on catalyst composition and state of reduction.





Figure 6. Conversion versus Temperature for Co-A-100 (20% Co/Al₂0₃) (20.5 psia; GHSV = 15,000 hr⁻¹)

Summary of Integral Test Results (20.5 psia; GHSV = 15,000 hr⁻¹)

Catalyst	Temperature 50% (°C)	of CO Conversion Maximum (°C)	Maximum CO Conversion	At Maximum CH ₄ Produc.	CO Conversion CO_2 Produc.
Low Loading Catalysts					
Ni-A-112	265	350	93%	74%	20%
Ni-MoO ₃ -A-101	270	375	86%	70%	17%
Ni-Rh-A-100	310	400	81%	64%	16%
Ni-Ru-A-105	312	414	73%	56%	16%
High Loading Catalyst	S				
Ni-A-116	220	325	99%	89%	10%
Ni-Co-A-100	210	329	99%	84%	16%
Ni-Pt-A-100	237	375	84%	70%	13%
Co-A-100	235	325	96%	71%	23%
Integral Tests with S	team Injectio	n			
Ni-A-112	285	400	96%	3%	86%
Ni-A-116	245	350	. 99%	19%	75%
Monolithic Catalysts					
Ni-M-113	255	325	100%	96%	4%

.

Turnover Numbers from Integral Tests

	325°C		At Maximum	At Maximum Conversion		
<u>Catalyst</u>	N _{CO}	N _{CH4}	N _{CO}	N _{CH4}		
Low Loading Cat	alysts					
Ni-A-112	44.9	35.0	46.0	36.5		
Ni-MoO ₃ -A-101	84,6	73.5	88.4	72.1		
Ni-Rh-A-100	24.2	22.5	34.3	27.2		
Ni-Ru-A-105	24.1	21.3	32,0	25.0		
High Loading Ca	talysts					
Ni-A-116	10.1	9.1	10.1	9.0		
Ni-Co-A-100	13.0	11.1	13.0	11.1		
Ni-Pt-A-100	10.9	10.0	11.5	9.6		
Co-A-100	35.7	26.4	35.7	26.4		



Figure 7. Effects of Steam (15 vol.%) on Conversion Versus Temperature for Ni-A-116 (14.0% Ni/Al₂O₃) (20.5 psia; GHSV - 15,000 hr⁻¹).







З

During the past quarter 24 hour reaction experiments were carried out to measure the effects of carbon deposition on activity for Ni-A-112 (3% Ni/Al₂O₃) at three H₂/CO ratios. While the activity was indeed slightly different for each H₂/CO ratio the decrease in activity after operation at 400°C for nearly 24 hours was not measureable. These results were most puzzling in view of the large decreases in activity observed by Dalla Betta (6) after running his catalysts for 24 hours at 25°C. Nevertheless, our reactant gas containing 1% CO, 4% H₂, and 95% N₂ was considerably more dilute than that used by Dalla Betta (75% H₂, 25% CO). In future long term deactivation test experiments we will use 20-50% CO and 80-50% H₂ in the reactant mixture.

Accomplishments - Monolithic-supported Catalysts. Selectivity and activity vs. temperature tests at 20 psia were performed on three monolith-supported nickel catalysts. Ni-M-107, one of the damaged pieces, was included for comparison. Not only was it sintered to some degree in the reduction step, but pieces of it were broken off, preventing accurate gravimetric determination of nickel loading. It contains approximately 10% Ni metal. Figure 11 shows the temperature conversion behavior for Ni-M-107. The high temperatures at which maximum conversions of CO to CO_2 and CH_4 are obtained suggests the effects of thermal damage. While the degree to which CO_2 was produced is comparable to other catalysts, the sum of CO_2 and CH_4 production does not equal CO conversion, suggesting hydrocarbon formation and perhaps carbon deposition.

Ni-M-113 was run at the same space velocity as the previous integral runs in this study, 15,000 hr⁻¹. Figure 12 shows high methanation selectivity at 250°C and complete CO conversion at 300°C, very similar in performance to the pellet-supported Ni. However, the selectivity for the monolithic catalyst reaches a maximum of 95% at about 325°C compared to 89% for pellet supported Ni. This result is most exciting. The CO₂ production (evidence of water-gas-shift) increases with increasing temperature and the selectivity to methane decreases with increasing temperature at temperatures higher than 350°C in very similar manner to Ni/Al₂O₃ pellets (see Figure 5 and Table 9), except that CO₂ production is less for the monolith.

