

**Development of An Advanced, Continuous Mild Gasification  
Process for the Production of Co-Products**

**Quarterly Report  
April - June 1994**

**Glenn W. O'Neal**

**Work Performed Under Contract No.: DE-AC21-87MC24116**

**For  
U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of Fossil Energy  
Morgantown Energy Technology Center  
P.O. Box 880  
Morgantown, West Virginia 26507-0880**

**By  
Coal Technology Corporation  
103 Thomas Road  
Bristol, Virginia 24201**

**July 1994**

**MASTER**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
TASK 1. LITERATURE SURVEYS AND MARKET ASSESSMENT	3
Objective	3
Summary	3
TASK 2. BENCH-SCALE MILD GASIFICATION STUDY	3
Objective	3
Summary	3
TASK 3. BENCH-SCALE CHAR UPGRADING STUDY	3
TASK 4. 1000 LB./HR. MILD GASIFICATION PDU	4

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**Installation of a continuous coke pilot plant started in the second quarter of 1994. Ten of 14 major components have been set. The remaining four are on order. Startup is scheduled for late September, 1994.**

**Eight test runs were completed in the continuous mild gasification unit (CMGU). These were short test runs to evaluate repair work or to demonstrate the PDU. Efforts continued to obtain financing for a commercial unit.**

## INTRODUCTION

Petroleum currently accounts for over 42% of the total energy consumption in the United States; over 40% of the petroleum consumed in the United States is imported from foreign countries. The remaining oil reserve available in the United States is less than 6% of proven recoverable fossil energy reserves while over 90% of the proven recoverable reserves are coal (1)\*. Total coal resources in the United States are estimated to be more than 3.9 trillion tons (2). Just the demonstrated reserves, that is, the deposits that are proven and can be economically mined using today's technologies and mining techniques amount to 488 billion tons. At an annual production rate of 900 million tons per year, the demonstrated reserves alone will last more than 500 years. In view of the very abundant coal reserves and limited petroleum reserves, it would seem prudent to make good use of coal in our evermore difficult pursuit of energy independence.

Devising a continuous reactor system that can deliver a good quality co-products which require only minimal upgrading before being marketed is a major challenge. At present, mild gasification reactor configurations tend to fall into two broad categories: circulating or fluidized bed types characterized by high heating rates (up to 10,000 °C per second, or fixed or moving bed types characterized by slow (on the order of 0.2 to 0.5°C per second) heating rates. Circulating or fluidized-bed types produce high liquid yields at the expense of quality. Fixed or moving-bed types produce better quality liquids but in lesser quantities. An optimum reactor is envisioned as one which avoids the secondary reactions associated with slow heating rates and the quality problems associated with high heating rates. Importantly, an optimum reactor would be capable of processing highly caking coals. The reactor concept under investigation in this effort is an advanced derivative of a reactor once used in prior commercial practice which approaches the characteristics of an optimum reactor.

It is important that a mild gasification reactor interface easily with the subsequent product upgrading steps in which the market value of the products is enhanced. Upgrading and marketing of the char are critical to the overall economics of a mild gasification plant because char is the major product (65 to 75% of the coal feedstock). In the past, the char product was sold as a "smokeless" fuel, but in today's competitive markets the best price for char as a fuel for steam generation would be that of the parent coal. Substantially higher prices could be obtained for char upgraded into products such as metallurgical coke, graphite, carbon electrode feedstock or a slurry fuel

\*Numbers in parentheses indicate the reference listed at the end of this report.

replacement for No. 6 fuel oil. In this effort, upgrading techniques are being developed to address these premium markets. Liquid products can similarly be upgraded to high market value products such as high-density fuel, chemicals, binders for form coke, and also gasoline and diesel blending stocks. About half of the non-condensable fuel gases produced by the gasification process will be required to operate the process; the unused portion could be upgraded into value-added products or used as fuel either internally or in "across the fence" sales.

The primary objective of this project is to develop an advanced continuous mild gasification process and product upgrading processes which will be capable of eventual commercialization. The program consists of four tasks. Task 1 is a literature survey of mild gasification processes and product upgrading methods and also a market assessment of markets for mild gasification products. Based on the literature survey, a mild gasification process and char upgrading method will be identified for further development. Task 2 is a bench-scale investigation of mild gasification to generate design data for a larger scale reactor. Task 3 is a bench-scale study of char upgrading to value added products. Task 4 is being implemented by building and operating a 1000-pound per hour demonstration facility. Task 4 also includes a technical and economic evaluation based on the performance of the mild gasification demonstration facility.

## **TASK 1. LITERATURE SURVEYS AND MARKET ASSESSMENT**

### **Objective**

The objectives of this Task are: (1) to identify the most suitable continuous mild gasification reactor system for conducting bench-scale mild gasification studies; (2) to identify the most feasible chemical or physical methods to upgrade the char, condensibles and gas produced from mild gasification into high profit end products; and (3) to assess the potential markets for the upgraded products from this process.

### **Summary**

This task was completed and the Topical Report was submitted and approved by the DOE in January 1988 (3).

## **TASK 2. BENCH-SCALE MILD GASIFICATION STUDY**

### **Objective**

The objective of Task 2 is to study mild gasification in bench-scale reactor(s) to obtain the necessary data for proper design of the one ton/hour mild gasification screw reactor in Task 4.

### **Summary**


After much consideration, it was concluded that it would not be necessary or desirable to build a bench-scale reactor. Instead, data and experience from Dr. David Camp's single screw reactor at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory provided much useful information for the design of the reactor for this project. In addition, the information available from the literature on the eight years of operation of the Hayes process at Moundsville, West Virginia and the earlier Lauck's screw reactor supplied valuable process design data.

## **TASK 3: BENCH SCALE CHAR UPGRADING STUDY**

Installation of the continuous coke pilot plant started in the second quarter of 1994. Almost all components of the pilot plant are used pieces of equipment. Modifications and repairs are required to provide a continuous system to produce 500 to 700 pounds per hour of formed coke. Ten of 14 major pieces of equipment have been set including the three largest components, the briquetter, curing calciner, and high temperature kiln. Remaining equipment on order include: two screw conveyors, the briquetter conveyor, and the coke cooler. Startup of the pilot plant is scheduled for late September 1994.

**TASK 4. 1000 LB/HR CONTINUOUS MILD GASIFICATION UNIT (CMGU)**

During the second quarter of 1994, eight test runs were completed. These test runs were of short duration with a maximum of 3.5 hours. Test runs were performed to demonstrate the PDU as part of the efforts to obtain financing of a commercial plant or to checkout repairs to the CMGU. The CMGU continues to operate without major problems. The new spray contact condenser system has performed well. Priority has been given to construction of the continuous coke PDU.

  
Glenn W. O'Neal  
Project Manager