To test the effects of space velocity on conversion, Ni-M-114 (a catalyst prepared in identical manner to Ni-M-113) was run with a space velocity of 30,000 hr^{-1} , double that used for Ni-M-113. Figure 13 shows that with twice the reactant flow, the percent conversion of CO to methane is about 10% less and the maxima occur at temperatures 25 degrees higher. CO₂ production is increased.

Forecast for Next Quarter. Generally, testing will continue on pellet and monolith catalysts according to the experimental plan outlined in Tables 7 and 8. During this next quarter integral tests will be performed at high and low pressure with and without steam in the reactant mixture.

E. Task 5: Technical Visits and Communications.





Figure 12. Conversion versus Temperature for Ni-M-113 (20% Ni/Al₂ 0 ₃/Monolith) (20,5 psia; GHSV = 15,000 hr⁻¹),

ယ္သ



Figure 13. Conversion versus Temperature for Ni-M-114 (20% Ni/Al₂O₃/Monolith) (20.5 psia; GHSV = 30,000 hr⁻¹).

Accomplishments. The principal investigator, Dr. Bartholomew, presented two invited papers dealing with activities and kinetics of nickel and nickel alloys methanation catalysts at the Centennial Meeting of the American Chemical Society held August 30 - September 3 in San Francisco. One of these papers presented at the methanation symposium in the Division of Fuel Chemistry, summarized results of activity tests performed under this contract. On September 2, he visited with Henry Wise, Jon McCarty, Kenneth Sancier, and Bernard Wood at SRI in Menlo Park regarding various aspects of methanation catalysis and toured their laboratories. This was followed with a short visit to Stanford University to discuss alloy catalysis with members of Professor Boudart's research group. On September 3 and 4 Dr. Bartholomew participated in the ERDA sponsored University Contractors' Conference in Golden, Colorado.

On October 14, Dr. Bartholomew was invited by the Department of Fuels Engineering at the University of Utah to present a seminar on Methanation Studies performed at BYU. While at the University of Utah he also visited with Professors Massoth and Oblad, toured the catalysis research laboratories of Professor Oblad, discussed plans for the 2nd Rocky Mt. Fuel Symposium with Professors Massoth and Wood, and attended a Seminar given in the Chemistry Dept. by Professor Michel Boudart of Stanford. The following day Professor Boudart visited BYU; an informal seminar was held in which recent studies at both BYU and Stanford were discussed.

Other visitors to BYU included Mr. Tony Lee of IGT (September 22), Mr. Bill Boyer of Corning Glass Works (October 27) and Mr. Robert Wade of Ventron Corporation (October 28). Mr. Lee presented a seminar in which he discussed testing of methanation and water-gas-shift catalysts. Possible testing at IGT of catalysts developed at BYU was also discussed. The visit with Mr. Boyer focused on continued cooperative efforts between Corning Glass Works and BYU. Arrangements were made to obtain additional monolithic supports. The discussion with Mr. Wade focused on sulphur-resistant catalysts such as nickel and cobalt borohydrides. The possibility of a symposium on borohydride catalysts was discussed, and Dr. Bartholomew was introduced by phone to Prof. Tom Russell at the University of Eastern New Mexico who is currently investigating borohydride catalysts for methanation. Arrangements were made between Professors Russell and Bartholomew to exchange technical information.

Altogether the visits, meetings, presentations, and interactions with other workers have stimulated many useful interchanges of upto-date, pertinant information regarding the project. In fact, the principal investigator is presently in close communication with more then 20 other laboratories in methanation catalysts in the United States and Europe.

During the past quarter, a publication based on work performed during the 2nd quarter, "Methanation Activity of Suported Nickel Alloys" was published in the Preprints of the ACS Divsion of Fuel Chemistry (Vol. 21, No. 4). Two large publications dealing with effects of H_2S on CO and H_2 adsorption and with methanation activities of alloy catalysts (and effects of H_2S thereon) are in preparation. A proposal to continue this contract work an additional two years was completed and submitted during this past quarter. The preparation of the proposal involved extensive searches of the literature dealing with methanation catalysis, sulphur poisoning, carbon deposition, and sintering.

<u>Forecast</u>. During the next quarter preparation of two new publications will continue. The Principal Investigator will attend the ASTM D-32 Catalyst Committee Meeting in Oakridge, Tenn. and will visit and present Seminars at Engelhard Industries, Continental Oil Co, and the University of Idaho.

Miscellaneous.

During the past quarter, Mr. Scott Engstrom graduated with a B.S. in Chemical Engineering and entered MIT to begin graduate study toward a masters. Mr. Richard Turner (M.S. candidate) was accepted at the University of Utah Medical School and began study there during late September. Mr. Gordon Weatherbee (M.S. candidate), Mr. Kenneth Atwood (Senior), and Mr. Glen Witt (Freshman) joined the research group in September, are already trained and performing productively.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

1. Surface areas measured by H₂ adsorption for 20% Co/Al₂O₃ are 4-5 times smaller than typical values for 15% Ni/Al₂O₃.

2. A 20% Ni-MoO₃/Al₂O₃ catalyst prepared in this laboratory evidences very high activity on a mass or surface area basis because of its reasonably high surface area and high activity per catalytic site.

3. The turnover number for a 20% Co/Al₂O₃ catalyst (prepared in this laboratory) is the highest observed of any catalyst tested thus far. Its activation energy is also relatively large so that its rate increases more rapidly with increasing temperature than other catalysts containing nickel and nickel alloys as active phases. Its production of CO₂ is larger and its selectivity to methane smaller then Ni/Al₂O₃.

4. Higher activation energies are observed for monolithicsupported nickel compared to pellet-supported. This effect is very likely a result of greater catalyst effectiveness for a monolithic catalysts compared to pellet catalysts due to the shorter diffusion paths in monolithic catalysts. These data suggest that monolithsupported catalysts may be more efficient than pellet-supported catalysts for production of methane.

5. A higher selectivity to methane is observed for monolithicsupported nickel compared to pellet-supported nickel.

6. Recently prepared Ni-MoO₃ and Ni-Ru catalysts (each 20 wt.% metal loading) appear to be more resistant to sulfur poisoning than all other catalysts tested thus far including 6% Ni-MoO₃/Al₂O₃.

7. The presence of water vapor (15 vol.%) in the reactant mixture results in a large decrease in selectivity to CH_4 and a large increase in selectivity to CO_2 for nickel catalysts. This is undoubtedly due to an increase in the rate of the water gas shift reaction.

8. The effect of increasing the space velocity over monolithicsupported nickel is to decrease the conversion of CO and the selectivity to CH_{4} .

V. REFERENCES

- 1. M. Greyson, "Methanation" in "Catalysis" Vol. IV, ed. P.H. Emmett, Rheinhold Pub. Corp., New York, (1956).
- G.A. Mills and F.W. Steffgen, "Catalytic Methanation," Catalysts Reviews 8, 159 (1973).
- C.H. Bartholomew, "Alloy Catalysts with Monolith Supports for Methanation of Coal-Derived Gases," Annual Technical Progress Report FE-1790-4 (ERDA) May 6, 1976.
- C.H. Bartholomew, "Alloy Catalysts with Monolith Supports for Methanation of Coal-Derived Gases," Quarterly Technical Progress Report FE-1790-5 (ERDA) August 6, 1976.
- M.A. Vannice, "The Catalytic Synthesis of Hydrocarbons from H₂/CO Mixtures Over the Group VIII Metals," J. Catal., <u>37</u>, 449 (1975).
- R.A. Dalla Betta, A.G. Piken, and M. Shelef, "Heterogeneous Methanation Steady-State Rate of CO Hydrogenation of Supported Ruthenium, Nickel and Rhenium," J. Catal., <u>40</u>, 173 (1975).

SATISFACTION GUARANTEED

NTIS strives to provide quality products, reliable service, and fast delivery. Please contact us for a replacement within 30 days if the item you receive your order. filling if we have made an error in defective or S

E-mail: info@ntis.gov Phone: 1-888-584-8332 or (703)605-6050

Reproduced by NTIS

National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161

This report was printed specifically for your order from nearly 3 million titles available in our collection.

For economy and efficiency, NTIS does not maintain stock of its vast collection of technical reports. Rather, most documents are custom reproduced for each order. Documents that are not in electronic format are reproduced from master archival copies and are the best possible reproductions available.

Occasionally, older master materials may reproduce portions of documents that are not fully legible. If you have questions concerning this document or any order you have placed with NTIS, please call our Customer Service Department at (703) 605-6050.

About NTIS

NTIS collects scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information – then organizes, maintains, and disseminates that information in a variety of formats – including electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.

The NTIS collection of nearly 3 million titles includes reports describing research conducted or sponsored by federal agencies and their contractors; statistical and business information; U.S. military publications; multimedia training products; computer software and electronic databases developed by federal agencies; and technical reports prepared by research organizations worldwide.

For more information about NTIS, visit our Web site at <u>http://www.ntis.gov</u>.



Ensuring Permanent, Easy Access to U.S. Government Information Assets



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Technology Administration National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 605-6000